

2021 Cornell Survey of Sexual Assault and Related Misconduct: Tables of Survey Results

In spring 2021, Cornell conducted the Survey of Sexual Assault and Related Misconduct. This survey asked about students' experiences with inappropriate sexual behavior while attending Cornell, including: nonconsensual sexual contact, sexual and gender-based harassment, stalking, and domestic and dating violence. The survey also asked about students' knowledge of Cornell resources and policies concerning sexual assault and related misconduct, and their beliefs about their own or other students' decisions to intervene or not as bystanders to scenarios of sexual assault or misconduct.

A stratified, random sample of 6,000 students enrolled at our Ithaca, Weill Cornell, and Cornell Tech campuses was invited to participate in this web-based survey. A total of 2,303 students completed the survey, yielding a 38% response rate.

The results of the 2021 Survey of Sexual Assault and Related Misconduct are displayed in the tables that follow. The percentages shown in the tables are weighted estimates of the Cornell student population.

Survey responses are broken out for all students; for women and men, by enrollment status (undergraduate or graduate/professional); and for students who reported a non-binary gender identity [identified in the tables as TGQN]. The letter "s" indicates a result has been suppressed due to an unweighted cell count of less than 5.

Table A1. Demographic profile of survey population, sample and respondents

		Cornell Survey Population ^a Sample ^b		Survey Respondents ^c		Survey		
Variable	Category	N	Column %	N	Column %	N	Column %	Response Rate ^d
Population	All students	23,249	100	6,000	100	2,303	100	38.4
Campus	Cornell Tech	298	1.3	100	1.7	26	1.1	26.0
	Ithaca	21,676	93.2	5,525	92.1	2,092	90.8	37.9
	Weill	1,275	5.5	375	6.3	185	8.0	49.3
Student Affiliation	Undergraduate	13,945	60.0	3,435	57.3	1,345	58.4	39.2
	Graduate/Professional	9,304	40.0	2,565	42.8	958	41.6	37.3
Year of Study (Undergrad)	First Year	3,208	23.0	801	23.3	344	25.6	42.9
	Sophomore	3,488	25.0	858	25.0	363	27.0	42.3
	Junior	3,689	26.5	909	26.5	343	25.5	37.7
	Senior	3,560	25.5	867	25.2	295	21.9	34.0
Gender identity	Man	11,193	48.1	2,876	47.9	876	38.4	30.5
	Woman	12,056	51.9	3,124	52.1	1,360	59.6	43.5
	TGQN ^e					46	2.0	
Race / Ethnicity	White	7,928	34.1	2,050	34.2	796	34.6	38.8
	Asian (U.S.)	3,971	17.1	1,028	17.1	504	21.9	49.0
	Black (U.S.)	1,384	6.0	350	5.8	142	6.2	40.6
	Hispanic (U.S.)	2,698	11.6	684	11.4	243	10.6	35.5
	Other or multiple races (U.S.)	1015	4.4	263	4.4	156	6.8	59.3
	Unknown (U.S.)	1,469	6.3	373	6.2	43	1.9	11.5
	International	4,784	20.6	1,252	20.9	419	18.2	33.5

^aThe survey population included all students who were enrolled in both the Fall 2020 and Spring 2021 semesters. Non-degree seeking and Employee Degree students were excluded, as were students who were in absentia, or studying off-campus beyond New York City.

^bFrom this population, a random sample of 6,000 Cornell students, stratified by campus, was invited to participate in the survey.

^cA survey was counted as "completed" if a respondent answered at least one question in each of the sections concerning sexual harassment, stalking, and nonconsensual sexual contact.

^dSurvey response rates are calculated by dividing the number of respondents by the number of students in the survey sample.

^eTGQN includes the following gender identities: transgender woman/trans feminine, transgender man/trans masculine, genderqueer, non-binary, agender, two spirit, and questioning or unsure.

Table A2. Characteristics of students who completed the survey, weighted and un-weighted

		Weigh	ted ^b	Un-we	ighted
Variable	Category	N	%	N	%
	All students	22,163	100	2,303	100
Campus	Cornell Tech	277	1.2	26	1.1
	Ithaca	20,666	93.2	2,092	90.8
	Weill	1,221	5.5	185	8.0
Student Affiliation	Undergraduate	13,180	59.5	1,345	58.4
	Graduate or professional	8,983	40.5	958	41.6
Year of Study (Undergrad)	First year	3,105	23.6	344	25.6
	Sophomore	3,282	24.9	363	27.0
	Junior	3,523	26.7	343	25.5
	Senior	3,270	24.8	295	21.9
Gender identity	Man	10,390	47.3	876	38.4
	Woman	1,118	50.6	1,360	59.6
	TGQN ^c	446	2.0	46	2.0
Sexual orientation	Heterosexual/straight	17,493	81.0	1,796	80.1
	$LGBAQ^d$	4,107	19.0	445	19.9
Race / Ethnicity	White (U.S.)	7,928	35.8	796	34.6
	Asian (U.S.)	3,971	17.9	504	21.9
	Black (U.S.)	1,384	6.2	142	6.2
	Hispanic (U.S.)	2,698	12.2	243	10.6
	Other or multiple races (U.S.)	1,015	4.6	156	6.8
	Unknown (U.S.)	383	1.7	43	1.9
	International	4,784	21.6	419	18.2
In partnered relationship	Yes	14,922	67.6	1,544	67.3
	No	7,147	32.4	750	32.7
Have disability or chronic	Yes	5,118	25.6	554	26.7
condition	No	14,839	74.4	1,519	73.3

^aA survey was counted as "completed" if a respondent answered at least one question in each of the sections concerning sexual harassment, stalking, and nonconsensual sexual contact.

^bThe survey data file was weighted to adjust for survey non-response patterns and more accurately reflect the characteristics of the student population of Cornell.

CTGQN includes the following gender identities: transgender woman/trans feminine, transgender man/trans masculine, genderqueer, non-binary, agender, two spirit, and questioning or unsure.

^dLGBAQ includes the following sexual orientations: asexual spectrum, bisexual, gay, lesbian, pansexual, queer, and questioning or unsure.

