

Notes on Conformal Field Theory (incomplete)

— Beilinson, Feigin, Mazur

§1. TATE'S LINEAR ALGEBRA

1.1 Crossed modules and central extensions of Lie algebras. We will need Lie and associative algebra versions of crossed modules:

1.1.1 DEFINITION. (i) Let L be a Lie algebra. An L -crossed module is an L -module $L^\#$ together with a morphism $L^\# \xrightarrow{\partial} L$ of L -modules. For $\ell \in L$ we will denote the action of L on $L^\#$ as $[\ell, \cdot]$; so one has $\partial[\ell, \tilde{\ell}] = [\ell, \partial\tilde{\ell}]$, $\tilde{\ell} \in L^\#$.

(ii) Let R be an associative algebra. An R -crossed module is an R -bimodule $R^\#$ together with a morphism $R^\# \xrightarrow{\partial} R$ of R -bimodules. \square

We have canonical pairings $\{, \} : \text{Sym}^2 L^\# \rightarrow L$, $\langle, \rangle : R^\# \otimes_R R^\# \rightarrow R^\#$ defined by formulas $\{m_1, m_2\} := [\partial m_1, m_2] + [\partial m_2, m_1]$, $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle := (\partial s_1)s_2 - s_1(\partial s_2)$. These are morphisms of L -modules and R -bimodules respectively; one has $\partial\{, \} = 0$, $\partial\langle, \rangle = 0$.

Crossed modules in both versions form categories in an obvious manner. For example, if $R_1 \xrightarrow{f} R_2$ is a morphism of associative algebras and $R_i^\#$ are R_i -crossed modules, then an f -morphism of crossed modules is an f -morphism $f^\# : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ of bimodules such that $\partial f^\# = f\partial$. If R is an associative algebra, then R , considered as Lie algebra with commutator $ab - ba$, will be denoted R^{Lie} . If $R^\#$ is an R -crossed module, then it has also an R^{Lie} -crossed module structure $R^{\# \text{Lie}}$ with $[r, \tilde{r}] = r\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}r$. One has $\{s_1, s_2\} = \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle + \langle s_2, s_1 \rangle$ for $s_i \in R^\# = R^\# = R^{\# \text{Lie}}$.

Below “dg algebra” means “differential graded algebra”; so “Lie dg algebra” is the same as differential graded Lie superalgebra.

1.1.2 LEMMA. (i) Let L^0 (resp. R^0) be a Lie (resp. associative) dg algebra such that $L^i = 0$ ($R^i = 0$) for $i > 0$. Then $L^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} L^0$ (resp. $R^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} R^0$) is a Lie (resp. associative) algebra crossed module. For $m_1, m_2 \in L^{-1}$ (resp. $s_1, s_2 \in R^{-1}$) one has $\{m_1, m_2\} = d[m_1, m_2]$ (resp. $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle = d(s_1 s_2)$).

(ii) Conversely, let $L^\# \xrightarrow{\partial} L$ (resp. $R^\# \xrightarrow{\partial} R$) be a crossed module, and $i : N \subset L^\#$ (resp. $i : T \subset R^\#$) be an L -submodule (resp. R -sub-bimodule) such that $\{L^\#, L^\#\} \subset N \subset \ker \partial$ (resp. $\langle R^\#, R^\#\rangle \subset T \subset \ker \partial$). Then $N \xrightarrow{i} L^\# \xrightarrow{\partial} L$ (resp. $T \xrightarrow{i} R^\# \xrightarrow{\partial} R$) is a dg Lie (resp. associative) dg algebra placed in degrees $-2, -1, 0$. \square

In other words, the lemma claims that dg algebras zero off degrees $-2, -1, 0$ and acyclic off degrees $-1, 0$ are in 1-1 correspondence with pairs $(L^\# \xrightarrow{\partial} L; N)$, where $L^\# \xrightarrow{\partial} L$ is a crossed module and $N \subset L^\#$ is a submodule as in (ii) above. For example, one may take $N = \text{image of } \{, \}$ (or image of \langle, \rangle in the associative algebra version); we will say that the corresponding dg algebra is defined by our crossed module.

1.1.3 The simplest example of a Lie algebra crossed module is a central extension $\tilde{L} \rightarrow L$ of a Lie algebra L (the bracket on \tilde{L} factors through an L -action); note that here $\{, \} = 0$. Conversely, let L^0 be a dg Lie algebra. Then L^{-1}/dL^{-2} , equipped with the bracket $[\ell_1, \ell_2] := [d\ell_1, \ell_2]^{0, -1}$ is a Lie algebra, and $d : L^{-1}/dL^{-2} \rightarrow L^0$ is a morphism of Lie algebras such that $(H^{-1} \rightarrow L^{-1}/dL^{-2} \rightarrow d(L^{-1}))$ is a central extension of dL^{-1} by H^{-1} . Hence if $L^\# \xrightarrow{\partial} L$ is an L -crossed module such that ∂ is surjective, then $\ker \partial / \{L^\#, L^\#\} \rightarrow L^\# / \{L^\#, L^\#\} \rightarrow L$ is a central extension of L . If $tr : \ker \partial / \{L^\#, L^\#\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is any linear functional, then it defines, by push-out, a central \mathbb{C} -extension $L_{tr}^\#$ of L .

1.1.4 The following example of a crossed module will be used below. Let L be a Lie algebra, and let $L_+, L_- \subset L$ be ideals. Then we have an L -crossed module $L_+ \oplus L_- \xrightarrow{\partial} L$, $\partial(\ell_+, \ell_-) = \ell_+ + \ell_-$. We have isomorphism $i : L_+ \cap L_- \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker \partial$, $i(\ell) = (\ell, -\ell) \in L_+ \oplus L_-$. Or we may take an associative algebra R equipped with 2-sided ideals R_+, R_- , and get an R -crossed module $R_+ \oplus R_- \xrightarrow{\partial} R$. Note that $\{, \}$ vanishes on L_+ and L_- (and \langle, \rangle vanishes on R_+ and R_-) and one has $\{\ell_+, \ell_-\} = i([\ell_-, \ell_+])$, $\langle r_+, r_- \rangle := -i(r_+ r_-)$, $\langle r_-, r_+ \rangle = i(r_- r_+)$.

If $L_+ + L_- = L$, then we get a central extension $L_+ \cap L_- / [L_+, L_-] \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{L} \rightarrow L$ of L , where $\tilde{L} = L_+ \oplus L_- / i([L_+, L_-])$. This central extension is equipped with obvious splittings $s_\pm : L_\pm \rightarrow \tilde{L}$ such that $s_\pm(L_\pm)$ are ideals in \tilde{L} ; it is easy to see that \tilde{L} is universal among all central extensions of L equipped with such splittings. Note also that the embedding $s_+ : L_- \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}$ factors to isomorphism $L_+ / [L_+, L_-] \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{L} / s_-(L_-)$ and we have the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{L} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{L} / s_-(L_-) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & L_+ / [L_+, L_-] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L & \longrightarrow & L / L_- & \xleftarrow{\sim} & L_+ / L_+ \cap L_- \end{array}$$

and the same for \pm interchanged.

1.1.5 Now let $tr : L_+ \cap L_- / [L_+, L_-] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be any linear functional. According to 1.1.3 it

defines a central \mathbb{C} -extension \tilde{L}_{tr} of L . One has the splittings $s_+ : L_+ \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{tr}$, $s_- : L_- \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{tr}$ such that $s_{\pm}(L_{\pm})$ are ideals and $(s_+ - s_-)|_{L_+ \cap L_-} = tr$. Clearly L_{tr} is the unique extension equipped with this data.

