



FLNG Liquefaction Technology



Considerations Influencing LNG Technology Selection

Martin Rosetta, Vice President of Technology

Houston, July 10th, 2015

Disclaimer

BRAEMAR ENGINEERING

This Presentation has been produced by Braemar Engineering. This presentation is strictly confidential and may not be reproduced or redistributed, in whole or in part, to any other person. To the best of the knowledge of the Company, the information contained in this presentation dated July 10th, 2015 (the "Presentation") is in all material respect in accordance with the facts as of the date hereof, and contains no material omissions likely to affect its importance. However, no representation or warranty (express or implied) is made as to, and no reliance should be placed on, any information, including projections, estimates, targets and opinions, contained herein, and no liability whatsoever is accepted as to any errors, omissions or misstatements contained herein. This Presentation is not a prospectus and does not contain the same level of information as a prospectus. None of the Managers, the Company, or any of its parents or subsidiary undertakings or any such person's officers or employees accepts any liability whatsoever arising directly or indirectly from the use of this Presentation. This Presentation contains information obtained from third parties. Such information has been accurately reproduced and, as far as the Company is aware and able to ascertain from the information published by that third party, no facts have been omitted that would render the reproduced information to be inaccurate or misleading.

This Presentation contains certain forward-looking statements relating to the business, financial performance and results of the Company and/or the industry in which it operates. Forward-looking statements concern future circumstances and results and other statements that are not historical facts, sometimes identified by the words "believes", expects", "intends", "intends", "projects", "plans", "estimates", "aims", "foresees", "anticipates", "targets", and similar expressions. The forward-looking statements contained in this Presentation, including assumptions, opinions and views of the Company or cited from third party sources are solely opinions and forecasts and are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual events to differ materially from any anticipated development. None of the Company or the Managers or any of its parent or subsidiary undertakings or any such person's officers or employees provides any assurance that the assumptions underlying such forward-looking statements are free from errors nor does any of them accept any responsibility for the future accuracy of the opinions expressed in this Presentation or the actual occurrence of the forecasted developments. The Company assumes no obligation, except as required by law, to update any forward-looking statements or to conform these forward-looking statements to our actual results.

An investment in the company involves risk, and several factors could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements that may be expressed or implied by statements and information in this presentation, including, among others, risks or uncertainties associated with the company's business, segments, development, growth management, financing, market acceptance and relations with customers, and, more generally, general economic and business conditions, changes in domestic and foreign laws and regulations, taxes, changes in competition and pricing environments, fluctuations in currency exchange rates and interest rates and other factors.

Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described in this presentation. The company does not intend, and does not assume any obligation, to update or correct the information included in this presentation.

No representation or warranty (express or implied) is made as to, and no reliance should be placed on, any information, including projections, estimates, targets and opinions, contained herein, and no liability whatsoever is accepted as to any errors, omissions or misstatements contained herein, and, accordingly, none of the Company or the Managers or any of their parent, shareholders, subsidiaries or any such person's officers or employees accepts any liability whatsoever arising directly or indirectly from the use of this document.

By attending or receiving this Presentation you acknowledge that you will be solely responsible for your own assessment of the market and the market position of the Company and that you will conduct your own analysis and be solely responsible for forming your own view of the potential future performance of the Company's business.

This Presentation is confidential and is being communicated to persons who have professional experience, knowledge and expertise in matters relating to investments and are "investment professionals" for the purposes of article 19(5) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 and only in circumstances where, in accordance with section 86(1) of the Financial and Services Markets Act 2000 ("FSMA") the requirement to provide an approved prospectus in accordance with the requirement under section 85 FSMA does not apply. This presentation is only directed at qualified investors and investment professionals and other persons should not rely on or act upon this presentation or any of its contents. Any investment or investment activity to which this communication relates is only available to and will only be engaged in with investment professionals. This Presentation (or any part of it) is not to be reproduced, distributed, passed on, or the contents otherwise divulged, directly or indirectly, to any other person (excluding an investment professional's advisers) without the prior written consent of the Managers or the Company.