Table B1. Percent of Cornell Ithaca campus students who are aware of services related to nonconsensual sexual or relationship experiences

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro		
Percent of Cornell Ithaca campus students who are aware of services	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
provided by	%	% %	%	%	%	%
Cornell Victim Advocate	23.8	22.7	22.5	23.2	27.3	22.0
Cornell Health	94.5	95.9	96.7	92.7	94.1	90.6
Cornell Women's Resource Center	37.5	50.8	30.7	31.9	27.0	45.2
Cornell LGBT Resource Center	46.1	51.2	42.4	45.2	38.1	75.4
University Ombudsman	29.7	23.5	38.2	28.2	34.1	38.4
Title IX Coordinator	61.2	62.5	62.7	64.5	52.6	60.7
Judicial Administrator	34.1	33.7	21.3	47.9	25.3	25.0
Cornell University Police	90.7	90.3	91.1	91.4	92.1	75.5
Cornell SHARE website	27.9	24.9	30.0	27.8	32.8	21.8

Table B2. Percent of Weill Cornell students who are aware of services related to nonconsensual sexual or relationship experiences

Percent of Weill Cornell students who	Total	Women	Men
are aware of services provided by	%	%	%
Student Mental Health Services	96.2	95.5	97.0
NY Presbyterian Emergency Room	87.4	88.4	87.0
NY Presbyterian VIP	37.7	44.1	29.1
Title IX Coordinator	71.6	73.4	69.1
Campus Security	78.9	81.6	76.4
Crime Victims Treatment Center	14.9	18.8	10.8
NYPD - 911	96.1	96.5	95.6
Cornell Victim Advocate	6.3	11.0	S
Cornell Share website	14.6	17.8	11.4

Table B3. Percent of Cornell Tech students who are aware of services related to nonconsensual sexual or relationship experiences

Percent of Cornell Tech students who	Total	Women	Men
are aware of services provided by	%	%	%
Title IX Coordinator	49.7	S	56.5
Cornell Tech Student Services	97.5	92.1	100.0
Cornell Tech Wellness & Support	86.2	100.0	79.2
Cornell Tech Safety & Security	84.2	63.6	92.8
Crime Victims Treatment Center	S	0.0	S
NYPD - 911	91.8	88.9	92.8
Cornell Victim Advocate	S	0.0	S
Cornell Women's Resource Center	S	0.0	S
Cornell SHARE website	32.6	S	40.4

Table B4. Students' knowledge of policies and procedures related to nonconsensual experiences

			Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	llment Type	
	_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
How knowledgeable are you about:		%	%	%	%	%	%
	Not at all	8.3	11.1	9.2	5.3	6.7	14.1
what behaviors are included in Cornell's definition of sexual assault	A little	27.0	31.5	24.8	26.7	23.4	19.5
and related misconduct?	Moderately	47.8	45.3	45.1	51.0	50.4	47.7
and related inisconduct:	Very	16.9	12.1	20.9	17.0	19.6	18.7
	Not at all	8.9	8.3	11.1	6.4	10.8	8.3
Cornell's definition of affirmative	A little	19.9	22.3	22.5	16.8	17.8	17.6
consent?	Moderately	39.4	38.0	36.9	39.3	44.9	40.9
	Very	31.9	31.4	29.5	37.4	26.6	33.1
how Cornell's policies concerning	Not at all	32.0	33.9	34.1	27.9	30.4	48.1
sexual assault and related misconduct	A little	30.2	32.8	30.5	30.1	27.1	28.0
differ from local, state, and federal	Moderately	29.9	27.1	28.4	32.8	33.3	18.4
laws?	Very	7.8	6.2	7.0	9.2	9.1	5.5
how to file a formal complaint about	Not at all	35.9	38.6	35.2	35.2	32.9	39.0
sexual assault or related misconduct to	A little	31.3	31.7	29.6	31.5	31.9	36.6
nitiate a disciplinary procedure at	Moderately	23.8	22.4	26.4	23.3	25.1	18.0
Cornell?	Very	8.9	7.4	8.8	10.0	10.0	6.4
the process that occurs after a	Not at all	43.4	47.4	45.2	40.3	38.9	49.7
student has filed a formal complaint of	A little	30.9	30.4	28.0	33.4	30.9	30.7
sexual assault or related misconduct at	Moderately	19.0	16.8	19.8	19.6	22.3	9.4
Cornell?	Very	6.7	5.4	7.0	6.8	7.9	10.1

Table C1. Percent of students who have experienced sexual or gender-based harassment since entering Cornell

		Women, by Enrollment Type		Men, by Enro	Men, by Enrollment Type		
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN	
Percent of students who experienced:	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Any sexual or gender-based harassment	43.7	61.3	38.9	41.3	22.2	68.4	
Specific forms of harassment: Someone at Corne	II						
made sexual remarks or told insulting or offensive jokes or stories	27.4	43.4	26.9	20.1	11.2	46.6	
made inappropriate or offensive comments about your body, appearance or sexual activities	34.6	50.3	28.0	34.7	14.9	52.7	
made inappropriate, offensive or threatening comments about your gender, sex, sexual orientation, or gender identity	11.7	16.8	11.6	10.7	3.4	33.2	
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to get you to talk about sexual matters	11.8	19.5	9.9	8.5	5.5	21.5	
used social or online media to send offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos to you that you didn't want	4.8	8.5	3.8	4.0	1.4	S	
used social or online media to send offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos about you that you didn't want shared	2.2	3.7	0.8	2.5	S	S	
continued to ask you to go out or engage in sexual activities despite refusal	11.0	23.9	8.1	3.7	3.3	14.2	
Percent of harassment victims who reported:							
Harassment had to be tolerated or it created a hostile environment	23.9	25.9	38.5	11.0	17.3	38.7	
Specific impacts of harassment:							
Had to tolerate this behavior or risk jeopardizing your academic standing, employment, or participation in Cornell programs or activities	19.4	19.6	32.7	9.3	16.1	30.1	
Behavior was so severe or persistent that it interfered with or affected your participation in Cornell programs or activities	12.2	14.6	18.8	4.5	7.2	19.7	

Table C2. Percent of students experiencing sexual or gender-based harassment since entering Cornell by victim characteristics

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Men, by Enrollment Type		
Percent of students who have experienced sexual or gender-based harassment by	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional		
victims':	%	%	%	%	%		
Sexual orientation							
Heterosexual	39.6	58.7	34.3	37.8	21.1		
LGBAQ	61.6	70.0	54.4	64.2	33.8		
Race and citizenship							
White (U.S.)	50.0	70.2	43.2	43.6	29.5		
Asian (U.S.)	45.2	54.4	42.0	36.8	26.0		
Black (U.S.)	49.1	58.2	50.9	41.4	S		
Hispanic (U.S.)	49.4	64.2	43.8	46.6	24.2		
Other/Multi (U.S.)	51.6	64.2	41.9	47.9	39.7		
International	26.4	44.6	30.6	27.3	15.8		
lave a disability							
No	36.6	52.6	34.5	36.7	17.7		
Yes	60.2	74.7	47.5	54.7	45.2		
lave been in a partnered relationship							
No	29.8	43.7	30.5	20.9	14.6		
Yes	50.4	70.9	41.8	51.2	25.8		

Note: Percentages are not to be summed in columns. Each percentage represents the proportion of students within a particular enrollment type, gender and sociodemographic identity. For example, 59% of undergraduate women who identify as heterosexual reported experiencing sexual or gender-based harassment since entering Cornell; this compares to 70% of undergraduate women who identify as LGBAQ.

