Follow-Up Topics Worthy of Future Discussion

Draft: March 17, 2024

This is a draft for public comment. It has not yet been submitted for Senate consideration.

During the course of T4 deliberations some topics arose that were outside the scope of the T4 charge but seemed worthy of discussion by other groups.

*Professorial Titles for Extension Faculty*

The two-tiered extension associate track, like the two-tiered lecturer track, is an invention of the 1970s and should be reviewed as soon as possible.

*Enhanced Sharing of RTE-related Docs Between the Colleges*

Following [Senate Resolution 170](#) (Visibility of Tenure Process Documentation), the colleges should make their RTE process documentation readily available, ideally by putting everything online. This would promote transparency and the sharing of best practices.

*A University-Wide Appeal Process for RTE Faculty*

A university-wide appeal process for RTE faculty would be useful. Some colleges have set up comprehensive appeal frameworks of their own. Others loosely refer to university-level processes that are not all that well specified.

*More University-Level Guidance on Who Votes on What*

Within a track, a faculty member typically only votes on promotion/renewal cases where the candidate is at a lower rank. Things get more complicated when the voter and the candidate are on different tracks. Does it make sense for an assistant professor to vote on a full teaching professor renewal? It may be useful to have some uniformity across the colleges.