Neither the delivery of this Presentation nor any further discussions of the Company with any of the recipients shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the Company since the date of this Presentation.

Agenda





- Introduction to Braemar Engineering
- Characteristics of LNG
- Factors Influencing FLNG Technology Selection
- FLNG Technology Selection Criteria
- Conclusion

Focus on Mid-Scale Size Production Facilities (1.0 to 3.0 Mtpa)



Agenda Introduction to Braemar Engineering



Braemar Shipping Services PLC



Technical	 LNG vessel consulting LNG facilities engineering & consulting FSRU / FLNG / FPSO engineering and consulting Ship construction supervision Marine warranty surveys Energy loss adjusting Marine engineering & naval architecture 	 Vessel and condition surveys Failure mode and effect analysis Marine cargo surveys DP audits Shipyard risk assessments Towage approvals Expert witness services 	www.braemar.com
Shipbroking	 Tanker chartering – Crude oil, Clean Pet Sale & purchase – second hand, new bu Dry Bulk chartering Offshore – chartering and sale & purchate Container – chartering and sale & purchate Research and valuations 	roleum Products, LPG, LNG, Chemical ilding, demolition ise iase	s www.braemarseascope.com
Logistics	 Port & Liner agency Hub agency Ship to ship transfers Customs clearance 	Freight forwardingProject cargoesCruise ship support	www.cory.co.uk
Environmental	 Incident response Pollution control Salvage services Accredited training and environmental consulting 	 Crisis management and emerger response advisors Industrial services and tank clear 	ncy www.braemarhowells.com ning

BRAEMAR

Braemar Engineering at a Glance



- Established as Wavespec 1993 in Maldon, UK
- Since 1997 part of the Braemar Shipping Services Plc, a leading international provider of engineering and consultancy services to the shipping, marine, energy, offshore and insurance industries with 400+ engineers in offices worldwide
- Core business:
 - LNG carrier design review, construction oversite & operation consultancy
 - Floating LNG: LNG FSRU, FSU, FLNG
 - Marine engineering & naval architecture
 - Engineering services for LNG projects (Owner's Engineering, Permitting, Engineering & Consulting)
 - FMEA studies and audits for DP vessels
- Member of SIGTTO and founding member of SGMF



Agenda

Characteristics of LNG

Natural Gas Markets





1 TCF Approximately 140 MSCFD (1.0 Mtpa) over 19.5 years

1 TCF Approximately 280 MSCFD (2.0 Mtpa) over 10 years

1 TCF Approximately 420 MSCFD (3.0 Mtpa) over 6.5 years



Data source: IHS Energy

Natural Gas to Market Cost





Source: energytribute.com

Liquefied Natural Gas Terminology BRAEMAR ENGINEERING

- LNG is Natural Gas that has been super cooled to a liquid at -260°F (cryogenic) at pressures near atmospheric conditions.
 - Must be Water/CO₂ free
 - Colorless
 - Odorless
 - Non-toxic
 - Non-flammable (in liquid state)
 - Non-explosive (in liquid state)
 - "Environmentally Friendly" Fossil Fuel



Liquefied Natural Gas Terminology BRAEMAR ENGINEERING

• Why Use LNG? Significant Volume Reduction (600 to 1)



Beach Ball (gas)

Ping Pong Ball (liquid)

Economically feasible to ship in Atmospheric Storage Containers.

Main Systems Required for FLNG



BRAEMAR





(In no particular order)



Source and Quantity of Gas



- Pipeline Gas feedstock will favor high efficiency processes because gas is purchased at "competitive" pricing.
- Wellhead or stranded feedstock will favor less capital equipment (acceptance of a lower efficient process) as natural gas is "below market price".
- Limited quantity (volume) of feedstock will favor higher efficiency processes (example Canadian project limited to 300 MMSCFD or ~2.0 Mtpa)





Component	Mole %
Nitrogen	0.500
CO2	0.500
Methane	>96.5
Ethane	<2.50

Suppliers view of NG Streams...