1.1.6 The above constructions are functorial with respect to (L, L_{\pm}) . Hence if $L'_{\pm} \subset L$ are other ideals such that $L_{\pm} \subset L'_{\pm}$, then we get a canonical morphism $\tilde{L} \rightarrow \tilde{L}'$ between the corresponding central extensions of L . If $tr : L_+ \cap L_-/[L_+, L_-] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extends to $tr : L'_+ \cap L'_-/[L'_+, L'_-] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then $\tilde{L}_{tr} = \tilde{L}'_{tr}$. In particular, assume that $tr : L_+ \cap L_-/[L_+, L_-] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extends to $tr : L_-/[L_-, L_-] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then we may take $L'_+ = L, L'_- = L_-$ to get the same extension \tilde{L}_{tr} , hence we get the splitting $\tilde{s}_+ : L \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{tr}$ that extends our old $s_+ : L_+ \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{tr}$. Explicitly, $\tilde{s}_+(\ell_+ + \ell_-) = s_+(\ell_+) + s_-(\ell_-) + tr\ell_-$; clearly $\tilde{s}_+ - s_- = tr : L_- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In the same way, an extension of $tr : L_+ \cap L_- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to L_+ determines the splitting $\tilde{s}_- : L \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{tr}$ that extends the old $s_- : L_- \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{tr}$. If we have the trace functional on the whole L , i.e. $tr : L/[L, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then $\tilde{s}_+ - \tilde{s}_- = tr : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

1.1.7 We will often use the following notation. If \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra, V is a vector space, and $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$ is a central V -extension of \mathfrak{g} , then for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we will denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_c$ a V -extension of \mathfrak{g} which is the c -multiple of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. So we have a canonical morphism $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_c$ of central extensions of \mathfrak{g} that restricted to V 's is multiplication by c . For example, in situation 1.1.3 one has $(L_{tr}^{\#})_c = L_{ctr}^{\#}$.

1.2 Tate's vector spaces. For subspaces V_0, V_1 of a vector space V we will write $V_0 \prec V_1$ if $V_0/V_0 \cap V_1$ is of finite dimension, and $V_0 \sim V_1$ (V_i are commensurable) if $V_0 \prec V_1$ and $V_1 \prec V_0$. Clearly \prec is partial order on a set of commensurability classes of subspaces.

1.2.1 A Tate's topological vector space (or, simply, Tate's space) V is a linearly topologized complete separated vector space V that admits a basis $\{V_{\alpha}\}$ of neighbourhoods of 0 with V_{α} mutually commensurable. Equivalently, V is the projective limit of a family of epimorphisms of usual vector spaces with finite dimensional kernels: $V = \varprojlim_{\alpha} V/V_{\alpha}$.

Let $L \subset V$ be a vector subspace. We will say that L is *bounded* if for any open $U \subset V$ one has $L \prec U$, and L is *discrete* if for some open U one has $U \cap L = 0$. Clearly simultaneously bounded and discrete subspaces are just finite dimensional ones.

A lattice $V_+ \subset V$ is a bounded open subspace; equivalently, this is a maximal (with re-

spect to \prec) bounded closed subspace. The lattices form a maximal basis of neighbourhoods of 0 that consists of mutually commensurable subspaces.

A *colattice* $V_- \subset V$ is a maximal discrete subspace. Equivalently, this means that for (any) lattice V_+ one has $V_+ \cap V_- \sim 0$, $V_+ + V_- \sim V$ (or for some lattice V_+ one has $V_+ \oplus V_- \xrightarrow{\sim} V$).

Tate's vector spaces form an additive category \mathcal{TV} with kernels and cokernels. The category \mathcal{TV} is self-dual: Namely, for a Tate's space V its *dual* V^* is $\text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})$ with open subspaces in V^* equal to orthogonal complements to bounded subspaces in V . This V^* is a Tate's space, and $V^{**} = V$. Note that $V_+ \longmapsto V_+^\perp$ is 1-1 correspondence between lattices in V and V^* ; and the same for colattices.

1.2.2 Let V be a Tate's vector space. One has a canonical \mathbb{Z} -torsor Dim_V together with a map $\text{dim} : \{ \text{Set of all lattices in } V \} \rightarrow \text{Dim}_V$ such that for a pair V_{+1}, V_{+2} of lattices one has $\text{dim}V_{+1} - \text{dim}V_{+2} := \text{dim}(V_{+1}/V_{+1} \cap V_{+2}) - \text{dim}(V_{+2}/V_{+1} \cap V_{+2}) \in \mathbb{Z}$. One has a natural map $\text{codim} : \{ \text{Set of all colattices in } V \} \rightarrow \text{Dim}_V$ defined by formula $\text{codim}V_- = \text{dim}V_+ + \text{dim}(V/V_+ + V_-) - \text{dim}(V_+ \cap V_-)$, where V_+ is any lattice. The \mathbb{Z} -torsor Dim_{V^*} coincides with the opposite torsor to Dim_V : one has $\text{dim}V_+^\perp = -\text{dim}V_+$. The group $\text{Aut } V$ acts on Dim_V ; if V is neither bounded nor discrete, then the action is non-trivial.

1.2.3 Let V_1, V_2 be Tate's vector spaces. We will say that a linear operator $f \in \text{Hom}(V_1, V_2)$ is bounded if $\text{Im}f$ is bounded, is discrete if $\ker f$ is open, and is finite if $\text{Im}f$ is finite dimensional. Denote by $\text{Hom}_+, \text{Hom}_-$ and Hom_{00} respectively the corresponding spaces of operators; put $\text{Hom}_0 := \text{Hom}_+ \cap \text{Hom}_-$. Clearly $\text{Hom}_+ + \text{Hom}_- = \text{Hom}$, $\text{Hom}_?$ (where $? = +, -, 0, 00$) is a 2-sided ideal in Hom (i.e., if for $V_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} V_3$ either f_1 or f_2 is in $\text{Hom}_?$, then $f_2 f_1$ is in $\text{Hom}_?$), and $\text{Hom}_- \text{Hom}_+ \subset \text{Hom}_{00}$.

REMARK: Let $\mathcal{TV}_+, \mathcal{TV}_- \subset \mathcal{TV}$ be full subcategories of bounded, resp. discrete, spaces. Then \mathcal{TV}_- coincides with the category of usual vector spaces, and $*$ identifies \mathcal{TV}_+ with the dual category \mathcal{TV}_-^0 ; in particular these are abelian categories. Consider the quotient categories $\mathcal{TV}/+, \mathcal{TV}/-, \mathcal{TV}/0$, whose objects are Tate's vector spaces, and Hom 's are the corresponding quotients $\text{Hom}/\pm := \text{Hom}/\text{Hom}_\pm, \text{Hom}/0 := \text{Hom}/\text{Hom}_0$ (clearly \mathcal{TV}/\pm are just the quotient categories $\mathcal{TV}/\mathcal{TV}_\pm$). These quotient categories are abelian. In fact, the

projection $\mathcal{TV}/0 \rightarrow \mathcal{TV}/+ \oplus \mathcal{TV}/-$ is the equivalence of categories, and embeddings $\mathcal{TV}_\pm \hookrightarrow \mathcal{TV}$ composed with projections define equivalences $\mathcal{TV}_+/Vect \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{TV}/-, \mathcal{TV}_-/Vect \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{TV}/+$ (here $Vect = \mathcal{TV}_+ \cap \mathcal{TV}_-$ is the category of finite dimensional vector spaces).

1.2.4 For $V \in \mathcal{TV}$ consider the algebra $EndV$ equipped with 2-sided ideals $End_\pm \supset End_0 \supset End_{00}$. We will write $\mathfrak{gl} = \mathfrak{gl}V$ for $EndV^{Lie} = EndV$ considered as Lie algebra. Since $End_0^2 \subset End_{00}$, we have a canonical trace functional $tr : \mathfrak{gl}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which vanishes on $[\mathfrak{gl}_+, \mathfrak{gl}_-]$

According to 1.1.4, we get an End -crossed module $End_+ \oplus End_- \rightarrow End$. By 1.1.5, tr defines a central \mathbb{C} -extension $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}$ of \mathfrak{gl} , together with canonical Lie algebra splittings $s_\pm : \mathfrak{gl}_\pm \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}}$ such that $s_+ - s_- = tr$ on \mathfrak{gl}_0 .

1.2.5 Let $T \subset V$ be a Tate's subspace (= a closed subspace with induced Tate structure), and V/T be the quotient. Denote by $P_T \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{gl}V$ the parabolic subalgebra of endomorphisms that preserve T ; let $\pi = (\pi_T, \pi_{V/T}) : P_T \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}T \times \mathfrak{gl}V/T$ be an obvious projection. Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}T} \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V/T}$ be a central \mathbb{C} -extension of $\mathfrak{gl}T \times \mathfrak{gl}V/T$ which is the Baer sum of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}T}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V/T}$; one has $\mathfrak{gl}T \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V/T} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}T} \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V/T} / \{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} : a_1 + a_2 = 0\}$. Clearly $\mathfrak{gl}T \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V/T}$ coincides with the \mathbb{C} -extension constructed by the recipe of 1.1.4, 1.1.5 using the ideals $\mathfrak{gl}_+T \times \mathfrak{gl}_+V/T, \mathfrak{gl}_-T \times \mathfrak{gl}_-V/T$ and the trace functional $tr = tr_T + tr_{V/T}$.

