



Al-Qaeda and WMD Terrorism: Has the Worst Case Returned?

Sara Harmouch

To cite this article: Sara Harmouch (2025) Al-Qaeda and WMD Terrorism: Has the Worst Case Returned?, *The Washington Quarterly*, 48:4, 43-64, DOI: [10.1080/0163660X.2025.2595890](https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2025.2595890)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2025.2595890>



Published online: 23 Dec 2025.



Submit your article to this journal 



Article views: 29



View related articles 



View Crossmark data 

Al-Qaeda and WMD Terrorism: Has the Worst Case Returned?

By the time US forces entered Afghanistan in the immediate aftermath of 9/11, it was almost too late. In al-Qaeda compounds, these forces found writings on biological and chemical warfare, including plans to produce mustard gas and the nerve agents VX and sarin.¹ They discovered labs where the research and development of chemical and biological agents were underway, and they found videotapes of experimentation with cyanide-based nerve agents.² The United States also uncovered al-Qaeda ties with Pakistani nuclear scientists for the purpose of acquiring or developing a nuclear weapon.³ These findings shattered the idea that al-Qaeda's interest in weapons of mass destruction (WMD) was theoretical or exaggerated. Indeed, they demonstrated that the group's chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear (CBRN) programs had already begun.

Al-Qaeda's work on WMD was a result of its longstanding ambition to acquire such weapons. Years before the 2001 attacks on New York and Washington, the group's leaders saw the acquisition of CBRN not as an option but as an obligation. Osama bin Laden, the group's leader, called it a "Muslim religious duty." His deputy, Ayman al-Zawahiri, oversaw the group's nuclear and biological efforts, while Anwar al-Awlaki, a leading al-Qaeda operative and propagandist, justified the use of biological and chemical agents.⁴ In its writings, al-Qaeda treated WMD

Sara Harmouch is the CEO of H9 Defense, a national security research consultancy and government contractor specializing in counterterrorism, geopolitical risk analysis, threat assessments, and open-source intelligence (OSINT). She also consults on terrorism and security issues for US and international government agencies as well as the private sector. She can be reached by email at saraharmouch@h9defense.com and followed on X at [@Dr_SaraHarmouch.](https://twitter.com/Dr_SaraHarmouch)

© 2025 The Elliott School of International Affairs

The Washington Quarterly • 48:4 pp. 43–64

<https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2025.2595890>

both as weapons they would use and as strategic equalizers in its asymmetric war against the West.

Today, nearly three decades later, al-Qaeda's enduring interest in WMD remains evident. Recent al-Qaeda publications reaffirm the group's continued resolve to acquire and use WMD.⁵ On June 6, 2025, Ibrahim al-Qosi—known as Sheikh Khubayb al-Sudani and a senior figure in al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula (AQAP)—issued a statement in the group's Arabic-language newsletter. Quoting earlier al-Qaeda propaganda from September 2023, he reiterated the organization's commitment to attacks against the United States, asserting that preparations were ongoing and intended to surpass 9/11 in impact and scale. He added that the effort, though progressing slowly, would eventually overcome US defensive measures.⁶

Three factors erode the technical barriers that once confined WMD to state actors

Importantly, that intent now coincides with a shifting operational environment: renewed safe havens, diminished US intelligence reach, and the rapid diffusion of dual-use technologies. Together, these factors erode the technical and logistical barriers that once confined WMD development to state actors. Emerging sanctuaries enable experimentation; technological diffusion accelerates access to materials and expertise;

and constrained intelligence collection has weakened situational awareness.⁷ As the 9/11 Commission concluded, the attacks of 2001 were, in part, the result of a failure of imagination. Today, imagining the prospect of catastrophic terrorism is getting easier, and it is time for policymakers and intelligence agencies to heed the warnings and take action.

To this end, this article proceeds in four parts. First, it revisits al-Qaeda's long history of pursuing CBRN capabilities—an effort far more advanced, global, and institutionally durable than often remembered. Second, it examines a new wave of al-Qaeda doctrinal statements and internal publications that signal renewed strategic intent among senior leaders. Third, it assesses why today's operating environment is far more permissive. Finally, the article outlines the policy steps needed to prevent strategic surprise, including restoring visibility, securing vulnerable CBRN materials, and adopting a set of innovative measures such as non-state CBRN early warning indicators, global DNA-synthesis screening standards, targeted procurement-disruption initiatives, AI-enabled forecasting models, and enhanced regional cooperation to choke off the supply chains that terrorist groups increasingly exploit. Together, these steps aim to reorient counterterrorism toward emerging forms of mass-effect terrorism.

Al-Qaeda's WMD Past

Al-Qaeda's pursuit of WMD began early. In the 1990s, the group paid \$1.5 million for uranium in Sudan, which was tested in Cyprus and deemed genuine.⁸ Additionally, US officials believed bin Laden "had invested in and almost certainly ha[d] access to VX."⁹ In Afghanistan, al-Qaeda built labs, trained operatives in chemical and biological warfare, and recruited credentialed scientists.¹⁰ Pakistani microbiologist Rauf Ahmed and US-trained Malaysian army captain Yazid Sufaat established a lab and obtained anthrax strains—efforts disrupted only by the US invasion.¹¹ Al-Qaeda also forged ties with Umma Tameer e Nau, a Pakistani NGO run by retired nuclear scientists and military officers.¹² Had the United States not dismantled al-Qaeda's Afghan sanctuary and garnered the assistance of the Pakistani government, al-Qaeda might have acquired or built a workable nuclear device.¹³ According to former CIA officer Rolf Mowatt-Larssen, who led the agency's internal task force on al-Qaeda and WMD, the group's program was "far from run-of-the-mill terrorism." It was, he said, "highly compartmentalized, at the highest level of the organization. It was methodical, and it was professional."¹⁴ Though disrupted, these efforts created a durable operational model: recruit credentialed specialists, hide them within permissive sanctuaries, and iterate toward capability.

Al-Qaeda's CBRN program was not confined to Afghanistan. It traveled, adapted, and took root in new environments. In Iraq, Abu Musab al-Zarqawi's network built ricin labs, weaponized chlorine, and in 2004 nearly executed a mass-casualty chemical attack in Jordan using 20 tons of industrial toxins.¹⁵ In Yemen, al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula (AQAP) acquired castor beans—the ingredient from which ricin is derived—and lab tools to refine ricin for attacks on the United States.¹⁶ In Southeast Asia, Sufaat briefed senior al-Qaeda leaders on what he described as successful anthrax work and later sought to restart the program through medical lab fronts in Malaysia, with plans to relocate operations to Indonesia.¹⁷ Once centered locally, al-Qaeda's WMD efforts had become a near-global problem.

Over time, al-Qaeda moved from experimentation to attempted operationalization. In 2002, US agents arrested José Padilla in Chicago, whom al-Qaeda tasked with building a radiological "dirty bomb" for use in the United States.¹⁸ In the United Kingdom, police uncovered a toxin lab tied to al-Qaeda-trained Algerian Kamel Bourgass containing ricin formulas, cyanide and botulinum recipes, and castor beans intended for a mass poisoning attack.¹⁹ Between 2003 and 2004, European authorities dismantled multiple jihadist cells with ties to al-Qaeda operatives—many found with chemical and biological weapon manuals.²⁰ In 2008, Aafia Siddiqui, a US-educated neuroscientist linked to

al-Qaeda, was detained in Afghanistan carrying sodium cyanide, chemical gels, and handwritten plans for attacks in New York.²¹

Why, then, has al-Qaeda never executed a successful WMD attack against the United States? It is not because the group lacked ambition, intent, or even technical capability. Rather, the United States and its allies worked relentlessly to prevent it. After 9/11, the Global War on Terror imposed sustained pressure on al-Qaeda's ability to plan, experiment, and execute. Intelligence services dismantled labs, disrupted plots, eliminated technical personnel, and decapitated leadership. As former Acting CIA Director Michael Morell noted, "The absence of any al-Qaeda attack, including a WMD attack, on the Homeland in the aftermath of 9/11 was due more than anything else to the work of the USG, particularly CIA and NSA." He added that it was "even possible that

the work of the U.S. Intelligence Community prevented al-Qaeda from acquiring a Pakistani nuclear weapon."²²

What once prevented a WMD attack was the vigilance of the US; that vigilance has waned

That success was earned, not assumed. It depended on a level of intelligence visibility and sustained counterterrorism focus that no longer exists. As will be described further below, the systems that once constrained al-Qaeda have weakened, while the group's intent remains unchanged. It still seeks an attack that would eclipse 9/11 in both scale and symbolism. As Mowatt-Larssen put it,

the group "needed something bigger and better than 9/11."²³ What once prevented it was the vigilance of the United States; that vigilance has waned. Al-Qaeda's intent has not.