Table C3. Number of offenders and number of harassment experiences in 2020-2021 academic year

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type		
Among students who experienced harassing behaviors, percent who reported:	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Number of people who behaved this way							
1 person	40.9	39.2	47.7	33.8	56.8	35.1	
2 persons	26.9	29.8	27.1	25.0	17.1	29.1	
3 or more persons	32.2	31.0	25.3	41.1	26.1	35.8	
Number of times behavior was experienced in 2	2020-2021 aca	demic year					
0 times	35.7	34.2	41.4	30.4	43.5	35.8	
1 time	22.7	24.2	17.9	22.4	26.1	19.9	
2 times	20.9	23.3	20.7	20.2	14.3	15.8	
3-5 times	16.9	15.8	18.8	19.2	12.4	23.2	
6 or more times	3.8	2.5	S	7.8	S	s	

Table C4. Characteristics of harassment offenders: Affiliation with Cornell and relationship to victims

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
Percent of harassment victims who reported -	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
the following offender characteristics:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Gender						
Man	88.9	94.2	95.7	80.7	73.3	96.1
Woman	19.8	9.6	14.4	36.0	35.9	S
Genderqueer, non-binary or another gender identity	1.7	S	S	4.2	0.0	0.0
Don't know or not sure	4.7	3.3	s	8.0	8.1	s
Affiliation with Cornell:						
Student	91.6	94.4	79.7	96.6	88.4	87.0
Faculty	8.8	3.9	25.4	S	15.6	19.0
Staff or administrator	3.1	2.0	8.8	s	s	s
Other affiliation	1.9	S	2.9	s	4.3	s
Not affiliated	3.8	4.0	4.4	2.7	5.7	S
Don't know if affiliated	3.4	3.9	S	4.6	S	0.0
Relationship to victim:						
Current intimate partner	8.5	12.9	6.0	5.1	S	13.6
Previous intimate partner	8.6	13.0	4.6	5.5	S	s
Friend	38.3	36.3	24.6	52.9	39.1	25.7
Acquaintance	43.9	46.1	34.5	51.6	29.2	50.1
Classmate	25.8	18.3	44.5	17.8	45.9	27.7
Someone I just met at a social event	26.2	34.7	12.4	25.7	14.0	33.5
Someone I just met on-line	6.5	9.9	3.4	3.8	s	s
Faculty or instructor	7.6	2.9	24.4	s	12.0	19.0
Academic, research or clinical advisor	1.3	s	4.4	0.0	s	S
Teaching or research assistant	1.1	1.3	s	0.0	s	0.0
Coach or trainer	0.4	s	s	0.0	0.0	0.0
Residence hall student staff	.2	0.0	s	s	0.0	0.0
Other staff or administrator	1.5	S	5.4	s	s	0.0
Employer or supervisor	.7	S	2.7	0.0	S	S
Co-worker	4.2	2.8	11.7	S	5.9	s
Someone I did not know or recognize	10.7	13.9	5.4	10.4	5.5	16.0
Other	3.7	1.8	S	6.4	6.7	S

Table C5. Percent of harassment victims who contacted a program or resource to talk about experience, and reasons for not doing so

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of harassment victims who:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Contacted at least one program	14.1	14.4	21.9	9.5	7.6	20.0
Did not contact a program or resource to talk all	bout the exper	ience(s): Reasons				
Did not know where to go or who to tell	9.4	12.1	9.7	5.6	s	s
Did not think talking about it would make me feel better	32.4	37.8	32.4	28.1	22.8	32.5
Did not have private space where I could talk about this with a program or resource	3.2	4.8	S	S	S	0.0
Did not think anything would be done	24.0	27.7	30.3	12.4	22.8	30.0
Wanted to forget it happened	14.8	22.3	11.9	6.2	7.5	20.3
Had other things I needed to focus on	39.7	44.3	51.2	27.6	31.0	43.9
Did not have proof that behavior happened	18.6	22.2	24.1	11.1	12.2	20.8
Did not think it was serious enough	65.0	66.6	67.6	59.5	70.9	63.4
Felt I was partly responsible for what happened	6.0	8.2	6.3	2.7	s	s
Felt embarrassed or ashamed	9.3	11.8	9.3	4.1	8.5	S
Feared retaliation from offender or others	7.3	6.2	13.0	4.3	8.0	S
Feared academic or professional consequences	4.1	2.7	13.6	s	s	s
Feared negative social consequences	13.9	13.2	17.6	12.7	12.1	S
Feared I wouldn't be able to control what actions would be taken	8.4	8.7	11.6	6.0	s	19.6
Did not want offender to get into trouble	11.9	10.8	10.2	13.4	10.7	23.7
Got the support I needed elsewhere	16.8	17.8	12.5	18.2	15.4	18.0
Other reason(s)	7.3	4.0	7.2	11.2	11.0	S

Table C6. Percent of harassment victims who talked about experience to others

		Women, by En	Women, by Enrollment Type		Ilment Type	
Percent of harassment victims who told the —	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
following persons about experience	%	%	%	%	%	%
Friend	68.0	79.4	73.7	55.3	43.1	56.0
Spouse or romantic partner	18.4	17.5	29.0	9.6	23.8	19.5
Family member	14.7	18.2	18.7	6.8	8.4	S
Faculty or instructor	3.4	1.9	11.2	S	0.0	S
Cornell staff member	3.3	3.3	8.2	s	0.0	s
Health professional	7.3	7.8	12.0	S	s	33.6
Spiritual or religious advisor, leader or clergy	.5	S	s	s	0.0	S
Someone else	1.4	1.5	3.0	S	0.0	S
Didn't tell anyone	27.2	17.2	18.4	42.1	48.2	37.4

Table D1. Percent of students who have experienced stalking since entering Cornell