Let $\widetilde{P}_T = i^* \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V}$ be the \mathbb{C} -extension of P_T induced by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V}$. Since $P_T = P_{T+} + P_{T-}$, where $P_{T\pm} = P_T \cap \mathfrak{gl}_\pm V$, this \mathbb{C} -extension coincides with the one constructed by means of ideals $P_{T\pm}$ and the trace functional $tr_V|_{P_T}$. Note that $\pi(P_{T\pm}) = \mathfrak{gl}_\pm T \times \mathfrak{gl}_\pm V/T$ and $tr_V|_{P_T} = tr \circ \pi$. By 1.1.6 this defines a canonical morphism $\widetilde{\pi} : \widetilde{P}_T \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}T} \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V/T}$ of \mathbb{C} -extensions that lifts π . In other words, \widetilde{P}_T is canonically isomorphic to the Baer sum of \mathbb{C} -extensions induced by projections $\pi_T, \pi_{V/T}$ from $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}T}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V/T}$.

Let us consider an important particular case of this situation. Assume that $T = V_+$ is a lattice. Then we have a canonical splitting $s_+ : \mathfrak{gl}V_+ = \mathfrak{gl}_+V_+ \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V_+}, s_- : \mathfrak{gl}V/V_+ = \mathfrak{gl}_-V/V_+ \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V/V_+}$, hence a canonical splitting $s_{V_+} = s_+ \pi_{V_+} + s_- \pi_{V/V_+} : P_{V_+} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V}$. Note that s_{V_+} actually depends on V_+ : if V'_+ is another lattice, then $s_{V_+} - s_{V'_+} : P_{V_+} \cap P_{V'_+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by formula $(s_{V_+} - s_{V'_+})(a) = tr_{V_+/V_+ \cap V'_+}(a) - tr_{V'_+/V_+ \cap V'_+}(a)$.

Similarly, if $T = V_-$ is a colattice, then we have the splittings $s_- : \mathfrak{gl}V_- = \mathfrak{gl}_-V_- \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V_-}, s_+ : \mathfrak{gl}V/V_- = \mathfrak{gl}_+V/V_- \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}V/V_-}$, hence the splitting $s_{V_-} = s_- \pi_{V_-} + s_+ \pi_{V/V_-} :$

$P_{V_-} \rightarrow \tilde{g}\ell_V$. On $P_{V_-} \cap P_{V_+}$ the difference $s_{V_+} - s_{V_-} : P_{V_-} \cap P_{V_+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by formula

$$(s_{V_+} - s_{V_-})(a) = \text{tr}_{V_- \cap V_+}(a) - \text{tr}_{V/V_- + V_+}(a).$$

The following subsection 1.3 could be omitted on first reading.

1.3 Elliptic complexes. Let (V, d) be a finite complex of Tate's vector spaces. We will call it elliptic, if for some (or any) subcomplex $(V_+, d) \subset (V, d)$ formed by lattices in V both V_+ and V/V_+ have finite dimensional cohomology spaces.

Clearly, elliptic complexes have finite dimensional cohomology.

REMARK: V is elliptic iff its image in abelian category $\mathcal{TV}/0$ (see 3.2.2) is acyclic.

1.3.1 Let $(U, d), (V, d)$ be elliptic complexes. Then $Hom = Hom(U, V) := \prod Hom(U^i, V^i)$ carries a bunch of subspaces. First, one has the subspaces $Hom_{\pm} := \prod Hom_{\pm}(U^i, V^i)$, Hom_0, Hom_{00} that have nothing to do with differential. We may enlarge those spaces as follows. Put $Hom_{\pm}^d := \{f \in Hom : [f, d] \in Hom_{\pm}(U, V^{+1})\}$, $Hom_0^d := Hom_+^d \cap Hom_-^d$, $Hom_d := \{f \in Hom : [f, d] = 0\}$ (= usual morphisms of complexes). Clearly $Hom_{\pm} \subset Hom_{\pm}^d, Hom_0 \subset Hom_0^d$, and all Hom_{\mp}^d are compatible with \pm decomposition: one has $Hom_{\mp}^d = (Hom_{\mp}^d \cap Hom_+) + (Hom_{\mp}^d \cap Hom_-)$.

The following easy technical lemma is quite useful. Assume that we picked subcomplexes $U'_+ \subset U_+ \subset U, V'_+ \subset V_+ \subset V$ formed by lattices. Put $P := \{f \in Hom(U, V) : f(U'_+) \subset V'_+, f(U_+) \subset V_+\}$, $P_{+d} := \{f \in P : [f, d](U') \subset V_+^{+1}\}$, $P_{-d} := \{f \in P : [f, d](U'_+) = 0\}$, $P_{0d} = P_{+d} \cap P_{-d}$.

1.3.2 LEMMA. One has $Hom_{\pm}^d = P_{\pm d} + Hom_{00}$, $Hom_0^d = P_{0d} + Hom_{00}$.

PROOF: Consider, e.g., the case of Hom_+^d . One has $Hom_+^d = (P \cap Hom_+^d) + Hom_0$. An element $f \in P \cap Hom_+^d$ induces the linear map $\bar{f} : U/U_+ \rightarrow V/V_+$ such that $\alpha = [\bar{f}, d]$ is of finite rank. One may find \bar{g} of finite rank such that $[\bar{g}, d] = \alpha$. Lift \bar{g} to an element $g \in P \cap Hom_0$; then $f - g \in P_{+d}$, and we are done. \square

Now let us define the traces. Consider a single elliptic complex (V, d) . We have a bunch of Lie subalgebras in $g\ell = g\ell V = \prod g\ell V^i$. Pick subcomplexes $V'_+ \subset V_+ \subset V$ formed

by lattices; we get the corresponding parabolic subalgebra $P \subset \mathfrak{gl}$ and its standard subalgebras. Define the trace functional $tr: P_{0d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by formula $tr f := \Sigma(-1)^i (tr_{H^i(V/V_+)} + tr_{V_+^i/V_+^i})$. In particular, if V/V_+ and V_+^i are acyclic, then $tr = \Sigma(-1)^i tr_{V_+^i/V_+^i}$. The algebra \mathfrak{gl}_{00} also carries the trace $tr = \Sigma(-1)^i tr_{V^i}$. Clearly on $P_{0d} \cap \mathfrak{gl}_{00}$ these traces coincide, so, by 1.3.2, they define $tr: \mathfrak{gl}_0^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

1.3.3 LEMMA. *The trace functional $tr: \mathfrak{gl}_0^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ does not depend on the choice of V_+, V_+^i and vanishes on $[\mathfrak{gl}_0^d, \mathfrak{gl}_0^d]$. \square*

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}$ be the central extension of \mathfrak{gl} by \mathbb{C} which is the alternating Baer sum of $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}V^i$. Equivalently, to get $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}$ take the ideals $\mathfrak{gl}_\pm \subset \mathfrak{gl}$ and the trace functional $tr = \Sigma(-1)^i tr_{V^i}$ on \mathfrak{gl}_0 , and apply constructions 1.1.4, 1.1.5. We have canonical splittings $s_\pm: \mathfrak{gl}_\pm \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}$.