Strategic Intent, Renewed

Al-Qaeda's CBRN ambitions remain as strong today as they were at any time before or after 9/11. Even after bin Laden's death, documents recovered from his compound included Iranian nuclear site maps, and recent publications by senior figures continue to reaffirm the organization's intent. In 2016, longtime al-Qaeda strategist Abu Musab al-Suri described biological agents as both tactically effective and morally justified. He proposed "infecting enemy forces with temporary viral illnesses" and advocated deploying gas via "artillery shells or low-flying aircraft."²⁴

In September 2022, al-Qaeda's media apparatus released a book by the late Abu Muhammad al-Masri—al-Zawahiri's former deputy—laying out a roadmap

for CBRN development.²⁵ Although written in September 2020, shortly before an Israeli strike killed him in Iran, the text is significant: al-Masri drafted it while being sheltered alongside Saif al-Adel, now al-Qaeda's de facto leader.²⁶ The document urged jihadist groups to pursue tactical nuclear options, chemical and biological dispersal methods, and radiological sabotage—indicating that al-Adel was likely aware of, and involved in shaping, these plans. “Al-Qaeda is seeking to acquire tactical nuclear weapons to use them on American soil,” al-Masri wrote, or to “crash a fuel-laden aircraft near a nuclear reactor” to inflict comparable harm. He argued that WMD had “catastrophic effects” and were weapons jihadists “must strive to possess,” identifying Israel and the United States as primary targets. He claimed that within a few years such weapons would be within reach, and “at that point, the equation will change.” His prescriptions were concrete: allocate scientific budgets for weapons research, embed skilled youth in elite universities in the West and Asia, and collaborate with scientists to build private labs. Raw materials, he asserted, were “not difficult” to obtain, citing the group’s access to global supply chains.

Al-Masri framed this push for WMD not as extremism, but as moral retaliation. He argued that acquiring and using WMD was not aggression, but justice. “No weapon is exempt, even if it is prohibited by international law,” he wrote, citing US use of depleted uranium and white phosphorus in Iraq and Israel’s use of banned munitions in Gaza. Al-Masri portrayed WMD use as justified retribution, recasting mass casualty attacks as legitimate responses to perceived Western crimes.²⁷ The same ideological currents that justified 9/11 continue to shape today’s WMD ambitions.

In November 2022, al-Qaeda published another document attributed to its late intelligence chief, Abu Ubaydah Abdullah al-Adam, offering guidance on acquiring chemical precursors while evading detection. He described “mini nukes” capable of destroying areas up to 50 square kilometers and echoed a common slogan in al-Qaeda manuals: “Your weapon should be the same weapon your enemy uses.”²⁸

In June 2023, AQAP senior figure Ibrahim al-Qosi released a revised edition of his 2021 book that included a new chapter on WMD, signaling renewed emphasis within AQAP.²⁹ He argued that possession of such capabilities would be necessary “to use whenever [the group] needed to,” invoking long-standing figures such as Ali Sayyid Muhammed Mustafa al-Bakri—also known as Abu Abd al-Aziz al-Masri—whom 9/11 mastermind Khalid Sheikh Mohammed referred to as al-Qaeda’s “nuclear chief.”³⁰ A university-trained chemist, shura council member, and longtime

The same
ideological currents
that justified 9/11
shape al-Qaeda’s
WMD ambitions
today

instructor at al-Qaeda's Afghan camps, al-Bakri oversaw its early chemical weapons program, underscoring the continuity of institutional expertise.

And finally, in July 2023, Saif al-Adel, al-Qaeda's de facto leader, published a book in which he addressed the psychological and tactical value of WMD. Al-Adel declared that "there is no way to deter criminals in America, Europe, Russia, and others except by possessing weapons of mass destruction." Possession, he stressed, was essential, even if "their use depended on the actions of the enemy." Al-Adel, who has long overseen al-Qaeda's CBRN efforts, emphasized WMD's psychological utility as much as their physical effect: "The terror that results from such advanced weapons deters," he wrote. He advocated "dirty wars," including poisoning wells and food supplies or contaminating them with bacteria to sicken enemy forces.³¹

Operationally, al-Qaeda has prioritized lower-barrier, high-consequence options—crude toxins, chemical agents, and pathogens—valued for their capacity to create disruption, impose economic costs, and generate political leverage. The COVID-19 pandemic provided a salient example: a single biological threat produced widespread economic and social disruption, a point al-Qaeda highlighted in its commentary. Al-Qaeda noted that "economies of major nations lie in ruin ... including army and security, pinned down by an invisible enemy," and that "norms of social behavior, lifestyles, everything is being redefined."³² For the group, CBRN capabilities function primarily as psychological and political tools within a broader strategy of asymmetric exhaustion—imposing disproportionate social and economic strain at relatively low technical cost.³³

Low Barriers, High Consequences

While al-Qaeda's resolve has remained strong, the operational world around it has grown even more permissive. For the first time in decades, the group operates under conditions increasingly favorable to turning intent into action. The withdrawal of US and allied forces from Afghanistan and Africa has enabled a resurgence of terrorist safe havens—many now beyond effective US monitoring—while rapid technological advances have lowered the once-high barriers to CBRN terrorism.³⁴ What once required state labs and elite specialists is now within reach of determined actors, narrowing the gap between ambition and execution.

Waning Vigilance: Unseen and Unchecked

Al-Qaeda has reestablished sanctuaries that replicate pre-9/11 conditions, enabling movement, experimentation, and concealment. In 2024, the UN reported up to eight new training camps across Afghanistan's Ghazni,

Laghman, Parwan, and Uruzgan Provinces, with weapon stockpiles in Panjshir and safe houses in Farah, Helmand, Kabul, and Herat—all under the Taliban’s watch.³⁵ Al-Qaeda’s own writings highlight the advantages of these terrains. They offer natural air-gap protection—geographic separation that limits electronic and physical surveillance—and provide cover for sensitive work. Just as the group operated chemical and biological labs in Afghanistan before 2001, these sanctuaries now enable concealment for CBRN experimentation and insulation from detection. With this cover, the group could move beyond basic research, experimenting with radiological devices, preliminary nuclear-related components, and other sensitive materials in relative safety.³⁶ Reports confirm the return of senior operatives, weapon experts, and commanders to Afghanistan, while facilitators now travel freely on Taliban-issued documents, allowing skilled technicians to rotate through camps and transfer expertise.³⁷

Other theaters present similarly permissive conditions. Across Yemen, East Africa, and the Sahel, the withdrawal of US, allied, and local forces has enabled al-Qaeda’s affiliates to establish and expand their sanctuaries.³⁸ In Yemen, AQAP reconsolidated its presence across Shabwa, Ma’rib, Hadramawt, and Abyan, facilitating cross-border movement, establishing new training camps, and planning external attacks.³⁹ In East Africa, al-Shabaab—al-Qaeda’s Somali affiliate—has similarly capitalized on troop drawdowns, reclaiming territory from southern to central Somalia.⁴⁰ These interconnected safe havens now allow unhindered logistical flows, recruitment, weapons and personnel movement, and growing transnational reach.⁴¹ In the Sahel, recent coups and force withdrawals have provided Jama’at Nusrat al-Islam wal-Muslimin (JNIM) unprecedented territorial dominance.⁴² The resulting safe havens support recruitment, weapons stockpiling, and operational planning.⁴³ Together, they have intensified coordination among AQAP, al-Shabaab, and JNIM, strengthening their collective capacity through the exchange of fighters, funds, and expertise.

Al-Qaeda’s new safe havens, combined with a shift in resources from counter-terrorism to great power competition, have complicated intelligence collection and led to a sharp decline in US intelligence visibility. In 2023, former Director of National Intelligence (DNI) Avril Haines admitted, “We are not able to collect as much information today as we were … when the troops were in Afghanistan.” She added that intelligence collection had “definitely degraded from what we had previously.” General Michael Kurilla, commander of US Central

**New safe havens
and a resource shift
to great power
competition have
reduced US intelli-
gence visibility**

Command (CENTCOM), echoed this: “We lack the granularity to see the complete threat picture.”⁴⁴

The shortfall extends to Africa, where coverage is fragmented and assets are limited. General Michael Langley, commander of US Africa Command (AFRICOM), warned that his forces struggle to detect when militant groups might evolve into threats against the United States or Europe. “We want to be able to monitor,” he said, “to see if it metastasizes into increased capability.” Additionally, a former US official told the *Washington Post* in June 2025 that “in a region where we used to monitor what was happening, we no longer have the tools.”⁴⁵ These blind spots matter. Early-stage CBRN activity, such as procurement, experimentation, or movement, often leaves only faint traces. And those traces are precisely what today’s intelligence environment is least equipped to detect. Al-Qaeda, which compartmentalizes such efforts by design, now benefits from the widening intelligence gap. For the first time in decades, intent, capability, and opportunity are aligning, just as oversight is eroding.