Devil is in the	
Details!	

This doesn't include trace contaminants water, mercury, H2S, mercaptans, and Oxygen!

Actual Abbreviated Extended Analysis of a NG Stream				
ponent	Mole %	Component	Mole %	

Component	Mole %	Component	Mole %
Nitrogen	0.500	Mcyclopentane	0.020
CO2	1.000	Neo-Pentane	20 ppmv
Methane	90.009	N-Hexane	0.064
Ethane	4.079	C6 Components	0.108
Propane	2.495	Toluene	100 ppmv
Iso-Butane	0.645	N-Heptane	0.056
N-Butane	0.621	C7 Components	0.061
Iso-Pentane	0.146	Xylene	50 ppmv
N-Pentane	0.140	Ethylbenezene	25 ppmv
Benzene	200 ppmv	N-Octane	0.057

Solubility of HHC in LNG

BRAEMAR ENGINEERING

Component	Estimated Solubility (ppmv)
Neo-Pentane	5
Iso-Pentane	1000
N-Pentane	1000
Hexane	150
M-cyclopentane	550
Benzene	0.5
Cyclohexane	100
N-Heptane	50
M-cyclohexane	300
Toulene	20
N-Octane	0.5
N-Nonane	0.1
N-Decane	0.0

- Heavy Hydrocarbon Concentrations in excess of the estimated Solubility Limits should be avoided
 - Excess heavy hydrocarbons could cause structural damage to equipment due to the formation of "hydrates or solids" (remote)
 - De-rimming process resulting in a loss of availability and overall production (highly likely)
- Gas chromatography is very difficult in the <100 ppm range
- Note that the Guidelines includes approximately times two (X2) safety margin over empirical data

Must Remove C₅+ Components!

Typical Feedstock Phase Envelop

1200

1000

800.0

600.0

400.0

200.0

0.000



BRAEMAR

To Remove Heavy Hydrocarbon Components, Facility Must Operate in the Two-Phase Region (Not the Dense Phase Region)

Temperature (F

Method of Removing HHC

Flash Method

- Simple flash to remove heavy hydrocarbons
- Control the flash temperature to knockout the required HHCs
- Dispose of HHCs in un-stabilized condensed flash stream
- Significant loss of methane and lighter components (typically 60% of HHC stream is C₂ or lighter)
- Ideal if you have a hydrocarbon "waste" stream (straddle plant)



Simple, Inefficient, and Non-selective Process.



Method of Removing HHC

Scrubber Method

- Refluxed Column to strip out heavy hydrocarbons
- Dispose of HHC in un-stabilized condensate stream
- Small loss of methane and lighter components (typically <10% of Feed Gas HHC stream is C₂ or lighter)
- Feed gas must be "rich" in C₃+ components (otherwise would be enriching feed gas with C₃+)



Moderate Simple Process for Rich Gas Does NOT work for Lean Gas



Method of Removing HHC

BRAEMAR ENGINEERING

Gas Subcooled Method

- Conventional open art, gas plant technology
- High Capital Expenditures (CapEx) and Operating Expenditures (OpEx)
- Recompression typically required
- Produces a stabilized condensate (adjust to meet product specs)
- Works with any and all gas compositions
- Can be integrated into the LNG liquefaction process.



Complex, Highly Selective Process.

Oxygen Dilemma

BRAEMAR ENGINEERING

- Pipeline specifications (tariff based) might allow up to 0.4 mole % Oxygen (typically N.A. problem)
- Problems caused by Oxygen:
 - Non-condensible that concentrates in the BOG system (up to 2%)
 - Potential to react (oxidize) and form water during regeneration
 - Poison to the Molecular Sieve beds during regeneration (coking or S species)
 - Salt formation in Amine system
- UOP, BASF, and others have oxygen removal technology but it's expensive, potential high operating costs, and takes up space.
 - <20 ppmv Typically OK</p>
 - >20 ppmv Treatment Might Be Required
 - Is Oxygen content is real? Solution? (future or pre-investment).