1.3.4 LEMMA. *These splittings extend to canonical splittings $s_\pm: \mathfrak{gl}_\pm^d \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}$; one has $s_+ - s_- = tr: \mathfrak{gl}_0^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.*

PROOF: Consider, say, the case of s_+ . Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_+^d$ be $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}$ restricted to \mathfrak{gl}_+^d . Note that $\mathfrak{gl}_+^d = \mathfrak{gl}_+ + (\mathfrak{gl}_- \cap \mathfrak{gl}_+^d)$, so $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_+^d$ comes from constructions 1.1.4, 1.1.5 applied to \mathfrak{gl}_+^d , its ideals \mathfrak{gl}_+ and $\mathfrak{gl}_- \cap \mathfrak{gl}_+^d$ and the trace functional tr . We may even replace $\mathfrak{gl}_- \cap \mathfrak{gl}_+^d$ by the larger ideal \mathfrak{gl}_0^d and, since tr extends to \mathfrak{gl}_0^d by 1.3.3, according to 1.1.6 we get the desired section $s_+: \mathfrak{gl}_+^d \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}$. One treats s_- in a similar way; the formula $s_+ - s_- = tr$ results from 1.1.6. \square

1.4 Clifford modules. Let W be a Tate's space, and let $(,)$ be a non-degenerate symmetric form on W (which is the same as symmetric isomorphism $W \xrightarrow{\sim} W^*$).

1.4.1 For a lattice $W_+ \subset W$ let W_+^\perp be the orthogonal complement with respect to $(,)$. This is also a lattice, and the parity of $\dim W_+^\perp - \dim W_+ \in \mathbb{Z}$ does not depend on W_+ (and depends on $(W, (,))$ only). We will say that W is even or odd dimensional if $\dim W_+^\perp - \dim W_+$ is even or odd, respectively.

1.4.2 A Clifford module M is a module over Clifford algebra $Cliff(W, (,))$ such that W acts on M in a continuous way (in the discrete topology of M). This means that for any $m \in M$ there is a lattice W_+ such that $W_+ m = 0$. Denote by CM_W the category of Clifford modules.

Let $W_+ \subset W$ be a lattice such that $(\ , \)|_{W_+} = 0$. Then the finite-dimensional vector space W_+^\perp/W_+ carries an induced non-degenerate form. If M is a Clifford module, then $M^{W_+} := \{m \in M : W_+m = 0\}$ is a W_+^\perp -invariant subspace of M , hence a $Cliff(W_+^\perp/W_+, (\ , \))$ -module.

1.4.3 LEMMA. The functor $CM_W \rightarrow CM_{W_+^\perp/W_+}$, $M \mapsto M^{W_+}$, is an equivalence of categories. The inverse functor is given by formula $N \mapsto Cliff(W) \otimes_{Cliff(W_+^\perp)} N$. \square

In particular, we see that CM_W is a semisimple category. There is 1 irreducible object if W is even-dimensional, and 2 such if W is odd-dimensional.

Denote by $C\ell W$ the completion $\varprojlim Cliff(W)/Cliff(W) \cdot W_+$, where W_+ runs the set of all lattices in W . It is easy to see that the multiplication extends to this completion by continuity, so $C\ell W$ is an associative algebra. Clearly, it acts on any Clifford module.

1.4.4 Let $L_+ \subset W$ be a maximal $(\ , \)$ -isotropic lattice (so either $L_+^\perp = L_+$ or $dim L_+^\perp/L_+ = 1$ depending on parity of dimension of W). If L'_+ is another such lattice, put $\lambda(L_+ : L'_+) := \det(L_+/L_+ \cap L'_+)$. One has a canonical embedding $i : \lambda(L_+ : L'_+) \hookrightarrow C\ell W/C\ell W \cdot L'_+$, given by formula $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n \mapsto \tilde{v}_1 \cdots \tilde{v}_n \bmod C\ell W \cdot L'_+$. Here $\{v_i\}$ is a basis of $L_+/L_+ \cap L'_+$, \tilde{v}_i are any liftings of v_i to elements of L_+ . For a Clifford module M one has a canonical isomorphism $\lambda(L_+ : L'_+) \otimes M^{L'_+} \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{L_+}$, $v \otimes m \mapsto i(v)m$.

Now let $L_- \subset L$ be a maximal isotropic colattice (so $codim L_- = dim L_+$ in case $dim W$ is even, or $codim L_- = dim L_+ + 1$ if $dim W$ is odd). Put $\lambda(L_+, L_-) = \det(L_+ \cap L_-)$. For a Clifford module M put $M_{L_-} := M/L_-M$. One has a canonical isomorphism $\lambda(L_+, L_-) \otimes M_{L_-} \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{L_+}$, defined by formula $v \otimes m \mapsto v\tilde{m}$, where $v \in \lambda(L_+, L_-) \subset Cliff(W)$, $m \in M_{L_-}$, and $\tilde{m} \in M$ is any element such that $\tilde{m} \bmod L_-M = m$ and $v\tilde{m} \in M^{L_+}$. If M is irreducible, then $dim M^{L_+} = dim M_{L_-} = 1$, and we may rewrite the above isomorphisms as

$$\lambda(L_+ : L'_+) = M^{L_+}/M^{L'_+}, \quad \lambda(L_+, L_-) = M^{L_+}/M_{L_-}.$$

1.4.5 The algebra $C\ell W$ carries a natural $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading such that W lies in degree 1 component. Denote by $CM_W^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ the corresponding category of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Clifford modules. This is a semisimple category. If $dim W$ is odd, then it has a single irreducible object; if $dim W$ is even, then there are two irreducible objects that differ by a shift of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading.

If $\dim W$ is even, then each $M \in \mathcal{CM}_W$ carries a natural $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading defined up to a shift. Precisely, consider the set of all maximal isotropic lattices. This breaks into two components: lattices L_+, L'_+ lie in the same component iff $\dim L_+/L_+ \cap L'_+$ is even. Denote the two element set of these components by $\mathbb{Z}/2_w$; we will consider it as $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -torsor. Then any $M \in \mathcal{CM}_w$ carries a canonical $\mathbb{Z}/2_w$ -grading determined by the property that $M^{L_+} \subset M^\alpha$ for $L_+ \in \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/2_w$.

1.4.6 Let $C\ell^{Lie}W$ denote the Clifford algebra considered as Lie (super)algebra (with the above $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading; the (super)commutator is defined by the usual formula $[a, b] = ab - (-1)^{\alpha\beta}ba$ for $a \in C\ell^{Lie}W^\alpha, b \in C\ell^{Lie}W^\beta$). Denote by $\mathfrak{a}W$ the normalizer of $W \subset C\ell^{Lie}W^1$ in $C\ell^{Lie}W$. This is a Lie subalgebra of $C\ell^{Lie}W$. As a vector space $\mathfrak{a}W$ is the completion in $C\ell W$ of the subspace of all degree ≤ 2 polynomials of elements of W . One has $\mathfrak{a}W^1 = W$. The Lie algebra $\widetilde{OW} := \mathfrak{a}W^0$ is called the spinor algebra of W . The subspace $\mathbb{C} \subset C\ell W$ coincides with center of $\mathfrak{a}W$. One has a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{a}W/\mathbb{C} = OW \rtimes W$. Here OW is the orthogonal Lie algebra of all $(,)$ -skew symmetric elements in $\mathfrak{gl}W$; the projection $\pi : \widetilde{OW} \rightarrow \widetilde{OW}/\mathbb{C} = OW$ is given by the adjoint action on $W = \mathfrak{a}W^1$.

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{a}W$ acts on any $M \in \mathcal{CM}_W^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ in an obvious manner. If M is irreducible, this action identifies $\mathfrak{a}W$ with the normalizer of W in the Lie superalgebra $End_{\mathbb{C}}M$. Similarly, \widetilde{OW} acts on any $M \in \mathcal{CM}_W$, and, in case M is irreducible, \widetilde{OW} coincides with the normalizer of W in $End_{\mathbb{C}}M$.

1.4.7 Here is another construction of \widetilde{OW} . For $a \in \mathfrak{gl}W$ denote by ${}^t a \in \mathfrak{gl}W$ the adjoint operator with respect to $(,)$; for $a \in \mathfrak{gl}_-W$ one has ${}^t a \in \mathfrak{gl}_+W$. Consider now the ideal $\mathfrak{gl}_-W \subset \mathfrak{gl}W$ as an OW -module with respect to Ad -action. Then \mathfrak{gl}_-W together with the surjective morphism $\mathfrak{gl}_-W \xrightarrow{\partial} OW, a \mapsto a - {}^t a$, is an OW -crossed module. The pairing $\{, \} : \mathfrak{gl}_-W \times \mathfrak{gl}_-W \rightarrow \ker \partial$ (see 1.1.1) is given by formula $\{a_1, a_2\} = [a_1, {}^t a_2] + [a_2, {}^t a_1]$. Clearly $\ker \partial \subset \mathfrak{gl}_0W$. The usual trace $tr(1.2.4)$ vanishes on $\{\ker \partial, \ker \partial\}$; put $o tr = 1/2 tr$. By 1.1.3 we get a central \mathbb{C} -extension $\widetilde{OW}' = (\mathfrak{gl}_-W)_{o tr}$ of OW .