Technology: Ambition Meets Access

Just as safe havens return and intelligence collection falters, the technological threshold for CBRN use is collapsing—and terrorists appear to be breaching parts of it.⁴⁶ Once confined to state-run programs, tools like CRISPR gene-editing kits (used to precisely alter DNA), DNA synthesizers, 3D printers, and automated lab equipment are now cheap, accessible, and widely available, reducing the cost and complexity of weaponization.⁴⁷ Some CRISPR kits sell for under \$150 and are marketed for home use, while commercial DNA synthesis companies operate in regions where al-Qaeda is active, with inconsistent screening for hazardous sequences.⁴⁸ Advances in synthetic biology and protein engineering platforms now make it possible to modify pathogens, enhance toxins, and even reconstruct extinct viruses. Researchers have already demonstrated this potential by using CRISPR to alter influenza virulence and increase antibiotic resistance in *E. coli*.⁴⁹ Even modestly trained individuals can now design pathogens or produce toxins with off-the-shelf gear.

Delivery and optimization have also become easier. Compact synthesis units and basic lab containers now reduce handling risks, while commercial drones capable of carrying 20-pound payloads are widely available and increasingly used in conflict zones.⁵⁰ Guided via GPS, these platforms can disperse aerosolized agents—anthrax spores, botulinum toxin, or engineered viruses—over water supplies or ventilation systems with plausible deniability. Al-Qaeda affiliates have already incorporated drones in conventional attacks, and groups such as AQAP, al-Shabaab, and JNIM have moved from drone experimentation to operational weaponization.⁵¹ At the same time, online markets traffic in toxins like

abrin and ricin, and jihadist forums circulate manuals and tutorials.⁵² Artificial intelligence compounds these risks: large language models can generate step-by-step instructions for producing bioagents or nerve agents, and AI-driven design tools enable pathogen optimization for greater virulence or resistance.⁵³ Al-Qaeda publications have already discussed exploiting these platforms for tactical gain. As political scientist Graham Allison once warned, “Terrorists have for the most part been technically challenged. Should that change, the overall picture could also change dramatically overnight.”⁵⁴ Although we don’t know to what extent al-Qaeda may be taking advantage of these technologies, Allison’s moment may be here.

The contrast with earlier decades is stark. In the 1990s, the Japanese cult Aum Shinrikyo invested in weaponizing anthrax and botulinum toxin but failed to produce virulent strains or reliable delivery systems, turning instead to chemical agents.⁵⁵ Aum’s failure reflected capability gaps rather than a lack of intent—a limitation al-Qaeda also confronted in its Afghanistan-based anthrax project under Sufaat, which had not progressed to a weaponizable agent before the US invasion. Today, however, synthetic biology, commercial manufacturing, and off-the-shelf tools have lowered the expertise and infrastructure once required, shifting what was a hard ceiling into a permeable threshold. For a group long defined by patience, these shifts represent opportunity.

Although direct evidence of active biological or chemical weaponization remains limited, several al-Qaeda affiliates are developing the operational concepts, enabling technologies, and infrastructure that would make such capabilities increasingly viable. AQAP has openly promoted CBRN ambitions and pursued unconventional external operations. In 2010, The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) uncovered an AQAP plot to slip ricin and cyanide into salad bars and buffets at US hotels and restaurants.⁵⁶ A year later, the *New York Times* reported that US counterterrorism officials were “increasingly concerned” that AQAP was attempting to produce ricin to pack around small explosives for attacks on the United States.⁵⁷

Al-Shabaab has also pursued chemical capabilities. As early as 2013, a US indictment confirmed that three al-Shabaab operatives possessed “substantial knowledge” of the group’s chemical weapons program.⁵⁸ Today, the group is 3D-printing drone frames and testing night-vision payload deliveries—technologies readily adaptable for chemical dispersal, especially given its existing chlorine stockpiles.⁵⁹ The group reportedly spends \$1.8 million annually on weapons manufacturing and chemical precursor acquisition, recruits engineers to expand its capabilities, and exploits commercial supply chains to move chlorinators through the port of Kismayo in southern Somalia.⁶⁰ It has even opened a hospital complex that could plausibly function as a dual-use facility, masking toxic agents under the guise of medical operations.⁶¹ Taken together, these developments

suggest that the enabling infrastructure for early-stage CBRN experimentation is already emerging.

Preventing Strategic Surprise

Al-Qaeda's ambitions in CBRN warfare have not faded, nor has its confidence in eventual success. Although the full extent of its progress remains unclear, internal writings suggest the group has already advanced further than many assume. In his 2022 manifesto, al-Masri claimed that al-Qaeda had "welcomed experts" to "supervise and participate" in chemical weapons development using "simple capabilities," and had made "significant strides" in nuclear research. "We have saved time in achieving our goals," he wrote, citing "a large number

Internal writings suggest al-Qaeda has already advanced further than many assume

of highly qualified mujahideen" engaged in unconventional weapons programs.⁶² That claim is not baseless. Al-Bakri remains at large in Iran alongside al-Adel, and both are US-designated global terrorists noted for their technical expertise and close collaboration.⁶³ Meanwhile, Yazid Sufaat, another figure in al-Qaeda's bioweapons programs, was released from Malaysian custody in 2019; his restricted residence order ended in

2021.⁶⁴ Abu Bakar Bashir—an Indonesian cleric of Yemeni descent reportedly involved in al-Qaeda's WMD committee and linked to bombings in Bali in 2002 which killed 202 people—was also released from prison in 2021.⁶⁵ Their continued freedom underscores that al-Qaeda retains the expertise to revive its CBRN program.

As Morell warned in a podcast recorded with the author: "I have no doubt that [al-Adel] will want to return to the fight against the United States. And I have no doubt that he will want to kick off that renewed fight with a major attack."⁶⁶ In his book, al-Adel stressed that operational silence is not dormancy but preparation—periods to "develop and advance its weapons." He also described specialized courses and training in all sorts of weaponry and tactics enabled by new elements making progress across the network. In June 2024, he underscored this publicly by calling on foreign fighters to travel to Afghanistan and prepare for attacks on the West.⁶⁷

New operational signals have also surfaced in recent years. In December 2022, UK authorities intercepted uranium at Heathrow Airport, concealed in scrap metal shipped from Pakistan via Oman. Although no group was formally linked, former British Army chemical weapons commander Hamish de

Bretton-Gordon remarked that it “had [al-Qaeda’s] trademark and fingerprints on it.”⁶⁸ In July 2024, British police apprehended an 18-year-old found with ricin and an al-Qaeda training manual.⁶⁹ Since then, al-Qaeda has continued publishing material on acquiring and deploying CBRN weapons. In September 2025, federal agents detained a 25-year-old in Oklahoma for attempting to provide 3D-printed weapons and machine-gun conversion devices to an individual he believed was acting on al-Qaeda’s behalf; court filings indicate he shipped more than 100 printed firearm parts and discussed interest in developing a nuclear weapon.⁷⁰

Despite these signals—persistent intent, reconstituted safe havens, interest in enabling technologies, and several CBRN-related arrests—it remains difficult to gauge the full extent of US government attention to this threat, especially given the absence of public warnings or senior-level statements. What is publicly visible, however, suggests that CBRN-focused counterterrorism has slipped down the list of strategic priorities. The US Intelligence Community has not mentioned al-Qaeda’s CBRN threat in its annual threat assessment since 2012. As former AFRICOM commander General Stephen Townsend noted in 2023, “as we shifted [from] counterterrorism to global power competition … all things counterterrorism were deemphasized.” That shift redirected resources. In June 2025, a US counterterrorism program focused on tightening travel security was canceled; by September, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence was weighing cuts to the National Counterterrorism Center (NCTC). Foreign assistance freezes have hampered frontline partners, and FBI resources have repeatedly been diverted to competing missions. Former NCTC Director Christy Abizaid warned that “as resources shift away from CT, we need to account for the sustained ability to meet the threat.” In May 2025, FBI Director Kash Patel echoed this concern, and a month later, former DHS Assistant Secretary for Counterterrorism Elizabeth Neumann put it bluntly: “we’re making the same mistakes we did in the lead-up to 9/11 … We are moving our eye off the ball.”⁷¹

These warnings matter because al-Qaeda remains uniquely dangerous: it couples a doctrinal foundation for CBRN with a long record of trying to act on it. Although it has yet to succeed in a CBRN attack, its commitment to symbolic, asymmetric violence has never wavered, and unlike other terrorist groups, it retains the organizational discipline and patience to pursue long-term goals. While Washington must also anticipate threats from lone actors radicalized online and armed with synthetic-biology tools, chemical engineering know-how, or drone-based delivery systems, al-Qaeda’s resolve makes it the benchmark for future CBRN planning.