		Women, by En	rollment Type Men, by En		ollment Type	
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of students who experienced:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Stalking	5.1	8.4	5.5	2.9	1.8	15.7
Specific forms of stalking:						
Unwanted calls, emails or messages that caused fear for personal safety	3.3	4.8	4.3	1.8	1.6	S
Showed up or waited for student in way that caused fear for personal safety	2.6	5.0	1.8	1.4	S	13.4
Spied on, watched or followed in way that caused fear for personal safety	1.6	2.0	1.6	1.4	S	S

Table D2. Among stalking victims, number of stalking experiences in 2020-2021 academic year

		Women, by En	Women, by Enrollment Type		Men, by Enrollment Type	
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of stalking victims who reported:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Number of times behavior was experienced in	2020-2021 aca	ademic year				
0 times	45.9	50.7	60.1	s	s	S
1 time	9.9	12.2	0.0	S	S	s
2 times	18.6	20.7	21.8	S	0.0	s
3-5 times	17.6	8.8	S	40.7	S	S
6 or more times	8.0	7.6	S	S	s	0.0

Table D3. Percent of students who have experienced stalking since entering Cornell by victim characteristics

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type		
Percent of students who have experienced	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	
stalking by victims':	%	%	%	%	%	
Sexual orientation						
Heterosexual	4.2	7.3	5.0	2.9	S	
LGBAQ	8.9	11.0	8.2	S	S	
ace and citizenship						
White (U.S.)	7.3	12.8	7.0	4.0	S	
Asian (U.S.)	4.7	6.7	s	S	0.0	
Black (U.S.)	3.4	S	s	0.0	0.0	
Hispanic (U.S.)	4.4	S	s	S	S	
Other/Multi (U.S.)	5.0	S	s	s	0.0	
International	2.8	S	3.8	0.0	S	
lave a disability						
No	3.3	5.9	3.2	2.6	S	
Yes	11.1	13.7	5.9	6.4	S	
lave been in a partnered relationship						
No	2.4	3.8	s	s	S	
Yes	6.4	10.9	3.7	3.6	2.3	

Note: Percentages are not to be summed in columns. Each percentage represents the proportion of students within a particular enrollment type, gender and sociodemographic identity. For example, 7% of undergraduate women who identify as heterosexual reported experiencing stalking since entering Cornell; this compares to 11% of undergraduate women who identify as LGBAQ.

Table D4. Characterisitcs of stalking offenders: Affiliation with Cornell and relationship to victim

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	by Enrollment Type	
			Graduate or		Graduate or	
Percent of stalking victims who reported the -	Total	Undergraduate	professional	Undergraduate	professional	TGQN
following offender characteristics:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Gender Man	84.0	95.2	86.7	51.0	-	100.0
					5	
Woman	16.9	S	S	50.1	S	0.0
Genderqueer, non-binary or another gender identity	S	0.0	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Don't know or not sure	4.5	S	S	S	0.0	0.0
Affiliation with Cornell:						
Student	76.7	80.1	63.9	93.6	s	72.3
Faculty	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Staff or administrator	5.3	S	S	0.0	s	0.0
Other affiliation	S	0.0	s	0.0	s	0.0
Not affiliated	17.5	17.4	24.9	S	s	S
Don't know if affiliated	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Relationship to victim:						
Current intimate partner	14.0	15.0	S	S	S	S
Previous intimate partner	27.2	27.1	34.6	S	S	s
Friend	20.5	23.4	S	S	S	s
Acquaintance	32.7	35.1	34.9	S	S	s
Classmate	18.7	19.5	34.0	S	0.0	s
Someone I just met at a social event	5.2	S	S	S	S	0.0
Someone I just met on-line	6.9	10.4	s	s	0.0	0.0
Faculty or instructor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Academic, research or clinical advisor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Teaching or research assistant	S	s	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coach or trainer	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Residence hall student staff	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other staff or administrator	S	0.0	S	0.0	s	0.0
Employer or supervisor	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Co-worker	S	s	s	0.0	0.0	0.0
Someone I did not know or recognize	6.6	7.8	0.0	s	s	S
Other	7.3	S	S	S	S	0.0

Table D5. Percent of stalking victims who contacted a program or resource, and reasons for not doing so

		Women, by En		Men, by Enro		
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of stalking victims who:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Contacted at least one program	32.1	25.4	48.8	s	S	S
Did not contact a program or resource to talk ab	out the expe	rience(s): Reasons				
Did not know where to go or who to tell	28.2	26.1	s	S	s	S
Did not think talking about it would make me feel better	33.2	27.0	44.5	S	S	S
Did not have private space where I could talk about this with a program or resource	S	S	0.0	0.0	S	0.0
Did not think anything would be done	41.4	41.6	38.7	S	S	S
Wanted to forget it happened	30.2	33.0	35.9	0.0	s	S
Had other things I needed to focus on	49.1	45.3	80.1	S	s	S
Did not have proof that behavior happened	20.8	23.9	s	S	0.0	S
Did not think it was serious enough	54.5	53.0	66.5	S	s	S
Felt I was partly responsible for what happened	22.1	18.3	40.2	0.0	0.0	S
Felt embarrassed or ashamed	18.0	20.0	s	0.0	0.0	S
Feared retaliation from the offender or others	22.3	19.1	31.0	S	0.0	S
Feared academic or professional consequences	10.1	10.5	s	0.0	0.0	0.0
Feared negative social consequences	19.8	21.4	s	0.0	0.0	S
Feared I wouldn't be able to control what steps would be taken	17.5	19.1	31.0	0.0	0.0	5
Did not want offender to get into trouble	15.6	16.4	0.0	S	0.0	S
Got the support I needed elsewhere	13.7	13.8	S	S	0.0	0.0
Other reason	6.3	S	S	S	0.0	S

Table D6. Percent of stalking victims who talked about experience to others

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type		
Percent of stalking victims who told the following persons about experience	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Friend	87.5	89.6	88.8	92.4	68.7	77.0
Spouse, sexual or romantic partner	32.7	22.8	62.4	S	S	s
Family member	33.8	35.0	39.2	S	S	s
aculty or instructor	8.6	S	22.2	S	0.0	0.0
Cornell staff member	10.8	S	26.2	S	S	0.0
lealth professional	16.4	15.9	26.2	S	0.0	s
piritual or religious advisor, leader or clergy	s	0.0	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
omeone else	4.3	s	s	S	0.0	0.0
Didn't tell anyone	8.4	7.1	0.0	S	s	S

Table E1. Percent of students^a who have experienced domestic or dating violence since attending Cornell

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro		
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Experienced domestic or dating violence	13.4	16.9	11.2	13.0	10.2	24.8
Specific forms of violence:						
Partner has controlled or tried to control you	5.3	6.6	2.6	6.5	3.5	16.6
Partner has threatened physical harm to you, someone else or themselves	3.9	4.0	3.6	4.3	3.1	s
Partner has used physical force against you	3.2	3.7	2.5	2.8	2.5	14.5
Partner has been verbally abusive to you	9.3	11.7	9.2	7.6	7.4	17.1

^aDomestic and dating violence questions were only asked of students who indicated that they were or had been in a partnered relationship.