We define a canonical isomorphism $\alpha : \widetilde{OW}' \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{OW}$ of central \mathbb{C} -extensions of OW as follows. One has a canonical identification $W \otimes W \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_0W$, $w_1 \otimes w_2$ corresponds to a linear operator $w \mapsto (w_2, w)w_1$. This isomorphism extends by continuity to the isomorphism of

completions $\lim_{\overline{W}_+} W \otimes (W/W_+) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_-W$. Hence the map $\mathfrak{gl}_{00}W = W \otimes W \rightarrow \text{Cliff}(W, (\cdot, \cdot))$, $a_1 \otimes a_2 \mapsto a_1 a_2$, extends by continuity to the map $\alpha^\# : \mathfrak{gl}_-W \rightarrow \text{Cl}W$. Clearly $\alpha^\#$ maps \mathfrak{gl}_-W to $\mathfrak{a}W^0 = \overline{OW}$. For $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{gl}_-W, w \in W$ one has $[\alpha^\#(a), w] = \partial(a)(w)$, $[\alpha^\#(a_1), \alpha^\#(a_2)] = \alpha^\#([\partial a_1, a_2])$. For $b \in \ker \partial \cap \mathfrak{gl}_{00}W$ one has $b = 1/2(b + {}^t b) = \Sigma(w_i \otimes w'_i + w'_i \otimes w_i)$, hence $\alpha^\#(b) = \Sigma(w_i, w'_i) = \text{otr } b$; by continuity this holds for any $b \in \ker \partial$. This implies that $\alpha^\#$ yields a map $\alpha : \mathfrak{gl}_-W / \ker \text{otr} = \overline{OW}' \rightarrow \overline{OW}$, which is the desired isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -extensions of OW .

1.4.8 Let $L_+ \subset W$ be a maximal isotropic lattice; denote by $P_{L_+}O \subset OW$ the ‘‘parabolic’’ subalgebra of operators that preserve L_+ . One has a canonical Lie algebra splitting $s_{L_+} : P_{L_+}O \rightarrow \overline{OW}$ defined by formula $s_{L_+}(a) = \alpha^\#(b)$, where $b \in \mathfrak{gl}_-W$ is any operator such that $\partial(b) = a, b(L_+) = 0, (a - b)(W) \subset L_+$. For any Clifford module M one has $s_{L_+}(a)(M^{L_+}) = 0$ (and $s_{L_+}(a)$ is a unique lifting of a to \overline{OW} with this property).

Similarly, let $L_- \subset W$ be a maximal isotropic colattice. The corresponding parabolic subalgebra $P_{L_-}O \subset OW$ also has a canonical Lie algebra splitting $s_{L_-} : P_{L_-}O \rightarrow \overline{OW}$ defined by formula $s_{L_-}(a) = \alpha^\#(b)$, where $b \in \mathfrak{gl}_-W$ is an operator such that $\partial(b) = a, b|_{L_-} = a|_{L_-}, b(W) \subset L_-$. For a Clifford module one has $s_{L_-}(a)(M_{L_-}) = 0$ (i.e., $s_{L_-}(a)(M) \subset L_-M$).

According to 1.4.4 for $a \in P_{L_+}O \cap P_{L_-}O$ one has $(s_{L_-} - s_{L_+})(a) = \text{tr}_{L_- \cap L_+}(a) \in \mathbb{C} \subset \overline{OW}$. If L'_+ is another maximal isotropic lattice, then for $a \in P_{L_+}O \cap P_{L'_+}O$ one has $(s_{L'_+} - s_{L_+})(a) = \text{tr}_{L_+/L_+ \cap L'_+}(a)$.

1.4.9 Let V be any Tate’s vector space. Then $W := V \oplus V^*$, equipped with the form $((v, v^*), (v', v'^*)) := v^*(v') + v'^*(v)$, is an even-dimensional space. For any lattice $V_+ \subset V$ and a colattice $V_- \subset V$ a lattice $L(V_+) = V_+ \oplus V_+^\perp \subset W$ and a colattice $L(V_-) = V_- \oplus V_-^\perp \subset W$ are maximal isotropic ones; clearly one has a canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(L(V_+) : L(V'_+)) &= \det(V_+/V_+ \cap V'_+) / \det(V'_+/V_+ \cap V'_+) \\ \lambda(L(V_+), L(V_-)) &= \det(V_+ \cap V_-) / \det(V/V_+ + V_-). \end{aligned}$$

The algebra $\text{Cl}W$ gets a natural \mathbb{Z} -grading such that the subspaces V, V^* ($\subset W \subset \text{Cl}W$) lie in degrees 1, -1, respectively. Any Clifford module M has a canonical Dim_V -grading such that $M^{L(V_+)}$ lies in degree $\text{dim } V_+$.

The embedding $i : \mathfrak{gl}V \hookrightarrow OW$, $\ell \mapsto \ell \oplus (-^t\ell)$, lifts canonically to a morphism of \mathbb{C} -extensions $\tilde{i} : \tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}V \rightarrow \widetilde{OW}$ constructed as follows. For $\ell_+ \in \mathfrak{gl}_+V$ choose a lattice $V_+ \supset \text{Im}\ell_+$. Then $i(\ell_+) \in P_{L(V_+)O}$. Put $\tilde{i}_+(\ell_+) = s_{L(V_+)}i(\ell_+) \in \widetilde{OW}$; by 1.4.8 this element is independent of a choice of V_+ . Similarly, for $\ell_- \in \mathfrak{gl}_-V$ choose a lattice $V'_+ \subset \text{Ker}\ell_-$; then $i(\ell_-) \in P_{L(V'_+)O}$, and $\tilde{i}_-(\ell_-) := s_{L(V'_+)}i(\ell_-) \in \widetilde{OW}$ depends on ℓ_- only. For $\ell_0 \in \mathfrak{gl}_0V$ one has $(\tilde{i}_- - \tilde{i}_+)(\ell_0) = \text{tr}_{L(V_+)/L(V_+) \cap L(V'_+)}(i\ell_0) = \text{tr}\ell_0$ by 1.4.8. According to 1.2.3 we get a canonical morphism $\tilde{i} : \tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_{-1}V \rightarrow \widetilde{OW}$ of \mathbb{C} -extensions such that $\tilde{i}s_{\pm} = \tilde{i}_{\pm} : \mathfrak{gl}_{\pm}V \rightarrow \widetilde{OW}$ (here $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_{-1}V = (\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}V)_{-1}$, see 1.1.7).

The action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}V$ on M preserves the Dim_V -grading. If M is irreducible, then it is natural to denote the $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_{-1}V$ -module M^a , $a \in \text{Dim}V$, as $\Lambda^a V$ ("semi-infinite wedge power"). Note that $\Lambda^a V$ (as well as M itself) is defined up to tensorization with 1-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space.

1.4.10 We will need a version "with formal parameter" of the above constructions. Namely, let $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[q]]$ be our base ring. Consider a flat complete \mathcal{O} -module V (so $\varprojlim V/q^n V$). A Tate structure on V is given by Tate's \mathbb{C} -vector space structure on each $V/q^n V$ such that each short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V/q^m V \xrightarrow{q^n} V/q^{m+n} V \rightarrow V/q^n V \rightarrow 0$ is strongly compatible with the Tate structures (i.e., $V/q^m V$ is a Tate's subspace of $V/q^{m+n} V$ and $V/q^n V$ is the quotient space). A lattice $V_+ \subset V$ is an \mathcal{O} -submodule such that V/V_+ is \mathcal{O} -flat, $V_+ = \varprojlim V_+/q^n V_+$ and $V_+/q^n V_+$ is a lattice in $V/q^n V$ for each n . One defines a colattice $V_- \subset V$ in a similar way. For a Tate \mathcal{O} -module V one defines its dual V^* in an obvious way; one has $V^*/q^n V^* = (V/q^n V)^*$, $V^{**} = V$.