Rebuilding visibility into al-Qaeda’s activities in Afghanistan, Yemen, Somalia, and the Sahel is therefore a necessary first step. Following US and

allied withdrawals across Afghanistan and parts of Africa, intelligence visibility deteriorated rapidly as on-the-ground networks collapsed and drone coverage receded. To fill these gaps, Washington should deepen intelligence cooperation with regional partners, invest in surveillance capabilities that do not depend on local basing, and prioritize early indicators of CBRN activity such as unexplained lab construction, suspicious materials procurement, and technical specialists' recruitment.

Rebuilding visibility into al-Qaeda's activities in Afghanistan is a necessary first step

Second, US policymakers should lead a multinational initiative to secure vulnerable CBRN materials. Hospitals, agricultural centers, and industrial facilities in conflict zones store chemical, biological, and radiological agents that could be weaponized with minimal modification. A targeted program to inventory, secure, or neutralize these materials—expanding the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) model to non-state

CBRN risks—would help deny terrorists access to critical precursors. As part of this effort, Washington should establish a joint US-Gulf CBRN Prevention Forum to secure dual-use imports, enhance port scanning, and counter the recruitment of technical specialists. Prevention, therefore, requires not only tracking networks but anticipating how technological diffusion is reshaping the broader threat landscape.

Beyond restoring visibility and securing vulnerable materials, the United States must adopt a more anticipatory, innovative posture toward emerging CBRN risks. Washington should establish a dedicated early-warning fusion cell for non-state CBRN activity, integrating intelligence from the CIA, NCTC, Department of Energy (DOE), FBI, Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA), and DHS. In parallel, the UN Security Council's ISIL and al-Qaeda Sanctions Monitoring Team should provide more regular reporting on CBRN-related risks and trends to raise global awareness. Washington should also lead a global DNA-synthesis screening consortium to standardize sequence-screening practices and close regulatory gaps across Asia, the Middle East, and Africa. Additionally, the United States could embed biosecurity and AI specialists within federal agencies to red-team potential attack pathways and identify supply-chain vulnerabilities, while pursuing procurement-disruption efforts targeting the logistical networks—from Oman and Djibouti to Somaliland and Kismayo—that AQAP, al-Shabaab, and JNIM exploit.

Washington must also strengthen the broader ecosystem for CBRN prevention. It should support universities and research institutes in high-risk regions with biosafety training, vetted equipment, and alternative funding for scientists

vulnerable to recruitment by jihadist networks. The United States should also develop a specialized sanctions regime targeting CBRN enablers—including chemical suppliers, drone manufacturers, DNA-synthesis firms, and logistics brokers—and invest in AI-driven forecasting models that integrate bioscience trends, supply-chain anomalies, and affiliate capability trajectories to anticipate when and where CBRN intent may converge with opportunity. Senior officials should publicly warn about evolving CBRN risks to build societal understanding and sustain the support and resources required for prevention.

Taking these steps will not be easy. The US national security apparatus is stretched thin, public attention is fragmented, and the political calendar is relentless. But a successful CBRN attack would dwarf the political consequences of inaction. For two decades, extraordinary efforts by US professionals have spared the country a second catastrophic attack. That success was not inevitable; it was earned through vigilance, imagination, and sustained action. The same urgency is needed now to prevent the next catastrophe.

Notes

1. Mike Boettcher and Ingrid Arnesen, "Story: Al Qaeda Documents Outline Serious Weapons Program," CNN, January 25, 2002, <https://isis-online.org/publications/terrorism/cnnstory.html>; Rolf Mowatt-Larsen, "Al Qaeda Weapons of Mass Destruction Threat: Hype or Reality?" Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, 2010, https://www.belfercenter.org/sites/default/files/pantheon_files/files/publication/al-qaeda-wmd-threat.pdf; Julian Borger, "Al-Qaida Videos Show Poison Gas Tests," *The Guardian*, August 20, 2002, <https://www.theguardian.com/media/2002/aug/20/alqaida.afghanistan>; George Tenet and Bill Harlow, *At the Center of the Storm: My Years At the CIA* (New York: HarperCollins, 2007).
2. Sammy Salama and Lydia Hansell, "Does Intent Equal Capability? Al-Qaeda and Weapons of Mass Destruction," *Nonproliferation Review* 12, no. 3 (2005): 615–653; "Terror On Tape: Tapes Show al Qaeda Training," CNN, August 19, 2002, <https://transcripts.cnn.com/show/lol/date/2002-08-19/segment/01>; Borger, "Al-Qaida Videos Show Poison Gas Tests."
3. Tenet and Harlow, *At the Center of the Storm: My Years at the CIA*.
4. Mowatt-Larsen, "Al Qaeda Weapons of Mass Destruction Threat"; Rolf Mowatt-Larsen, "Al Qaeda's Pursuit of Weapons of Mass Destruction," *Foreign Policy*, January 25, 2010, <https://foreignpolicy.com/2010/01/25/al-qaedas-pursuit-of-weapons-of-mass-destruction/>; US House of Representatives, "Hearing Before the Committee on Homeland Security House of Representatives, 117th Congress, Second Session, 'Worldwide Threats to the Homeland,'" Serial No. 117-73, <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CHRG-117hrg50981/html/CHRG-117hrg50981.htm>.
5. Abu Mohammad Al Masri, "September 11 Operations Between Truth And Skepticism," September 5, 2022, <https://www.noor-book.com/en/ebook-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%8A%D9%82%D9%8A%D9%87-%D8%A8%D9%8A%D9%86-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%82%D9%87%D9%82%D9%8A%D9%87-11-1662970050.pdf>.

6. Sada Al Thoughour, "Al Nafeer," Al-Qaeda's Magazine, Issue 1, June 2025, <https://acrobat.adobe.com/id/urn:aaid:sc:VA6C2:242d583d-2bdc-4bd4-b799-6dfc7e6c1343>; Sara Harmouch, "Al-Qaeda: A Defeated Threat? Think Again," War on The Rocks, November 22, 2023, <https://warontherocks.com/2023/11/al-qaeda-a-defeated-threat-think-again/>.
7. Audrey Kurth Cronin, *Power to the People: How Open Technological Innovation is Arming Tomorrow's Terrorists* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2020); United States Senate Armed Services Committee Hearing by United States Senator Deb Fischer, "VIDEO: Fischer Questions Senior Intelligence Officials on Evolving Threats from Russia, China, ISIS-K," press release, May 4, 2023, <https://www.fischer.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/2023/5/video-fischer-questions-senior-intelligence-officials-on-evolving-threats-from-russia-china-isis-k>; National Commission on Terrorist Attacks, *The 9/11 Commission Report: Final Report of the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States (Authorized Edition)* (New York: WW Norton & Company, 2011).
8. Mowatt-Larsen, "Al Qaeda Weapons of Mass Destruction Threat."
9. National Commission on Terrorist Attacks, *The 9/11 Commission Report*.
10. Mowatt-Larsen, "Al Qaeda Weapons of Mass Destruction Threat"; Dan Darling, "Abu Khabab and Project al-Zabadi," Long War Journal, September 19, 2005, https://www.longwarjournal.org/archives/2005/09/abu_khabab_and_1.php; René Pita and Rohan Gunaratna, "Revisiting al-Qaida's Anthrax Program," CTC Sentinel 2, no. 5 (2009): 10–13; Joby Warrick, "Report: Al-Qaeda Aims to Hit U.S. with WMDs," NBC News, January 26, 2010, <https://www.nbcnews.com/id/wbna35072269>.
11. Warrick, "Report: Al-Qaeda Aims to Hit U.S. with WMDs."
12. Tenet and Harlow, *At the Center of the Storm: My Years At the CIA*.
13. David Albright quoted in Barry Zellen, "Nuclear Terrorism: Re-thinking the Unthinkable," Intersec 15, no. 10 (2005): 309; Paul Cornish, "The CBRN System: Assessing the Threat of Terrorist Use of Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Weapons in the United Kingdom," Chatham House, February 2007, <https://www.chathamhouse.org/sites/default/files/public/Research/International%20Security/cbrn0207.pdf>
14. Warrick, "Report: Al-Qaeda Aims to Hit U.S. with WMDs."
15. Columb Strack, "The Evolution of the Islamic State's Chemical Weapons Efforts." CTC Sentinel 10, no. 9 (2017): 19–23, <https://ctc.westpoint.edu/the-evolution-of-the-islamic-states-chemical-weapons-efforts/>; "Jordan Says Major al Qaeda Plot Disrupted," CNN, April 26, 2004, <https://www.cnn.com/2004/WORLD/meast/04/26/jordan.terror/>.
16. US House of Representatives, "Testimony of Former Senator Jim Talent, Co-Chair with Former Senator Bob Graham of the Commission on the Prevention of Weapons of Mass Destruction Proliferation and Terrorism, 2008-2010," April 22, 2015, <https://docs.house.gov/meetings/HM/HM12/20150422/103332/HHRG-114-HM12-Wstate-Talent-20150422.pdf>; Eric Schmitt and Thom Shanker, "Al-Qaeda Trying to Harness Toxin for Bombs, U.S. Officials Fear," New York Times, August 13, 2011, <https://www.nytimes.com/2011/08/13/world/middleeast/13terror.html>; Florian Flade, "The June 2018 Cologne Ricin Plot: A New Threshold in Jihadi Bio Terror," CTC Sentinel 11, no. 7 (2018): 1–4, <https://ctc.westpoint.edu/june-2018-cologne-ricin-plot-new-threshold-jihadi-bio-terror/>; US House of Representatives, "Understanding the Threat to the Homeland From AQAP," House Hearing, 113 Congress, September 18, 2013, <https://www.congress.gov/event/113th-congress/house-event/LC973/text>.