Table E2. Number and gender of violent partners, and number of domestic or dating violence experiences in 2020-2021 academic year

		Women, by Enrollment Type		Men, by Enro	Ilment Type	
			Graduate or		Graduate or	
Percent of domestic or dating violence victims —	Total	Undergraduate	professional	Undergraduate	professional	TGQN
who reported:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Number of partners who behaved this way						
1 person	89.8	86.5	80.4	96.4	94.5	100.0
2 persons	7.8	11.5	17.0	0.0	S	0.0
3 or more persons	2.4	S	s	s	S	0.0
Gender of partners who behaved this way						
Man	59.4	91.1	93.4	14.5	14.8	72.3
Woman	38.5	8.0	s	83.1	80.9	S
Genderqueer, non-binary or another gender identity	s	S	0.0	S	s	0.0
Don't know or not sure	S	0.0	0.0	s	0.0	S
Number of times behavior was experienced in 20	20-2021 aca	demic year				
0 times	36.6	45.1	36.0	29.9	22.1	60.1
1 time	15.5	18.0	s	22.0	S	S
2 times	17.1	12.4	21.3	15.9	27.5	S
3-5 times	15.8	12.5	22.8	15.1	17.9	S
6 or more times	15.0	11.9	12.7	17.0	23.3	S

Table E3. Percent of students experiencing domestic or dating violence since entering Cornell, by victim characteristics

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type		
Percent of students who have experienced	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	
domestic or dating violence by victims':	%	%	%	%	%	
Sexual orientation						
Heterosexual	11.9	15.4	9.2	12.1	10.3	
LGBAQ	19.6	22.2	18.4	18.4	S	
Race and citizenship						
White (U.S.)	12.0	15.7	9.0	11.6	9.3	
Asian (U.S.)	16.5	19.9	17.7	10.8	S	
Black (U.S.)	20.2	28.3	S	S	S	
Hispanic (U.S.)	17.6	10.6	15.3	25.7	S	
Other/Multi (U.S.)	10.5	15.9	0.0	S	S	
International	10.7	16.4	12.1	S	9.1	
Have a disability						
No	10.4	11.3	8.8	12.1	8.9	
Yes	20.8	25.2	18.4	17.1	14.0	

Note: Percentages are not to be summed in columns. Each percentage represents the proportion of students within a particular enrollment type, gender and sociodemographic identity. For example, 15% of undergraduate women who identify as heterosexual reported experiencing domestic or dating violence since entering Cornell; this compares to 22% of undergraduate women who identify as LGBAQ.

Table E4. Percent of domestic or dating violence victims who contacted a program or resource, and reasons for not doing so

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	ollment Type	
			Graduate or		Graduate or	
-	Total	Undergraduate	professional	Undergraduate	professional	TGQN
<u>-</u>	%	%	%	%	%	<u></u> %
Contacted at least one program	16.2	19.5	26.0	S	S	S
Did not contact a program or resource to talk ab	out the exper	ience(s): Reasons				
Did not know where to go or who to tell	15.3	19.6	17.3	13.9	S	0.0
Did not think talking about it would make me feel better	34.1	41.9	41.1	27.2	22.8	S
Did not have private space where I could talk about this with a program or resource	2.6	S	S	0.0	S	0.0
Did not think anything would be done	23.4	31.1	33.6	s	23.9	S
Wanted to forget it happened	22.5	39.3	25.2	S	S	S
Had other things I needed to focus on	36.4	42.8	47.1	24.2	38.6	0.0
Did not have proof behavior happened	11.9	19.8	15.9	S	S	S
Did not think it was serious enough	51.3	52.2	62.2	49.1	40.2	S
Felt I was partly responsible for what happened	29.7	37.7	23.4	20.2	30.9	S
Felt embarrassed or ashamed	22.0	25.3	20.0	12.0	32.2	S
Feared retaliation from offender or others	8.4	17.0	S	S	S	0.0
Feared academic or professional consequences	3.2	S	S	0.0	S	0.0
Feared negative social consequences	10.0	11.2	S	S	S	S
Feared I wouldn't be able to control what steps would be taken	9.5	12.7	14.3	s	S	S
Did not want offender to get into trouble	18.6	23.7	24.5	12.6	S	s
Got the support I needed elsewhere	24.9	22.3	26.4	23.0	34.9	0.0
Other reason	4.9	S	S	S	S	0.0

Table E5. Percent of domestic or dating violence victims who talked about experience to others

		Women, by Enrollment Type		Men, by Enro	Men, by Enrollment Type	
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Friend	68.5	86.0	65.4	58.9	44.2	72.0
Spouse or romantic partner	14.9	18.9	10.9	S	s	s
Family member	25.7	26.4	48.1	17.3	s	s
Faculty or instructor	2.3	S	S	0.0	0.0	S
Cornell staff member	S	S	S	S	0.0	0.0
Health professional	19.4	21.9	26.4	S	17.7	S
Spiritual or religious advisor, leader or clergy	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Someone else	s	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Didn't tell anyone	23.1	10.0	17.7	36.3	43.4	S

Table F1. Percent of students who have experienced nonconsensual sexual contact involving physical force or incapacitation, since entering Cornell and in 2020-2021 academic year

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type	
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Percent of students who experienced:	%	%	%	%	%	%
In 2020-21 academic year Penetration or sexual touching	3.1	6.3	2.6	1.2	S	12.3
Penetration (attempted or completed)	1.3	3.0	1.2	5	0.0	5
Sexual touching	2.7	5.1	2.6	1.2	S	12.3
Since entering Cornell Penetration or sexual touching	10.7	20.9	9.4	5.8	1.7	25.7
Penetration (attempted or completed)	4.9	9.8	4.7	2.5	S	s
Sexual touching	9.7	19.1	8.3	4.9	1.7	24.4

Table F2. Percent of students who have experienced nonconsensual sexual contact involving physical force or incapacitation since entering Cornell by victim characteristics