Let W be Tate's \mathcal{O} -module and $(,) : W \times W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a non-degenerate symmetric form (i.e., a symmetric isomorphism $W \xrightarrow{\sim} W^*$). Let $\text{Cliff}(W)$ be the Clifford \mathcal{O} -algebra of $(,)$. A Clifford module M is a $\text{Cliff}(W)$ -module such that M is flat as \mathcal{O} -module, $M = \varprojlim M/q^n M$, and $W/q^n W$ acts on each $M/q^n M$ in a continuous way (in discrete topology of $M/q^n M$). Such M carries the action of completed Clifford algebra

$$\text{Cl}W = \lim_n \lim_{\overline{W_+^{(n)}}} \text{Cliff}(W)/q^n \text{Cliff}(W) + \text{Cliff}(W)W_+^{(n)}$$

(where $W_+^{(n)}$ is a lattice in $W/q^n W$). Clearly $M_0 := M/qM$ is Clifford module for $(W_0, (,)_0) := (W/qW, (,) \text{ mod } q)$; if M' is another Clifford module, then $\text{Hom}(M, M')$ is a flat \mathcal{O} -module

and $\text{Hom}(M, M')/q\text{Hom}(M, M') = \text{Hom}(M_0, M'_0)$. In particular, if $(W_0, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is even-dimensional, then there exists a Clifford module M , unique up to isomorphism, such that M_0 is irreducible; one has $\text{End}M = \mathcal{O}$. All the facts 1.4.3-1.4.9 have an obvious $\mathbb{C}[[q]]$ -version.

§2. TATE'S RESIDUES AND VIRASORO-TYPE EXTENSIONS

2.1 Tate's construction of local extension. Let F be a 1-dimensional local field, and $\mathcal{O}_F \subset F$ be the corresponding local ring. A choice of uniformization parameter $t \in \mathcal{O}_F$ identifies \mathcal{O}_F with $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$, and F with $\mathbb{C}((t))$. Let E be an F -vector space of dimension $n < \infty$. Denote by DE the algebra of F -differential operators acting on E . A choice of a basis of E identifies DE with the algebra of matrix differential operators $a_N \partial_t^N + \cdots + a_1 \partial_t + a_0$, $a_i \in \text{Mat}_n(F)$.

2.1.1 The space E , considered as \mathbb{C} -vector space, is actually a Tate's vector space in a canonical way. A basis of neighbourhoods of 0 is formed by \mathcal{O}_F -submodules of E that generate E as F -module. We will denote by $\text{End}E, \mathfrak{gl}_{\pm}E$, etc., the corresponding algebras of endomorphisms of E , considered as Tate's \mathbb{C} -vector space.

Clearly $DE \subset \text{End}E$. We may restrict to $DE^{Lie} \subset \mathfrak{gl}E$ the central extension $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}}E$ to get the central extension $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widetilde{DE} \rightarrow DE^{Lie} \rightarrow 0$ of Lie algebra DE^{Lie} .

It is easy to compute a 2-cocycle of this extension explicitly. Namely, let us choose a parameter $t \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and an F -basis $\{v_i\}$ in E . Put $E_+ = \sum_i \mathcal{O}_F v_i$, $E_- = \sum_i t^{-1} \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}] v_i$; this is a lattice and a colattice in E and $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$. For $\ell \in \mathfrak{gl}E$ define the operator $\ell_+ \in \mathfrak{gl}_+E$ by formula $\ell_+|_{E_+} = \ell|_{E_+}, \ell_+|_{E_-} = 0$. Clearly this map $\mathfrak{gl}E \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_+E$, $\ell \mapsto \ell_+$, lifts the canonical projection $\mathfrak{gl}E \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}E/\mathfrak{gl}_-E = \mathfrak{gl}_+E/\mathfrak{gl}_0E$. Hence by 1.1.4 it defines the section $\sigma : \mathfrak{gl}E \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}}E$; a corresponding 2-cocycle is given by formula $\ell_1, \ell_2 \mapsto \alpha(\ell_1, \ell_2) = [\sigma(\ell_1), \sigma(\ell_2)] - \sigma([\ell_1, \ell_2]) = \text{tr}([\ell_{1+}, \ell_{2+}] - [\ell_1, \ell_2]_+)$. Take now $\ell_1 = At^a \frac{\partial^b}{\partial t^b}$, $\ell_2 = A't^{a'} \frac{\partial^{b'}}{\partial t^{b'}}$, where $A, A' \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $a, a' \in \mathbb{Z}, b, b' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Clearly $\alpha(\ell_1, \ell_2) = 0$ if $a - b \neq b' - a'$. Assume that $a - b = b' - a'$; since α is skew-symmetric we may assume that $n = a - b \geq 0$. Then one has

$$\alpha(\ell_1, \ell_2) = -\text{Tr}(AA') \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{i}{b'} \binom{i-n}{b}.$$

2.1.2 Let $\mathcal{A}E \subset DE^{Lie}$ be a Lie subalgebra that consists of operators of order ≤ 1 with scalar symbol (i.e., the operators of type $a_0 + a_1 \partial_t$, $a_0 \in \text{End}_F E, a_1 \in F$). Denote by \mathcal{T}_F the Lie algebra of vector fields on F . One has a canonical short exact sequence of Lie algebras $0 \rightarrow \text{End}_F E^{Lie} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}E \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{T}_F \rightarrow 0$, $\sigma(a_0 + a_1 \partial_t) = a_1 \partial_t$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}E}$ be the

C-extension of $\mathcal{A}E$ induced from $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}E}$. The above formulas reduce to the following ones:

$$\alpha(At^a, Bt^b) = b\delta_a^{-b} \text{tr} AB, \alpha(At^a, t^{b+1}\partial_t) = \frac{a-a^2}{2}\delta_a^{-b} \text{tr} A, \alpha(t^{a+1}\partial_t, t^{b+1}\partial_t) = \frac{n}{6}(a^3-a)\delta_a^{-b}.$$

This is Kac-Moody-Virasoro cocycle.

2.1.3 Consider the case $E = F$. One has an obvious embedding $\mathcal{T}_F \subset \mathcal{A}F$ which defines the C-extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_F$ of \mathcal{T}_F with cocycle $\alpha_{Vir}(t^{a+1}\partial_t, t^{b+1}\partial_t) = \frac{1}{6}(a^3-a)\delta_a^{-b}$. This $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_F$ is called (a local) Virasoro algebra. For any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the C-extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{F_c}$ (see 1.1.7). Since \mathcal{T}_F is perfect, $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{F_c}$ has no automorphisms. One knows that any central C-extension of \mathcal{T}_F is isomorphic (canonically) to a unique $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{F_c}$ (one has $H^2(\mathcal{T}_F, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$).

2.1.4 Now consider for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ a 1-dimensional F -vector space $\omega_F^{\otimes j}$ of j -differentials (the elements of $\omega_F^{\otimes j}$ are tensors $f dt^{\otimes j}$, $f \in F$). The Lie algebra \mathcal{T}_F acts canonically on $\omega_F^{\otimes j}$ by Lie derivatives, i.e., we have a canonical embedding $\mathcal{T}_F \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}\omega_F^{\otimes j}$. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_F^{(j)}$ the corresponding C-extensions of \mathcal{T}_F induced from $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}\omega_F^{\otimes j}}$. The explicit formula for this action is $\varphi\partial_t(f dt^{\otimes j}) = (\varphi\partial_t(f) + j f \partial_t(\varphi)) dt^{\otimes j}$, i.e., with respect to the basis $dt^{\otimes j}$ a field $t^{a+1}\partial_t$ acts as $t^{a+1}\partial_t + j(a+1)t^a$. The formulas 2.1.2 immediately show that a 2-cocycle for $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_F^{(j)}$ coincides with $(6j^2 - 6j + 1)\alpha_{Vir}$. Hence $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_F^{(j)}$ coincides with $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{F(6j^2-6j+1)}$.