17. Mowatt-Larsen, “Al Qaeda Weapons of Mass Destruction Threat”; Lauren Johnston, “Is Al-Qaeda Making Anthrax?” CBS News, October 9, 2003, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/is-al-qaeda-making-anthrax/>; Greg Smith, “The Bali Paradox: An Examination of Jemaah Islamiyah 1992-2002,” Joint Special Operations Forces Senior Enlisted Academy, April 2011, <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/GOV PUB-D-PURL-gpo80344/pdf/GOV PUB-D-PURL-gpo80344.pdf>; Leslie Lopez, “Terrorist Suspects Brought Bomb Material Into Malaysia,” *Wall Street Journal*, January 25, 2002, https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB1011913517967151640?gaa_at=eafs&gaa_n=ASWzDAh8QMArSasFKaIS90-PUCKOKX91adFoa0tUsYKmAOJH_e7ZFb0m0YFHX0mYtkw%3D&gaa_ts=6841fb0c&gaa_sig=4UtmDiwtZ-bezq2fsCWLBpnSRR1NbSo_eJDDs27zypkky58yO486aVqegcsG_6T9FStmhOeKI0cD7Nhglvnxvw%3D%3D
18. Department of Justice, “Transcript of the Attorney General John Ashcroft Regarding the Transfer of Abdullah Al Muhajir (Born Jose Padilla),” October 6, 2002, <https://www.justice.gov/archive/ag/speeches/2002/061002agtranscripts.htm>; “Profile of ‘Dirty Bomb’ Suspect,” ABC News, June 10, 2002, <https://abcnews.go.com/US/story?id=91558&page=1>; “Summary of Jose Padilla’s Activities with al-Qaeda,” George Washington University, <https://irp.fas.org/news/2004/06/padilla060104.pdf>.
19. “Algerian Man Guilty in UK Ricin Plot,” CNN News, April 14, 2005, <https://www.cnn.com/2005/WORLD/europe/04/13/britain.ricin/index.html>; Duncan Campbell, Vikram Dodd, Richard Norton-Taylor and Rosie Cowan, “Police Killer Gets 17 Years for Poison Plot,” *The Guardian*, April 13, 2005, <https://www.theguardian.com/uk/2005/apr/14/politics.terrorism>.
20. Sheila MacVicar and Henry Schuster, “European Terror Suspects Got al Qaeda Training, Sources Say,” CNN, February 6, 2003, <https://www.cnn.com/2003/US/02/06/sprj.irq.alqaeda.links/>; Joby Warrick, “An al-Qaeda Chemist Quest for Ricin,” NBC News, May 5, 2004, <https://www.nbcnews.com/id/wbna4902114>.
21. Shane Harris, “Lady al-Qaeda: The World’s Most Wanted Woman,” *Foreign Policy*, August 26, 2014, <https://foreignpolicy.com/2014/08/26/lady-al-qaeda-the-worlds-most-wanted-woman/>; US Department of Justice, “United States vs. Aafia Siddiqui,” August 2008, <https://www.justice.gov/archive/opa/pr/2008/August/siddiqui-aafia-complaint.pdf>; Federal Bureau of Investigation, “Aafia Siddiqui Sentenced in Manhattan Federal Court to 86 Years for Attempting to Murder U.S. Nationals in Afghanistan and Six Additional Crimes,” September 23, 2010, <https://archives.fbi.gov/archives/newyork/press-releases/2010/nyfo092310.htm>.
22. Michael Morell provided these quotes to the author on June 6, 2025.
23. Mor Loushy and Daniel Sivan, *American Manhunt: Osama Bin Laden* (documentary), Netflix, 2025.
24. Reuven Paz, “Global Jihad and WMD: Between Martyrdom and Mass Destruction,” *Current Trends in Islamist Ideology* 2 (2005): 74–87, <https://www.hudson.org/national-security-defense/global-jihad-and-wmd-between-martyrdom-and-mass-destruction>; Abu Musab Al Suri, “The War of the Underdog,” August 4, 2016, <https://www.noor-book.com/en/ebook-حرر المستضعفين-ابو مصعب السوري-pdf>.
25. Al Masri, “September 11 Operations Between Truth And Skepticism.”
26. Adam Goldman, Eric Schmitt, Farnaz Fassihi, and Ronen Bergman, “Al Qaeda’s No. 2, Accused in U.S. Embassy Attacks, Was Killed in Iran,” *New York Times*, November 13, 2020, <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/11/13/world/middleeast/al-masri-abdullah-qaeda-dead.html>; Ali Soufan, “Al-Qaida’s Soon-to-Be Third Emir? A Profile of Saif al-Adl,”

CTC Sentinel 14, no. 2 (2021): 1–21, <https://ctc.westpoint.edu/al-qaidas-soon-to-be-third-emir-a-profile-of-saif-al-adl/>.

27. Al Masri, “September 11 Operations Between Truth And Skepticism.”
28. Abu Ubaydah Abdullah Al-Adam, “Terrorism Manufacturing,” November 7, 2022, <https://www.noor-book.com/كتاب-صناعة-الإرهاب-pdf>; Bill Roggio, “Al Qaeda Intelligence Chief Reported Killed in Drone Strike,” Long War Journal, April 22, 2013, https://www.longwarjournal.org/archives/2013/04/al_qaeda_intelligenc.php.
29. Rewards For Justice, “Ibrahim Ahmed Mahmoud al-Qosi,” <https://rewardsforjustice.net/rewards/ibrahim-ahmed-mahmoud-al-qosi/>; Ibrahim al-Qosi, “Fragments from the History of Al-Qaeda, 2nd Edition,” June 28, 2023, <https://www.noor-book.com/كتاب-شذرات-من-تاريخ-القاعدة-الطبعة-2-pdf>; Ibrahim al-Qosi, “Fragments from the History of Al-Qaeda,” January 25, 2022, https://archive.org/details/20220125_20220125_1331.
30. United Nations Security Council Sanctions List, “Ali Sayyid Muhammed Mustafa Bakri,” https://main.un.org/securitycouncil/en/sanctions/1267/aq_sanctions_list/summaries/individual/ali-sayyid-muhammed-mustafa-bakri; Rewards For Justice, “Ali Sayyid Muhammed Mustafa al-Bakri,” <https://rewardsforjustice.net/rewards/ali-sayyid-muhammed-mustafa-al-bakri>; Dass Santhana and Rueben Ananthan, “Jihadists’ Use and Pursuit of Weapons of Mass Destruction: A Comparative Study of Al-Qaeda and Islamic State’s Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear (CBRN) Weapons Programs,” *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism* 47, no. 5 (2024): 548–582.
31. Saif Al-Adel, “33 Strategies of War,” July 28, 2023, <https://www.noor-book.com/كتاب-قراءة-حربة-في-كتاب-33-استراتيجية-للحرب-pdf>.
32. Bridget Johnson, “How Terrorists Are Trying to Make Coronavirus More Friend Than Foe,” Homeland Security Today, April 14, 2020, <https://www.hstoday.us/subject-matter-areas/counterterrorism/how-terrorists-are-trying-to-make-coronavirus-more-friend-than-foe/>; See also, John V. Parachini and Rohan Gunaratna, “Implications of the Pandemic for Terrorist Interest in Biological Weapons: Islamic State and al-Qaeda Pandemic Case Studies,” RAND, May 31, 2022.
33. Sara Harmouch, “Al-Qaeda’s Enduring Challenge: A Vision of Victory Over America,” Long War Journal, June 19, 2024, <https://www.fdd.org/analysis/2024/06/19/al-qaedas-enduring-challenge-a-vision-of-victory-over-america/>.
34. US House of Representatives, “Testimony of Former Senator Jim Talent, Co-Chair with Former Senator Bob Graham of the Commission on the Prevention of Weapons of Mass Destruction Proliferation and Terrorism”; “U.S. Withdraws from Afghanistan as the Taliban Take Control,” *American Journal of International Law* 115, no. 4 (2021): 745–53, <https://doi.org/10.1017/ajil.2021.50>; Isabel King, “How France Failed Mali: The End of Operation Barkhane,” *Harvard International Review*, January 30, 2023, <https://hir.harvard.edu/how-france-failed-mali-the-end-of-operation-barkhane/>; United States Africa Command, “U.S. Withdrawal from Niger Completed,” September 16, 2024, <https://www.africom.mil/pressrelease/35590/us-withdrawal-from-niger-completed>; Natasha Bertrand, “US Withdraws Troops from Base in Chad following Government Demand,” CNN, May, 1, 2024, <https://www.cnn.com/2024/05/01/politics/us-withdraws-troops-chad>; The White House, “U.S. Withdrawal from Afghanistan,” April 6, 2023, <https://bidenwhitehouse.archives.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/US-Withdrawal-from-Afghanistan.pdf>; Sara Harmouch, *Stay Local Or Go Global? Militant Groups’ Resolve and Their Operational Scope of Attacks* (Washington, DC: American University, 2025).