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type		
Percent of students who have experienced	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	
Percent of students who have experienced nonconsensual sexual contact by victims':	%	%	%	%	%	
Sexual orientation						
Heterosexual	8.6	18.6	8.0	4.7	s	
LGBAQ	19.9	29.1	14.6	12.1	s	
Race and citizenship						
White (U.S.)	13.0	27.8	10.6	5.0	s	
Asian (U.S.)	8.0	12.9	7.3	S	0.0	
Black (U.S.)	14.2	20.7	S	S	0.0	
Hispanic (U.S.)	12.4	21.9	13.8	S	0.0	
Other/Multi (U.S.)	12.3	20.3	S	12.6	0.0	
International	6.9	18.8	8.5	S	s	
Have a disability						
No	6.7	14.7	6.8	3.8	s	
Yes	22.2	32.3	16.9	15.9	s	
Have been in a partnered relationship						
No	2.4	4.0	S	S	s	
Yes	14.6	30	12.5	7.9	s	

Note: Percentages are not to be summed in columns. Each percentage represents the proportion of students within a particular enrollment type, gender and sociodemographic identity (e.g., 13% of undergraduate women who identify as Asian (U.S.)) have experienced nonconsensual sexual contact since entering Cornell.

s

Table F3. Percent of students who experienced nonconsensual sexual contact involving physical force or incapacitation, in 2020-2021 academic year and since entering Cornell, by year in school or program

	Total	Women	Men
Percent of students who experienced:	%	%	%
Undergraduate Students			
In 2020-2021 academic year			
First year	5.5	7.3	S
Sophomore	5.4	10.1	0.0
Junior	2.8	4.4	S
Senior	3.1	3.9	S
Since entering Cornell			
First year	5.8	7.3	3.4
Sophomore	13.6	20.4	5.8
Junior	16.3	22.4	8.2
Senior	20.8	30.3	5.9
Graduate and Professional Students			_
In 2020-2021 academic year			
1st year	S	S	S
2nd year	2.8	5.7	0.0
3rd year	S	S	0.0
4th year or higher	S	S	0.0
Since entering Cornell			
1st year	3.4	4.9	S
2nd year	6.2	10.7	S
3rd year	6.6	9.5	S
4th year or higher	6.8	14.6	0.0

Table F4. Percent of students who experienced nonconsensual sexual contact since entering Cornell by tactic^a

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro		
Since entering Cornell, percent of students who experienced nonconsensual sexual —	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
contact involving:	%	%	%	%	%	%
Physical force	8.1	16.1	6.6	3.6	1.7	23.1
Sexual penetration or oral contact	3.2	7.5	1.9	1.2	s	S
Sexual touching	7.0	13.7	5.9	2.9	1.7	21.8
Incapacitation	5.6	10.7	5.5	3.7	.0	13.6
Sexual penetration or oral contact	2.7	5.0	3.3	1.6	0.0	S
Sexual touching	5.1	10.1	4.9	3.0	0.0	12.3
Coercion	.6	.9	1.0	S	S	S
Sexual penetration or oral contact	.6	0.8	1.0	S	S	s
Sexual touching	.4	S	S	S	S	S
Absence of affirmative consent	8.6	16.6	8.5	3.6	2.1	21.1
Sexual penetration or oral contact	5.0	10.0	6.6	1.1	S	9.4
Sexual touching	7.3	14.3	6.6	3.3	1.6	21.1
Physical force or incapacitation	10.7	20.9	9.4	5.8	1.7	25.7
Sexual penetration or oral contact	4.9	9.8	4.7	2.5	s	S
Sexual touching	9.7	19.1	8.3	4.9	1.7	24.4
Physical force, incapacitation or absence of affirmative consent	14.0	27.0	12.7	7.5	2.9	29.4
Sexual penetration or oral contact	7.4	14.6	8.2	2.7	S	14.1
Sexual touching	12.6	24.3	10.8	7.1	2.5	29.4
Physical force, incapacitation, coercion or absence of affirmative consent	14.1	27.1	12.7	7.7	2.9	31.0
Sexual penetration or oral contact	7.4	14.7	8.2	2.7	1.2	15.7
Sexual touching	12.7	24.4	10.8	7.3	2.5	31.0

^aPrevalence values cannot be summed across categories of tactics. An incident of nonconsensual sexual contact may have involved the use of more than one tactic.

Table F5. Percent of students who experienced nonconsensual sexual contact in 2020-2021 academic year by tactic^a

		Women, by En	rollment Type	ype Men, by Enrollment Type			
In 2020-2021 academic year, percent of students who experienced nonconsensual —	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN	
sexual contact involving:	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Physical force	1.9	3.8	1.8	S	S	s	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	0.7	2.0	s	0.0	0.0	s	
Sexual touching	1.6	2.8	1.8	S	S	s	
Incapacitation	1.9	3.7	1.6	S	0.0	12.3	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	0.8	1.6	1.0	s	0.0	S	
Sexual touching	1.7	3.1	1.6	S	0.0	12.3	
Coercion	.2	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Sexual touching	S	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0	
Absence of affirmative consent	2.9	6.5	3.0	S	0.0	S	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	1.6	3.3	2.6	0.0	0.0	s	
Sexual touching	2.3	5.3	1.8	S	0.0	S	
Physical force or incapacitation	3.1	6.3	2.6	1.2	S	12.3	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	1.3	3.0	1.2	s	0.0	S	
Sexual touching	2.7	5.1	2.6	1.2	S	12.3	
Physical force, incapacitation or absence of affirmative consent	4.5	9.2	4.6	1.8	S	12.3	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	2.3	4.7	3.4	S	0.0	s	
Sexual touching	3.9	7.8	3.6	1.8	S	12.3	
Physical force, incapacitation, coercion or absence of affirmative consent	4.6	9.3	4.6	2.0	S	12.3	
Sexual penetration or oral contact	2.3	4.7	3.4	s	0.0	S	
Sexual touching	4.0	7.8	3.6	2.0	S	12.3	

^aPrevalence values cannot be summed across categories of tactics. An incident of nonconsensual sexual contact may have involved the use of more than one tactic.