Inlet Pressure Variations





High Pressure "Flattens" the Natural Gas Cooling Curve Which Can Effect the Technology Selection (also makes additional J-T refrigeration at End-Flash Drum)

Type of Storage

BRAEMAR ENGINEERING

- Adjacent Carrier Storage (FSO)
 - Range of Storage Unclassified (True "Bulk" Storage on Adjacent LNG Carrier)
 - Large Available Topside Space Dependent on Topsides and LNG Technology Selection
 - Storage independent of topsides (minimal changes)
- Moss Carriers
 - Range of Storage (120,000 m³ to 170,000 m³)
 - Limited Available Topside Space (approximately 1200 m² to 1500 m²)
 - Limited Technology Options (Nitrogen, Open cycle)
 - Membrane / Prismatic Carriers
 - Range of Storage (87,000 m³ to 250,000 m³)
 - Larger Available Topside Space (approximately 6,000 m² to 18,000 m²)
 - Relatively unlimited LNG Technology Options
 - Must span entire breadth of carrier (unless purpose built with port and starboard storage and center line coffer dam)



Marinization of Design

- Modularization is a key component for all off-shore projects (simultaneous path of topsides and hull)
- Equipment modules sizing limitation and weight are generally set by the coffer dam spacing (bulkhead spacing) between the LNG storage tanks located in the hull.
- Numerous Equipment limitations/Marinization required (size of brazed aluminum cold box, CWHE "tube settling", pump seals and bearing able to take the wave action, etc.).
- Typically shipping to site is more stringent than operating loads (accelerations due to gravity during shipping).
- Maintainability (simple or complex)
- Multi-phase refrigerant cycles are more sensitive to vessel motions (must minimize impact during the design phase – i.e. headers, manifolds, and baffles, etc.). Mal-distribution of refrigerant can make or break process guarantees.
- Large refrigerant liquid inventories should be minimized due to the potential for leaks leading to explosions / BLEVE.
- Quick / ease of start-up (minimum start-up time from the ambient conditions to operations). Generally governed by the cool down rates of the main cryogenic heat exchangers.

Space Requirements

- Off-Shore Space is a premium commodity. General Comparison:
 - Premium Land in Singapore (\$500 to \$1500/sq ft)
 - Premium Land in New York City (\$500 to \$2000/sq ft)
 - Typical Off-Shore "land" (\$2500 to \$3500/sq ft)
- Layout facilities to separate personnel and living quarters from main process facility. Typically include the utilities and power generation as a buffer.
- On land, if the risks are unacceptable as determined during the HAZOP or QRA, solution was to spread out the facility (example moving the Control Room at ACCROVEN to 300 feet).
- Requirements for large safety gaps has a significant impact on space required.
- Off-shore, late significant changes in the Hull or layout can undermine or invalidate the entire project.

Schedule



- Hull Construction
 - "Dumb Barge" Typically 12 to 18 months
 - Conversion Typically up to 18 months
 - Custom Built FLNG with In-House Storage Typically 28 to 36 months
- Modular Construction
 - Typically have five (5) to eight (8) modules for 2 Mtpa facility
 - With long lead items, modules are available 12-30 months
- Must sync the base (hull) construction with the topsides



Transfer of Cargo

BRAEMAR ENGINEERING

Traditional LNG Transfer Arms





Cryogenic Hoses





Transfer of Cargo

- European standards do not fully embrace hoses at LNG transfer points.
 - ➢ ISO 28460:2010
 - 15.2.1 General

Marine transfer arms shall be used for the transfer of LNG at conventional onshore terminals. These shall be equipped with an emergency release system.

For the transfer of small quantities of LNG, hoses may be used if the total volume of LNG in the hose transfer system does not exceed 0.5 m3 and the length of hoses does not exceed 15 m.

► EN1473:2007

9.5.3 Flexible hoses

Flexible hoses may be used to make small temporary connections for the transfer of LNG and other cryogenic liquids such as refrigerant and liquid nitrogen, for example when emptying or filling road tankers of LNG or liquid nitrogen and they can also be used for transfer operations between small LNG carriers and LNG satellite plants.