35. United Nations Security Council, "Letter Dated 23 January 2024 from the Chair of the Security Council Committee Pursuant to Resolutions 1267 (1999), 1989 (2011) and 2253 (2015) Concerning Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (Da'esh), Al-Qaeda and Associated Individuals, Groups, Undertakings and Entities Addressed to the President of the Security Council," January 29, 2024, <https://documents.un.org/doc/undoc/gen/n23/431/79/pdf/n2343179.pdf?OpenElement>; United Nations Security Council, "Letter Dated 23 May 2023 from the Chair of the Security Council Committee Established Pursuant to Resolution 1988 (2011) Addressed to the President of the Security Council," June 1, 2023, <https://www.longwarjournal.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/UN-Sanctions-Monitoring-report-Afghanistan-14th.pdf>; US Department of Defense, "Taliban Remains Dangerous, Harbors Al-Qaeda, Joint Chiefs Chairman Says," September 29, 2021, <https://www.war.gov/News/News-Stories/Article/article/2793387/taliban-remains-dangerous-harbors-al-qaida-joint-chiefs-chairman-says/>.
36. David Albright, "Terrorists' Acquisition of Nuclear Weapons: The Dangerous Synergy Between Weak States and Illicit Nuclear Procurement," Institute for Science and International Security, <https://www.files.ethz.ch/isn/46250/New%20Pathways%20to%20Proliferation.pdf>; United Nations Security Council, "Letter Dated 19 July 2024 from the Chair of the Security Council Committee Pursuant to Resolutions 1267 (1999), 1989 (2011) and 2253 (2015) concerning Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (Da'esh), Al-Qaeda and Associated Individuals, Groups, Undertakings and Entities Addressed to the President of the Security Council," July 22, 2024, <https://docs.un.org/en/S/2024/556>.
37. Harmouch, "Al-Qaeda: A Defeated Threat? Think Again"; Lynne O'Donnell, "Al Qaeda Is Back—And Thriving—in Afghanistan," *Foreign Policy*, March 22, 2024, <https://foreignpolicy.com/2024/03/22/al-qaeda-taliban-afghanistan-gold-mining/>; Bill Roggio, "Al Qaeda Expands Its Network of Training Camps in Afghanistan," Long War Journal, July 11, 2024, https://www.fdd.org/analysis/op_eds/2024/07/11/analysis-al-qaeda-expands-its-network-of-training-camps-in-afghanistan/; United Nations Security Council, "Letter Dated 19 July 2024."
38. US Central Command, "House Armed Services Committee Hearing On National Security Challenges And U.S. Military Activities In The Greater Middle East And Africa March 17, 2022," March 18, 2022, <https://www.centcom.mil/MEDIA/Transcripts/Article/2971126/house-armed-services-committee-hearing-on-national-security-challenges-and-us-m/>; US Department of State, "Country Reports on Terrorism 2023," <https://www.state.gov/reports/country-reports-on-terrorism-2023/>; Agnes Helou, "Africa's Sahel Is 'Less Safe' After Troop Withdrawal: AFRICOM Commander," Breaking Defense, June 27, 2024, <https://breakingdefense.com/2024/06/africas-sahel-is-less-safe-after-troop-withdrawal-africom-commander/>; "How Saudi Arabia's War in Yemen Has Made Al Qaeda Stronger – and Richer," Reuters, April 8, 2016, <https://www.reuters.com/investigates/special-report/yemen-aqap/>.
39. United Nations Security Council, "Letter Dated 23 January 2024"; United Nations Security Council, "Letter Dated 19 July 2024"; Katherine Zimmerman, "AQAP: A Resurgent Threat," *CTC Sentinel* 8, no. 9 (2015): 19; United Nations Security Council, "Letter Dated 6 February 2025 from the President of the Security Council Acting in the Absence of a Chair of the Security Council Committee Pursuant to Resolutions 1267 (1999), 1989 (2011) and 2253 (2015) Concerning Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (Da'esh), Al-Qaeda and Associated Individuals, Groups, Undertakings and Entities Addressed to the

President of the Security Council,” February 6, 2025, <https://documents.un.org/doc/undoc/gen/n25/041/59/pdf/n2504159.pdf>.

40. Senate Armed Services Committee, “Senate Armed Services Committee Advance Policy Questions for Lieutenant General Michael Langley, USMC Nominee for Appointment to the Position of Commander, U.S. Africa Command,” <https://www.armed-services.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Langley%20APQ%20responses1.pdf>; Ashley Jackson, “Flailing State: The Resurgence of al-Shabaab in Somalia,” War on the Rocks, June 3, 2025, <https://warontherocks.com/2025/06/flailing-state-the-resurgence-of-al-shabaab-in-somalia/>.

41. United Nations Security Council, “Letter Dated 6 February 2025”; Katherine Zimmerman and Nathan Vincent, “The State of Al Qaeda and ISIS in 2023,” Critical Threats, September 11, 2023, <https://www.criticalthreats.org/analysis/the-state-of-al-qaeda-and-isis-in-2023>.

42. John Chin and Haleigh Bartos, “Rethinking US Africa Policy Amid Changing Geopolitical Realities (Spring 2024),” *Texas National Security Review* 7, no. 2 (2024): 114–132; “JNIM Expanding Geographic Reach and Staging Coordinated Attacks in the Sahel,” The Soufan Center, June 5, 2025, <https://thesoufancenter.org/intelbrief-2025-june-5/>; United Nations Security Council, “Letter Dated 19 July 2024”; Rachel Chason and Adrián Blanco Ramos, “A Powerful, Opaque al-Qaeda Affiliate Is Rampaging across West Africa,” *Washington Post*, June 8, 2025, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/2025/06/08/jnim-militants-west-africa-sahel-terrorism/>.

43. United Nations, “Thirty-Fifth Report of the Analytical Support and Sanctions Monitoring Team,” S/2025/71/Rev.1, <https://documents.un.org/doc/undoc/gen/n25/041/59/pdf/n2504159.pdf>; United Nations, “Thirty-Fourth Report of the Analytical Support and Sanctions Monitoring Team,” S/2025/71/Rev.1, <https://documents.un.org/doc/undoc/gen/n24/191/91/pdf/n2419191.pdf>

44. For quotations in this paragraph, see Nathan Sales, “Counterterrorism and Great-Power Competition,” Atlantic Council, September 7, 2021, <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/commentary/article/counterterrorism-and-great-power-competition/>; Brian Dodwell and Caroline Morgan, “A View from the CT Foxhole: General (Ret) Stephen Townsend, Former Commander, AFRICOM,” *CTC Sentinel* 16, no. 10 (2023): 19–26; United States Senate Armed Services Committee Hearing by United States Senator Deb Fischer, “VIDEO: Fischer Questions Senior Intelligence Officials on Evolving Threats from Russia, China, ISIS-K,” press release, May 4, 2023, <https://www.fischer.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/2023/5/video-fischer-questions-senior-intelligence-officials-on-evolving-threats-from-russia-china-isis-k>; General Michael “Erik” Kurilla, “Statement for the Record: Posture of U.S. Central Command before the Senate Armed Services Committee,” US Senate Armed Services Committee, March 13, 2023, https://www.armed-services.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Kurilla_SASC_Posture_Final_141200March2023.pdf.