Table G1. Type of contact experienced and number of offenders in most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type
Percent of victims of nonconsensual sexual contact —	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
who reported:	%	%	%	%	%
Type of nonconsensual sexual contact experienced in in	ncident				
Penetration	16.0	17.5	18.7	S	S
Sexual touching	58.2	56.8	55.7	64.0	68.4
Both penetration and sexual touching	25.8	25.7	25.6	25.6	S
Type of tactic(s) involved in incident					
Perpetrator used physical force or threats of physical force	18.8	18.5	22.8	S	S
I was unable to consent due to incapacitation	38.9	38.8	35.8	50.2	S
Perpetrator threatened serious non-physical harm or promised rewards	5.5	3.9	8.2	S	0.0
Perpetrator did this without my affirmative consent	70.7	72.7	71.7	56.4	65.4
When incident occurred					
Since the beginning of the fall 2020 semester	27.1	26.5	35.1	22.9	s
Prior to the fall 2020 semester	72.9	73.5	64.9	77.1	89.7

Table G2. Characteristics of offenders in most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type		
Percent of victims who reported the following -	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	
offender characteristics:	%	%	%	%	%	
Number of offenders						
1 person	89.3	87.2	92.6	91.5	100.0	
2 persons	7.5	8.4	7.4	S	0.0	
3 or more persons	3.3	4.4	0.0	s	0.0	
Gender						
Man	88.2	98.0	97.3	30.3	73.3	
Woman	11.4	2.6	0.0	67.1	S	
Genderqueer, non-binary, or another gender	S	S	0.0	S	0.0	
Affiliation with Cornell						
Student	82.1	87.9	67.2	79.8	80.6	
Faculty	s	0.0	0.0	0.0	s	
Staff or administrator	1.8	S	S	0.0	S	
Other affiliation	1.3	S	s	0.0	0.0	
Not affiliated	14.8	10.4	25.9	20.2	0.0	
Don't know if affiliated	2.8	2.6	S	S	0.0	
Relationship to victim						
Current intimate partner	26.8	25.2	30.6	18.6	s	
Previous intimate partner	9.1	8.6	10.8	S	0.0	
Friend	22.6	22.4	15.5	21.1	S	
Acquaintance	18.2	22.3	11.1	s	S	
Classmate	7.5	4.9	23.7	0.0	S	
Someone I just met at a social event	27.6	32.4	15.9	32.3	S	
Someone I just met on-line	6.9	6.9	S	S	S	
Faculty or instructor	S	0.0	0.0	0.0	S	
Academic, research or clinical advisor	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0	
Teaching assistant or research assistant	s	S	s	0.0	0.0	
Coach or trainer	s	0.0	s	0.0	0.0	
Residence hall student staff	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Other staff or administrator	S	0.0	s	0.0	S	
Employer or supervisor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Co-worker	s	S	s	0.0	0.0	
Someone I did not know or recognize	7.1	7.6	s	s	0.0	
Other	2.3	S	S	S	0.0	

Table G3. Involvement of alcohol or other drugs in most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type	
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
Percent of victims who reported:	%	%	%	%	%
Perpetrator(s) drank alcohol prior to incident					
No	31.1	29.5	31.7	27.3	63.6
Yes	55.5	55.6	60.3	54.5	S
Don't know	13.4	15.0	7.9	18.2	0.0
Perpetrator(s) used other recreational drugs prior t	o incident				
No	47.4	45.1	55.6	42.4	72.7
Yes	8.9	9.8	S	S	S
Don't know	43.7	45.1	38.1	54.5	S
Victim drank alcohol prior to incident					
No	43.5	42.6	38.7	45.5	81.8
Yes	56.5	57.4	61.3	54.5	s
Victim used recreational drugs prior to incident					
No	91.3	91.1	95.2	84.8	100.0
Yes	8.7	8.9	S	15.2	0.0
Victim was given alcohol or another drug without co	onsent prior to inci	dent			
No	90.2	89.7	93.7	81.8	100.0
Yes, I am certain	1.8	S	S	S	0.0
I suspect but am not certain	3.1	2.9	S	S	0.0
Don't know	4.9	5.4	S	S	0.0
Victim was conscious but incapacitated by alcohol of	r other drugs for a	all or parts of incident			
No	61.8	58.3	65.1	63.6	90.9
Yes	32.9	35.8	31.7	30.3	S
Not sure	5.2	5.9	S	S	0.0
Victim was passed out or unconscious for all or part	ts of incident				
No	87.4	85.8	88.9	84.8	100.0
Yes	4.9	4.9	S	S	0.0
Not sure	7.7	9.3	s	s	0.0

Table G4. Location of most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type	
Percent of victims who reported the most serious —	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
incident occurred in:	%	%	%	%	%
Residence hall	25.8	30.3	S	38.7	S
Student cooperative house	S	0.0	0.0	s	0.0
Graduate or professional student housing	3.9	S	12.5	0.0	S
Fraternity chapter house	11.8	15.5	s	S	0.0
Sorority chapter house	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Academic building on campus	S	0.0	S	0.0	0.0
Other type of non-residential campus facility	S	S	0.0	0.0	S
Fraternity annex	5.6	8.5	0.0	S	0.0
Sorority annex	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-campus house or apartment unofficially affiliated with other type of student club	12.7	11.9	12.9	18.9	s
Other off-campus house, apartment or private residence	23.4	18.0	46.0	S	S
Restaurant, bar or club	3.1	2.8	7.9	0.0	0.0
Outdoor or recreational space	3.0	3.5	S	0.0	0.0
Some other location	6.6	6.9	S	S	0.0
Don't know or don't remember where it occurred	S	S	0.0	S	0.0

Table G5. Impacts experienced by victims as a result of the most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type	
_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
Percent of victims who reported experiencing:	%	%	%	%	%
Health and social impacts					
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	27.6	28.6	32.5	15.8	s
Loss of interest in daily activities	20.7	16.7	28.6	17.3	s
Feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	30.0	28.3	31.0	22.1	s
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	19.8	16.9	25.3	17.4	s
Feeling numb or detached	37.6	37.4	38.1	27.5	s
Headaches or stomach aches	10.5	10.2	16.0	s	0.0
Increased or decreased appetite	16.4	14.5	23.5	s	S
Increased drug or alcohol use	9.5	7.4	12.3	16.5	0.0
Changed residence	2.6	2.9	S	S	0.0
Withdrawal from interactions with friends	20.5	17.4	22.6	24.1	S
Decreased social activities	24.2	20.4	28.5	25.8	S
None of the above	39.8	41.7	35.2	45.2	52.2
Academic and professional impacts					
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	36.5	33.4	43.0	30.0	S
Difficulty concentrating on thesis/dissertation research or lab/clinical duties	9.6	6.3	14.1	S	S
Decreased class attendance	15.3	15.2	17.8	s	0.0
Dropped or changed a class	5.4	6.0	s	0.0	s
Considered leaving school or program	8.6	7.8	15.4	s	0.0
Took a leave of absence	2.1	S	S	0.0	0.0
Changed advisors	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
Changed career plan	3.4	4.5	S	s	0.0
None of the above	57.6	59.7	54.1	64.2	50.9
Other impacts					
Physically injured	4.5	5.3	S	s	0.0
Contracted a sexually transmitted infection	2.0	2.6	0.0	s	0.0
Became pregnant	S	S	0.0	0.0	0.0
None of the above	93.6	92.3	97.3	93.0	100.0