2.2 A geometric construction of a global extension. Let us describe the above extensions in geometric language.

2.2.1 Let C be a smooth algebraic curve (non necessary compact). Denote by $\omega = \Omega_C^1$ a sheaf of 1-forms, and by $\mathcal{H} = H_{DR}^1 = \Omega_C^1/d\mathcal{O}_C$ the de Rham cohomology sheaf (in Zariski topology of C). For a vector bundle E on C let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}E$ denotes a sheaf of differential operators on E , and $E^0 := \omega E^*$. Then E is left \mathcal{D} -module, E^0 is right \mathcal{D} -module (so one has a canonical anti-isomorphism $t : \mathcal{D}E \rightarrow \mathcal{D}E^0$, see, e.g., [B]), and the pairing $E^0 \otimes E \xrightarrow{\langle \rangle} \omega$ quotients to the pairing $E^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{D}E} E \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$.

Let $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \times C$ be the diagonal; we will identify the sheaves on C with ones on $C \times C$ supported on Δ . Consider the sheaf $E \boxtimes E^0 := p_1^*E \otimes p_2^*E^0$ on $C \times C$. Recall that one has a canonical isomorphism $\delta : E \boxtimes E^0(\infty\Delta)/E \boxtimes E^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}$. Explicitly, for a "kernel" $k(t_1, t_2) = e(t_1)e^0(t_2)f(t_1, t_2)$, $e \in E, e^0 \in E^0, f(t_1, t_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{C \times C}(\infty\Delta)$, the corresponding differential operator $\delta(k)$ acts on sections of E according to formula $(\delta(k)\ell)(t_1) =$

$Res_{t_2=t_1} \langle k(t_1, t_2) \ell(t_2) \rangle = e(t_1) Res_{t_2=t_1} f(t_1, t_2) \langle e^0(t_2) \ell(t_2) \rangle$. Here $\ell \in E, \langle e^0(t_2) \ell(t_2) \rangle \in \omega, \langle k(t_1, t_2) \ell(t_2) \rangle \in E \boxtimes \omega(\infty\Delta)$; we take the residue along the t_2 variable. The right action of $\delta(k)$ on sections of E^0 is given by formula $(m\delta(k))(t_2) = Res_{t_1=t_2} f(x, t_2) \langle m(t_1) e(t_1) \rangle e^0(t_2)$.

2.2.2 Put $\mathcal{P}E_n := \lim_{\leftarrow} E \boxtimes E^0((n+1)\Delta) / E \boxtimes E^0(-i\Delta)$, $\mathcal{P}E = \cup \mathcal{P}E_n$, so we have an isomorphism $\delta : \mathcal{P}E / \mathcal{P}E_{-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}E$. Clearly $\mathcal{P}E$ is $\mathcal{D}E$ -bimodule (the left and right actions are the obvious actions along the first, resp. the second variable), and δ is the morphism of bimodules, i.e., $\mathcal{P}E$ is a $\mathcal{D}E$ -crossed module (see 1.1). Let $t : \mathcal{P}E \rightarrow \mathcal{P}E^0$ be minus the isomorphism “transposition of coordinates” (here minus comes since E, E^0 have “odd” nature). Then for $k \in \mathcal{P}E$ one has ${}^t\delta(k) = \delta({}^t k)$, and t is an “anti-isomorphism” between crossed modules.

The pairing $\langle \rangle : \mathcal{P}E \underset{\mathcal{D}E}{\otimes} \mathcal{P}E \rightarrow \mathcal{P}E_{-1}$ from 1.1, $\langle k_1, k_2 \rangle = \delta(k_1)k_2 - k_1\delta(k_2)$, is given by formula

$$\langle k_1 k_2 \rangle(t_1, t_2) = (Res_{z=t_1} + Res_{z=t_2}) \langle k_1(t_1, z) k_2(z, t_2) \rangle = \int_{\gamma_{t_1, t_2}} \langle k_1(t_1, z) k_2(z, t_2) \rangle.$$

Here $\langle k_1(t_1, z) k_2(z, t_2) \rangle$ is the 1-form of variable z (with values in $E_{t_1} \otimes E_{t_2}^0$), and γ_{t_1, t_2} is a loop round $z = t_1$ and $z = t_2$. The corresponding Lie algebra pairing $\{ \} : S^2 \mathcal{P}E \rightarrow \mathcal{P}E_{-1}$ is $\{k_1, k_2\} := \langle k_1, k_2 \rangle + \langle k_2, k_1 \rangle$. Let $tr : \mathcal{P}E_{-1} \rightarrow \omega$ be the composition $\mathcal{P}E_{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}E_{-1} / \mathcal{P}E_{-2} = E \otimes E^0 \rightarrow \omega$. We have

$$tr\{k_1, k_2\} = (Res_1 - Res_2) \langle k_1(t_1, t_2) k_2(t_2, t_1) \rangle.$$

Here $k_2(t_2, t_1) = {}^t k_2 \in \mathcal{P}E^0$ is k_2 with coordinates transposed, $\langle k_1(t_1, t_2) k_2(t_2, t_1) \rangle$ is a 2-form with poles along the diagonal and $Res_1, Res_2 : \Omega_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}}^2(\infty\Delta) \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}}$ are residues round diagonal along first and second coordinates, respectively. Clearly, $Res_1 - Res_2$ vanishes on $\Omega_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}}^2(\Delta)$ and takes image in exact forms. In fact, there is a canonical map $\widetilde{Res} : \Omega_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}}^2(\infty\Delta) / \Omega_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}}^2(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $d\widetilde{Res} = Res_1 - Res_2$ (see [B Sch] (2.11)). An explicit formula for \widetilde{Res} is

$$\widetilde{Res}(f(t_1, t_2)(t_1 - t_2)^{-i-1} dt_1 \wedge dt_2) = i!^{-1} \sum_{a+b=i-1} \partial_{t_1}^a \partial_{t_2}^b f(t_1, t_2) \Big|_{t_1=t_2=t}.$$

Here $f(t_1, t_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{C \times C}$. Hence one has $tr\{k_1, k_2\} = d\widetilde{Res}(k_1, k_2)$. Note that the symmetric pairing $\mathcal{P}E \otimes \mathcal{P}E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$, $k_1, k_2 \mapsto \{k_1, k_2\}^\sim := \widetilde{Res}(k_1, k_2)$ vanishes on $\sum_{a+b=-1} \mathcal{P}E_a \otimes \mathcal{P}E_b$; in particular, it induces the pairing on $\mathcal{P}E_1/\mathcal{P}E_{-2}$.

According to 1.1.2, 1.1.3 we get a central extension \widetilde{DE} of Lie algebra DE^{Lie} by \mathcal{H} defined by a following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}E_{-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}E & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathcal{D}E & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow tr & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\
& & \downarrow \omega & & & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{DE} & \longrightarrow & DE^{Lie} & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

2.2.3 Denote by $\mathcal{A}E \subset DE^{Lie}$ a Lie subalgebra of differential operators of order ≤ 1 with scalar symbol. In other words, $\mathcal{A}E$ is Lie algebra of infinitesimal symmetries of (C, E) : the elements of $\mathcal{A}E$ are pairs $(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$, where $\tau \in \mathcal{P}_C$ is a vector field, and $\tilde{\tau}$ is an action of τ on E (so $\tilde{\tau}$ is an order 1 differential operator with symbol equal to τ).

The constructions of 2.2.2 give rise to a differential graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}^0 E$ defined as follows. One has $\mathcal{A}^0 E = \mathcal{A}E$, $\mathcal{A}^{-1} E$ is pre-image of $\mathcal{A}E \subset \mathcal{D}E$ by the projection $\mathcal{P}E/\ker tr \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{D}E$ (so we have short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{-1} E \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{A}E \rightarrow 0$), and finally $\mathcal{A}^{-2} E = \mathcal{O}_C$; all the other components of $\mathcal{A}^i E$ are zero ones. The differential $d : \mathcal{A}^{-2} E = \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \omega \subset \mathcal{A}^{-1} E$ is de Rham one, and $\mathcal{A}^{-1} E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}E$ is δ . The bracket components $[\]^{ij} : \mathcal{A}^i E \times \mathcal{A}^j E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{i+j} E$ are the following. $[\]^{00}$ is the usual bracket $[\]^{0,-1}$ comes from \mathcal{D}^{Lie} -action on $\mathcal{P}E$, $[\]^{0,-2}$ is the action of $\mathcal{A}E$ on \mathcal{O}_C via $\sigma : \mathcal{A}E \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_C$, and $[\]^{-1,-1}$ is $\{, \}^\sim$ defined above. So $\mathcal{A}^i E$ contains de Rham complex $\Omega_C[2]$ as an ideal, $\mathcal{A}^i E/\Omega_C[2]$ is acyclic and the central extension $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}E = \mathcal{A}^{-1} E/d\mathcal{A}^{-2} E$ of $\mathcal{A}E$ by \mathcal{H} (see 1.13) coincides with restriction of \widetilde{DE} to $\mathcal{A}E \subset DE^{Lie}$.