Flexible hoses shall not be used for the routine transfer of LNG between large LNG carriers and shore at conventional LNG Terminals.

• CAN-CSA and NFPA 59A allow for hoses but has very specific requirements (must be designed for purpose, 5X bursting pressure, yearly testing, proper isolation if the event of a failure, etc.).

Transfer of Cargo

- Cryogenic hoses are limited to <2 meter seas (side by side transfer) and cargo flow rates of about 4,000 m³/hr (with six 8" parallel hoses). "benign waters transfer"
- Traditional LNG transfer arms can handle up to 12,000 m³/hr (generally required to load an LNG carrier in less than 24 hours) with wave action up to 2.5 meter.
- To date, there are no operating systems that can transfer LNG in greater than 2.5 meter seas. Multiple technologies are under development (TORP HiLoad, FMC Tandem Transfer, etc.) but do not have experience with operating units.





Agenda

FLNG Technology Selection

Technology Available for Mid-Scale BRAEMAR ENGINEERING

Potential FLNG Liquefaction Technology Vendors¹:

LNG Limited OSMR (Optimized SMR)
Linde: LIMUM (SMR), DMR, Linde's N2 Expander Cycle
Black and Veatch: PRICO (SMR), DMR, Nitrogen, Open Cycle
Air Products: C3MR, DMR, AP-NTM, AP-HNTM, SMR, Nitrogen, Open Cycle
KANFA Aragon: Dual Nitrogen, SMR, Open Cycle
Chart Industries IPSMR®, Dual Nitrogen, Open Cycle
Mustang Dual Nitrogen, Open Cycle

1. Some IOCs use their own developed technologies for their own projects (e.g. Shell, ConocoPhillips) but they are not evaluated as direct competitors

Single Mixed Refrigerant (SMR)



Large refrigerant vessels

BRAEMAR

- One Compressor (two-stages)
- Multi-component phase change

Dual Nitrogen Expansion



No Vessels (small footprint)

BRAEMAR

- Multiple rotating equipment
- No phase change in refrigerant (all sensible heat), i.e. "all vapor all the time"

Propane Pre-Cooled Mixed Refrigerant



• Large vertical heat exchanger

BRAEMAR

- Two-levels of refrigerant
- Multi-component phase change

Technology Cooling Curve Comparison

n BRAEMAR ENGINEERING



Single Mixed Refrigerant



Dual Nitrogen Expansion

Closer the cold stream to the hot stream, the better the efficiency. Different Technologies Approach the Cooling Curve Differently

Key Criteria for Offshore FLNG



CRITERIA	C3MR	SINGLE MIXED REFRIGERANT	DUAL NITROGEN EXPANSION	
QUANTITATIVE				
Uses proven technology	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Typical Process Efficiency Number (kW-hr/kg LNG)	0.278	0.334	0.447	
Estimated Footprint (Liquefaction Only – 2.0 Mtpa)	6,500 m ²	4,600 m ²	3,000 m ²	
Weight (2.0 MMTPA Production- 2 Trains)	+30,000 tons	~25,000 tons	~20,000 tons	
QUALITATIVE				
Hazardous Refrigerant	Yes	Yes	No	
Requirements for Refrigerant Import and/or Storage	Yes	Yes	No	
Explosion/BLEVE Hazards	Moderate	Moderate	Low	
Flare Capacity	High	High	Low	
Sensitivity to vessel motion	High	Moderate	Low	
Simplicity of operation	Low	Moderate	High	
Total Capital Cost	High	Moderate	Low	



Agenda Conclusion



Conclusion



No technology is a perfect fit for all applications! Different factors heavily influence the technology selection including:

- Quantity and Quality of Natural Gas Availability
- Client Approach to Storage*
- Efficiency of Process Desired*
- Safety Concerns
- Dockside, Near-shore, or Off-shore
- Space Requirements and Availability (typically dependent on Storage approach)
- Schedule*





Conclusion





Thank You!