Table G6. Percent of victims who contacted a program or resource about most serious incident, and reasons for not doing so

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enro	Ilment Type
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional
Percent of victims who:	%	%	%	%	%
Contacted at least one program	16.4	14.5	26.4	S	S
Did not contact a program or resource to talk about the	experience: R	easons			
Did not know where to go or who to tell	12.2	12.5	11.6	S	S
Did not think talking about it would make me feel better	40.8	42.3	30.8	38.0	S
Did not have private space where I could talk about this with a program or resource	3.9	4.4	s	0.0	s
Did not think anything would be done	21.8	22.4	27.2	S	S
Wanted to forget it happened	31.2	31.2	23.0	22.5	52.5
Had other things I needed to focus on	37.6	34.6	49.9	35.2	s
Did not have proof that behavior happened	21.5	22.4	28.2	S	S
Did not think it was serious enough	54.3	50.9	60.2	60.3	57.4
Felt I was partly responsible for what happened	32.1	29.8	34.7	22.1	S
Felt embarrassed or ashamed	25.4	21.2	33.1	S	S
Feared retaliation from offender or others	9.2	8.7	10.2	S	S
Feared academic or professional consequences	3.7	s	11.4	0.0	0.0
Feared negative social consequences	13.1	10.5	22.6	S	S
Feared I wouldn't be able to control what steps would be taken	9.4	9.4	S	0.0	S
Did not want offender to get into trouble	17.9	14.5	29.6	S	S
Got the support I needed elsewhere	15.8	18.8	17.9	S	0.0
Other reason	6.2	7.0	S	S	0.0

Table G7. Percent of victims who talked about most serious incident of nonconsensual sexual contact to others

		Women, by En	rollment Type	Men, by Enrollment Type		
	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	
Percent of victims who talked to:	%	%	%	%	%	
Friend	74.4	78.3	71.6	68.6	63.0	
Spouse, sexual or romantic partner	18.7	19.4	25.1	S	0.0	
Family member	14.3	15.7	22.1	0.0	0.0	
Faculty or instructor	3.1	3.2	S	0.0	0.0	
Cornell staff member	3.7	2.7	S	0.0	0.0	
Health professional	13.9	11.9	27.9	S	S	
Spiritual or religious advisor, leader or clergy	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Someone else	S	S	S	0.0	0.0	
Didn't tell anyone	21.7	18.6	23.9	24.7	S	

Table H1. Students' bystander experiences

		Women, by Enrollment Type		Men, by Enro	llment Type		
	_	Total	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	Undergraduate	Graduate or professional	TGQN
Since you have been a student	at Cornell, have you:	%	%	%	%	%	%
	<u>Have not</u> experienced this situation	72.8	61.7	81.3	71.7	86.8	65.9
intervened in some way to	$\underline{\text{Have}}$ experienced this situation and I	nave:					
help a friend avoid an unwanted, uncomfortable, or	Never responded this way	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.8	1.3	0.0
risky social interaction?	Sometimes responded this way	4.3	4.2	3.2	5.7	3.9	2.3
	Usually responded this way	12.8	19.7	9.8	12.1	3.1	13.6
	Always responded this way	9.5	14.1	5.1	9.7	4.9	18.2
	Have not experienced this situation	58.2	50.7	62.8	53.4	74.4	46.7
vocally objected to a	$\underline{\text{Have}}$ experienced this situation and $\underline{\text{I}}$	nave:					
statement or joke that was lewd, rude or degrading about	Never responded this way	5.1	4.4	4.9	6.6	4.4	11.1
another person at the time you	Sometimes responded this way	18.3	20.3	15.5	23.1	13.2	13.3
heard it?	Usually responded this way	13.7	17.5	12.9	13.3	4.9	26.7
	Always responded this way	4.8	7.1	4.0	3.6	3.1	2.2
thought about vocally	Have not experienced this situation	63.0	56.0	66.1	59.8	78.9	51.1
objecting to a statement or	Have experienced this situation and I	nave:					
joke that was lewd, rude or degrading about another	Never responded this way	5.2	5.4	4.4	5.7	4.4	6.7
person but decided not to	Sometimes responded this way	23.1	26.5	22.5	26.2	11.7	33.3
because of how others were reacting or might react?	Usually responded this way	6.8	9.3	5.7	6.2	4.2	6.7
. cacaning or imagine reacti	Always responded this way	2.0	2.9	1.3	2.1	0.8	2.2
	Have not experienced this situation	83.8	77.0	88.2	82.4	95.1	71.1
vocally objected to a comment that someone made	Have experienced this situation and I	nave:					
about a nonconsensual or	Never responded this way	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.5	0.5	2.2
unwanted sexual incident because you thought the	Sometimes responded this way	4.9	5.7	3.8	7.0	2.3	6.7
comment was victim blaming?	Usually responded this way	4.9	7.3	3.0	5.5	1.3	11.1
	Always responded this way	5.1	8.8	3.8	3.6	0.8	8.9

Table H2. Cornell students' beliefs about the importance of bystander responses to situations involving sexual assault or misconduct

			Women, by Enrollment Type		Men, by Enrollment Type		
Percent of students who agree or disagree with the following		Total %	Undergraduate %	Graduate or professional %	Undergraduate %	Graduate or professional	TGQN %
statements		90	70	70	70	70	70
I believe it's important to speak up when I hear someone making inappropriate sexual comments, jokes, or gestures to another person.	Strongly disagree	0.9	1.5	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.0
	Disagree	1.9	0.6	0.4	3.8	2.6	4.0
	Agree	35.4	30.8	29.8	44.6	38.1	22.9
	Strongly agree	61.7	67.0	69.4	50.9	58.5	73.1
I believe it's important to intervene if I see someone at Cornell behaving in a controlling or abusive way towards a dating or sexual partner.	Strongly disagree	0.8	1.3	0.5	0.6	0.9	0.0
	Disagree	1.4	1.0	1.1	2.0	1.4	1.2
	Agree	25.2	21.9	29.0	26.2	27.3	12.0
	Strongly agree	72.6	75.9	69.4	71.3	70.4	86.8