45. For this paragraph, see Meghann Myers, “AFRICOM Asks for Help Deterring Terrorism, after Trump Pulls Aid to Allied Countries,” Defense One, May 29, 2025, <https://www.defenseone.com/threats/2025/05/africom-asks-help-deterring-terrorism-after-trump-pulls-aid-allied-countries/405684/>; “With Niger Exit, US Intelligence Dims on Growing West Africa Insurgencies,” Reuters; Chason and Ramos, “A Powerful, Opaque al-Qaeda Affiliate Is Rampaging across West Africa.”

46. Zachary Kallenborn, “174. A New Age of Terror: The Future of CBRN Terrorism,” Mad Scientist Laboratory (TRADOC), September 9, 2019, <https://madsciblog.tradoc.army.mil/174-a-new-age-of-terror-the-future-of-cbrn-terrorism>; US Department of Homeland Security, 2025 Homeland Threat Assessment (Publication No. IA-24-320), (Office of Intelligence & Analysis: September 30, 2024): https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/2024-10/24_0930_ia_24-320-ia-publication-2025-hta-final-30sep24-508.pdf; Cronin, *Power to the People*; Stephanie Meulenbelt and Maarten Nieuwenhuizen, “Non-State Actors’ Pursuit of CBRN Weapons: From Motivation to Potential Humanitarian Consequences,” *International Review of the Red Cross* 97, no. 899 (2015): 831–858.
47. US Department of Homeland Security, “CWMD-DHS CBRN, AI, and EO Report (Public Release, April 26, 2024),” June 20, 2024, https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/2024-06/24_0620_cwmd-dhs-cbrn-ai-eo-report-04262024-public-release.pdf; Ana Ruxandra Badea and Oliver Feeney, “Genome Editing Dilemma: Navigating Dual-Use Potential and Charting the Path Forward,” *Journal of Bioethical Inquiry* 22 (2025): 101–110, July 24, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11673-024-10358-8>; Stewart Patrick and Josie Barton, “Mitigating Risks from Gene Editing and Synthetic Biology: Global Governance Priorities,” Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, October 16, 2024, <https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2024/10/mitigating-risks-from-gene-editing-and-synthetic-biology-global-governance-priorities?lang=en>; Nicolò Miotto, “3D Printing and WMD Terrorism: A Threat in the Making?” European Leadership Network, January 10, 2024, <https://europeanleadershipnetwork.org/commentary/3d-printing-and-wmd-terrorism-a-threat-in-the-making/>; Zachary Kallenborn and Philipp C. Bleek, “Drones of Mass Destruction: Drone Swarms and the Future of Nuclear, Chemical, and Biological Weapons,” War on the Rocks, February 14, 2019, <https://warontherocks.com/2019/02/drones-of-mass-destruction-drone-swarms-and-the-future-of-nuclear-chemical-and-biological-weapons/>.
48. Badea and Feeney, “Genome Editing Dilemma: Navigating Dual-Use Potential and Charting the Path Forward”; Cronin, *Power to the People*; Patrick and Barton, “Mitigating Risks from Gene Editing and Synthetic Biology: Global Governance Priorities”; Emily Mullin, “The US Is Cracking Down on Synthetic DNA,” *Wired*, May 6, 2024, <https://www.wired.com/story/synthetic-dna-us-biden-regulation/>.
49. Stephen Hummel, F. John Burpo, Jeremy Hershfield, Andrew Kick, Kevin J. O’Donovan, and Jason Barnhill, “A New Age of Bioterror: Anticipating Exploitation of Tunable Viral Agents,” *CTC Sentinel* 15, no. 4 (April 2022): <https://ctc.westpoint.edu/a-new-age-of-bioterror-anticipating-exploitation-of-tunable-viral-agents/>; Renan Chaves de Lima et al., “Artificial Intelligence Challenges in the Face of Biological Threats: Emerging Catastrophic Risks for Public Health,” *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence* 7 (2024): <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2024.1382356>; Georgia Adamson and Gregory C. Allen, “Opportunities to Strengthen U.S. Biosecurity from AI-Enabled Bioterrorism: What Policymakers Should Know,” Center for Strategic and International Studies, August 6, 2025, <https://www.csis.org/analysis/opportunities-strengthen-us-biosecurity-ai-enabled-bioterrorism-what-policymakers-should>; Nicholas S. McCarty, Alicia E. Graham, Lucie Studená, and Rodrigo Ledesma-Amaro, “Multiplexed CRISPR Technologies for Gene Editing and Transcriptional Regulation,” *Nature Communications* 11 (2020): <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15053-x> (PMC7062760); Prokhorova, Daria, Natalya Zhukova, Anna Lemza, Mariia Sergeeva, Rinat Amirkhanov, and Grigory Stepanov, “Application of the CRISPR/Cas9 System to Study Regulation Pathways of the Cellular Immune

response to Influenza Virus," *Viruses* 14, no. 2 (2022): 437; Karl Gruber, "Biohackers: A Growing Number of Amateurs Join the Do-it-yourself Molecular Biology Movement Outside Academic Laboratories," *EMBO Reports* 20, no. 6 (2019): e48397.

50. Philipp C. Bleek and Cyrus Jabbari, "Microfluidics Should Scare You," *Proceedings*, US Naval Institute, June 2019, <https://www.usni.org/magazines/proceedings/2019/june/microfluidics-should-scare-you>; Maria Gklotsou, "The Laboratory of the Future: How New Technologies Reform Research," *The Scientist*, May 29, 2023, <https://www.the-scientist.com/the-laboratory-of-the-future-how-new-technologies-reform-research-71145>; Antonio Graceffo, "From Ukraine to Myanmar, Drone Warfare Marks a Paradigm Shift," *Geopolitical Monitor*, September 11, 2024, <https://www.geopoliticalmonitor.com/from-ukraine-to-myanmar-drone-warfare-marks-a-paradigm-shift/>; Cronin, *Power to the People*.
51. Bárbara Morais Figueiredo, *The Use of Uncrewed Aerial Systems by Non-State Armed Groups: Exploring Trends in Africa* (Geneva: UNIDIR, 2024): https://unidir.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/UNIDIR_Use_of_Uncrewed_Aerial_Systems_by_Non_State_Armed_Groups_Africa.pdf; United Nations, "Thirty-Third Report of the Analytical Support and Sanctions Monitoring Team Submitted Pursuant to Resolution 2610 (2021) Concerning ISIL (Da'esh), Al-Qaida and Associated Individuals and Entities," S/2023/431/79, January 29, 2024, <https://documents.un.org/doc/undoc/gen/n23/431/79/pdf/n2343179.pdf>; Nina Kurt, "Weaponised Skies: The Expansion of Terrorist Drone Use Across Africa," GNET Research, July 30, 2025, <https://gnet-research.org/2025/07/30/weaponised-skies-the-expansion-of-terrorist-drone-use-across-africa/>; Liam Karr and Matthew Gianitsos, "Africa File, April 18, 2024: Chad Is the Kremlin's Next Target in the Sahel; al Qaeda's Sahelian Affiliate Weaponizes Drones," *Critical Threats*, April 18, 2024, <https://www.criticalthreats.org/analysis/africa-file-april-18-2024-chad-is-the-kremlins-next-target-in-the-sahel-al-qaedas-sahelian-affiliate-weaponizes-drone>.
52. Commission on the Intelligence Capabilities of the United States Regarding Weapons of Mass Destruction, *Weapons of Mass Destruction Intelligence Capabilities: Report to the President of the United States* (Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, March 31, 2005): <https://policy.defense.gov/portals/11/Documents/hdasa/references/GPO-WMD.pdf>; European Union, *EU Terrorism Situation & Trend Report (TE-SAT)* 2019.
53. Jonas Sandbrink, "ChatGPT Could Make Bioterrorism Horrifyingly Easy," *Vox*, August 7, 2023, <https://www.vox.com/future-perfect/23820331/chatgpt-bioterrorism-bioweapons-artificial-intelligence-openai-terrorism>; Jonas Sandbrink, "Artificial Intelligence and Biological Misuse: Differentiating Risks of Language Models and Biological Design Tools," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.13952* (2023); Renan Chaves de Lima et al., "Artificial Intelligence Challenges in the Face of Biological Threats: Emerging Catastrophic Risks for Public Health"; Emily H. Soice, Rafael Rocha, Kimberlee Cordova, Michael Specter, and Kevin M. Esvelt, "Can Large Language Models Democratize Access to Dual-use Biotechnology?" *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.03809* (2023); Gklotsou, "The Laboratory of the Future: How New Technologies Reform Research."
54. Graham Allison, "Nuclear Terrorism: Did We Beat the Odds or Change Them?" PRISM, National Defense University, May 15, 2018, <https://ndupress.ndu.edu/Media/News/News-Article-View/Article/1983563/nuclear-terrorism-did-we-beat-the-odds-or-change-them/>.

55. Cronin, *Power to the People*; Anthony Tu, “The Use of VX as a Terrorist Agent: Action by Aum Shinrikyo of Japan and the death of Kim Jong-Nam in Malaysia: Four Case Studies,” *Global Security: Health, Science and Policy* 5, no. 1 (2020): 48–56.
56. Mike Ahlers and Brian Todd, “Al Qaeda Group Contemplated Poisoning Food in U.S., Officials Say” CNN, December 21, 2010, <https://www.cnn.com/2010/US/12/21/al.qaeda.poison.plot/index.html>.
57. Schmitt and Shanker, “Al-Qaeda Trying to Harness Toxin for Bombs, U.S. Officials Fear.”
58. “Court Document References Al Qaeda-linked Chemical Weapons Program in Somalia,” CBS News, September 19, 2013, <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/court-document-references-al-qaeda-linked-chemical-weapons-program-in-somalia/>.
59. United Nations Security Council, “Letter Dated 19 July 2024”; Peter Kirechu, “Al-Shabaab’s Improvised Explosive Device Supply Chain Gambit in Somalia, War on the Rocks, September 22, 2020, <https://warontherocks.com/2020/09/al-shabaabs-improvised-explosive-device-supply-chain-gambit-in-somalia/>.
60. Kirechu, “Al-Shabaab’s Improvised Explosive Device Supply Chain Gambit in Somalia”; “Somalia: How Al Shabaab’S Arsenal Grows,” T2COM G2 Operational Environment Enterprise, April 2, 2022, <https://oe.tradoc.army.mil/product/somalia-how-al-shabaabs-arsenal-grows/>; United Nations Security Council, “Letter Dated 19 July 2024.”
61. “Al-Shabaab Builds Second Modern Hospital,” Dawan Africa, May 12, 2025, <https://www.dawan.africa/news/al-shabaab-builds-second-modern-hospital>.
62. Al Masri, “September 11 Operations Between Truth And Skepticism.”
63. United Nations Security Council ISIL (Da’esh) and Al-Qaida Sanctions Committee, “Ali Sayyid Muhamed Mustafa Bakri,” https://main.un.org/securitycouncil/en/sanctions/1267/aq_sanctions_list/summaries/individual/ali-sayyid-muhamed-mustafa-bakri; Rewards for Justice, “Ali Sayyid Muhamed Mustafa al-Bakri,” Rewards for Justice, “Sayf al-Adl,” <https://rewardsforjustice.net/rewards/sayf-al-adl>.
64. US Department of State, “Country Reports on Terrorism 2019: Malaysia,” <https://www.state.gov/reports/country-reports-on-terrorism-2019/malaysia/>; United Nations Security Council ISIL (Da’esh) and Al-Qaida Sanctions Committee, “Yazid Sufaat,” https://main.un.org/securitycouncil/en/sanctions/1267/aq_sanctions_list/summaries/individual/yazid-sufaat; United Nations, “Security Council ISIL (Da’esh) and Al-Qaida Sanctions Committee Amends Two Entries,” press release SC/15363, July 21, 2023, <https://press.un.org/en/2023/sc15363.doc.htm>.
65. “Facts About Abu Bakar Bashir,” Al Jazeera, March 3, 2005, <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2005/3/3/facts-about-abu-bakar-bashir>; Douglas Frantz, “Threat of ‘Dirty Bomb’ Growing, Officials Say,” Los Angeles Times, May 9, 2004, <https://www.latimes.com/archives/la-xpm-2004-may-09-fg-dirtybomb9-story.html>; “Abu Bakar Bashir,” Counter Extremism Project, <https://www.counterextremism.com/extremists/abu-bakar-bashir>; Richard C. Paddock and Mukitta Suhartono, “Muslim Cleric Tied to Bali Nightclub Bombing Is Freed From Prison,” New York Times, January 7, 2021, <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/07/world/asia/Abu-Bakar-Bashir-bali-bombing-freed.html>.
66. Sara Harmouch and Michael Morell, “Inside a Dangerous Alliance: Sara Harmouch,” NatSec Matters, YouTube, December 11, 2024, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=79lGcWfwZk>.
67. Bill Roggio and Caleb Weiss, “Al Qaeda Leader Calls Foreign Fighters to Afghanistan,” Long War Journal, June 8, 2024, <https://www.longwarjournal.org/archives/2024/06/al->

qaeda-leader-calls-foreign-fighters-to-afghanistan.php; Detsch, “Al Qaeda Expands Its Footprint in Afghanistan.”

68. “Uranium Detected in Package at Heathrow Airport — Counter-Terror Police Launch Investigation,” Sky News, January 11, 2023, <https://news.sky.com/story/uranium-detected-in-package-at-heathrow-airport-as-counter-terror-police-launch-investigation-12784017>. See also “UK Police Investigating Uranium-Tainted Cargo at Heathrow,” NBC News, January 11, 2023, <https://www.nbcnews.com/news/world/uk-police-investigating-uranium-tainted-cargo-heathrow-rcna65270>
69. Jonny Humphries and Kara O’Neill, “‘Evil’ Southport Killer Jailed for Minimum 52 Years,” BBC News, January 23, 2025, <https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/c4gweeq1344o>; “Southport Killer had Ricin Materials Sent to Neighbors,” BBC News, January 24, 2025, <https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/crke680m0npo>.
70. US Department of Justice, “Man Arrested and Charged with Attempting to Provide Al Qaida with Weapons,” September 24, 2025, <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/man-arrested-and-charged-attempting-provide-al-qaida-weapons>
71. For quotations and references in this paragraph, see: Brian Dodwell and Caroline Morgan, “A View from the CT Foxhole: General (Ret) Stephen Townsend, Former Commander, AFRICOM,” CTC Sentinel 16, no. 10 (2023): 19–26; “Chapter 9, Chemical Terrorism in the Era of Great Power Competition,” in *Chemical Terrorism: Assessment of U.S. Strategies in the Era of Great Power Competition* (Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2024); [https://nap.nationalacademies.org/read/27159/chapter/9.](https://nap.nationalacademies.org/read/27159/chapter/9/); “President’s Travel Ban Brings Contradictions and Concerns,” *New York Times*, June 5, 2025, <https://www.nytimes.com/live/2025/06/05/us/trump-news-travel-ban>; Patrick Tucker, “ODNI Expected to Shrink Counterintelligence, Counterterror Centers,” Defense One, September 11, 2025, <https://www.defenseone.com/policy/2025/09/odni-likely-curtail-counterintelligence-center-latest-shake/408035/>; Katharine Houreld, Rachel Chason, Susannah George, and Mustafa Salim, “Trump’s Global Funding Freeze Leaves Anti-Terror Programs in Limbo,” *Washington Post*, February 16, 2025, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/2025/02/16/trump-funding-freeze-terrorism-africa>; Meghan Myers, “AFRICOM Asks for Help Deterring Terrorism, after Trump Pulls Aid to Allied Countries,” Defense One, May 29, 2025, <https://www.defenseone.com/threats/2025/05/africom-asks-help-deterring-terrorism-after-trump-pulls-aid-allied-countries/405684/>; “FBI Field Offices Ordered to Shift Agents to Immigration Crackdown,” NBC News, May 14, 2025, <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/justice-department/fbi-field-offices-ordered-shift-agents-immigration-crackdown-rcna206859>; US House of Representatives, “Hearing before the Committee on Homeland Security House of Representatives, 117th Congress, Second Session, ‘Worldwide Threats to the Homeland,’” Serial No. 117-73, <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CHRG-117hrg50981/html/CHRG-117hrg50981.htm>; Kash Patel, “Federal Bureau of Investigation Budget Request to U.S. Senate for Fiscal Year 2026,” statement before the US Senate Appropriations Committee, Federal Bureau of Investigation, May 8, 2025, <https://www.fbi.gov/news/speeches-and-testimony/federal-bureau-of-investigation-budget-request-to-us-senate-for-fiscal-year-2026>; Bill Hutchinson, “Amid Recent String of Attacks Inspired by Israel-Hamas War, Some Experts Worry Counterterrorism Not a Priority,” ABC News, June 8, 2025, <https://www.abcnews.go.com/US/amid-recent-string-attacks-inspired-israel-hamas-war/story?id=122481895>.