2.2.4 Consider the case $E = \mathcal{O}_C$. An obvious embedding $\mathcal{P}_C \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}\mathcal{O}_C$ defines the central \mathcal{H} -extension $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_C$ called a global Virasoro algebra. As in 2.1.3 for $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we will denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{C,c}$ the \mathcal{H} -extension of \mathcal{P}_C which is c -multiple of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_C$. Since \mathcal{P}_C is perfect (see 2.5 below), the extensions $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{C,c}$ have no automorphisms.

2.2.5 Consider for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ the sheaf $\omega^{\otimes j}$. A natural action of \mathcal{P}_C on $\omega^{\otimes j}$ by Lie derivatives defines a canonical embedding of Lie algebras $\mathcal{P}_C \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}\omega^{\otimes j}$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_C^{(j)}$ the induced

\mathcal{H} -extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}\omega}^{\otimes j} \big|_{\mathcal{P}_C}$. Given a local coordinate t , one may consider elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_C^{(j)}$ as expressions

$$\varphi_{(f,g)}^{(j)} = \left[\frac{f(t_1)}{(t_2 - t_1)^2} + j \frac{\partial_{t_1} f(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} + g(t_1) \right] dt_1^{\otimes j} dt_2^{\otimes 1-j},$$

where $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_C$, modulo the ones of type $\varphi_{(0, \partial_t h)}$. The map $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_C = \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_C^{(0)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_C^{(j)}$ defined by formula $\varphi_{(f,g)}^{(0)} \mapsto \varphi_{(f, (6j^2 - 6j + 1)g)}^{(j)}$ is a morphism of Lie algebras, and does not depend on a choice of a local coordinate t . Hence it defines a canonical isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{C(6j^2 - 6j + 1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_C^{(j)}$ of \mathcal{H} -extensions of C (see [B Sch]). Unfortunately, we do not know any "coordinate-free" explanation of this isomorphism.

2.3 Compatibility with Tate's construction. Let $x \in C$ be a point. We may consider the constructions of 2.2 locally at x . Namely, let \mathcal{O}_x^\wedge be a completed local ring of C at x , $\mathcal{O}_{(x,x)}^\wedge$ be the one of $C \times C$ at (x, x) , $F_x \supset \mathcal{O}_x^\wedge$ be the local field at x , so if t is a parameter at x then $\mathcal{O}_{(x,x)}^\wedge = \mathbb{C}[[t_1, t_2]]$. Denote by R the localization of $\mathcal{O}_{(x,x)}^\wedge$ with respect to $t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}, (t_1 - t_2)^{-1}$. Put $\omega_{(x)} := F_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \omega$, $E_{(x)} := F_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} E$, $\mathcal{D}_{(x)} = \mathcal{D}E_{(x)} := F_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{D}E_{(x)}$, $\mathcal{P}_{(x)} = \mathcal{P}E_{(x)} = E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} E^0$: these are local versions of the objects in 2.2. We can manage all the constructions of 2.2 purely locally. In particular we get the central extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(x)}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(x)}^{Lie}$ by $\mathcal{H}_{(x)} = \omega_{(x)}/dF_x \xrightarrow{Res} C$.

2.3.1 By 2.1, $E_{(x)}$ is a Tate's vector space, and we have the embedding $i_x : \mathcal{D}_{(x)} \hookrightarrow \text{End} E_{(x)}$. For $k = k(t_1, t_2) \in \mathcal{P}_{(x)}$ let $k_-, k_+ \in \text{End} E_{(x)}$ be a linear operator defined by formulas

$$[k_-(e)](t) = -\text{Res}_{t_2=0} \langle k(t, t_2)e(t_2) \rangle, [k_+(e)](t) = (\text{Res}_{t_2=t_1} + \text{Res}_{t_2=0}) \langle k(t, t_2)e(t_2) \rangle.$$

Here $e(t) \in E_{(x)}$, $\langle k(t, t_2)e(t_2) \rangle \in E \otimes R \otimes \omega$, and the residues are taken along the second variable. According to 2.2.1 one has $i_x \delta(k) = k_- + k_+$. Denote by $i_{x\pm}^\# : \mathcal{P}_{(x)} \rightarrow \text{End} E_{(x)}$ the maps $i_{x\pm}^\#(k) = k_\pm$.

2.3.2 LEMMA. (i) For $k \in \mathcal{P}_{(x)}$ one has $k_\pm \in \text{End}_\pm E_{(x)}$.

(ii) The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_{(x)} & \xrightarrow{i_x^\# = (i_{x+}^\#, i_{x-}^\#)} & \text{End}_+ E_{(x)} \oplus \text{End}_- E_{(x)} \\ \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{i_x} & \text{End} E_{(x)} \end{array}$$

is an i_x -morphism of crossed modules (see 1.1).

(iii) For $k \in \ker \delta \subset \mathcal{P}_{(x)}$ one has $\text{Res}_x \text{tr}(k) = \text{tri}_x^\#(k) (= \text{tr}k_+ = -\text{tr}k_-)$.

(iv) Let us identify $E_{(x)}^0$ with $E_{(x)}^*$ via the pairing $(,) : E \times E^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(e, e^0) = \text{Res}(e, e^0)$; this gives the anti-isomorphism $t : \text{End}E_{(x)} \rightarrow \text{End}E_{(x)}^0$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}E_{(x)} & \xrightarrow{i_+^\#} & \text{End}_+E_{(x)} \\ t \downarrow \wr & & t \downarrow \wr \\ \mathcal{P}E_{(x)}^0 & \xrightarrow{i_-^\#} & \text{End}_-E_{(x)}^0 \end{array}$$

commutes.

PROOF: Assume for simplicity of notations that $E = \mathcal{O}_C$, so $E_{(x)} = F_x$. The statement $k_- \in \text{End}_-F_x$ from (i) is clear, since k_- vanishes on the lattice $t^N \mathcal{O}_x^\wedge \subset F_x$ for N equal to the order of pole of $k(t_1, t_2)$ at divisor $t_2 = 0$. Now the fact that $k_+ \in \text{End}_+F_x$ will follow from (iv). The statements (ii), (iii) are obvious. To prove (iv) let us compute the residues integrating the forms along cycles. Let $\gamma_\pm(t)$ be the following loops in the t_2 -complex plane $t_1 = t$:

Then for any function $f \in F_x$ one has $[k_\pm(f)](t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_\pm(t)} k(t, t_2) f(t_2)$.

Denote by U a small neighbourhood of zero in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ with coordinate cross and diagonal removed. One has the following 2-dimensional cycles C_\pm in U . Fix a small real numbers $0 < \epsilon < r \ll 1$. Then $C_+ = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} : |z_1| = \epsilon, |z_2| = r\}$, $C_- = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} : |z_1| = r, |z_2| = \epsilon\}$; the orientation of C_+ is a standard orientation of $S^1 \times S^1$, and the one of C_- is minus the standard orientation.

The above formula for the action of k_\pm implies that for a 1-form $g \in F_x^0 = \omega_{(x)}$ one has $(g, k_\pm(f)) = \int_{C_\pm} g(t_1) k(t_1, t_2) f(t_2)$. Since the transposition of coordinates identifies C_+ with C_- , this implies that $(g, k_+(f)) = (({}^t k)_-(g), f)$. \square

2.3.3 Now the morphism $i_x^\#$ 2.3.2(ii) of crossed modules together with compatibility 2.3.2(iii) defines the morphism of the corresponding C-extensions $\tilde{i}_x : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(x)} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}E_{(x)}$, $\tilde{i}_x(k) = s_+(k_+) + s_-(k_-)$, or, equivalently, the isomorphism of C-extensions $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(x)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}E_{(x)}$ (see 2.1.1).

2.3.4 Assume now that our curve C is compact. Let $X = \{x_i\} \subset C$ be a finite non empty set of points, and E be a vector bundle on $U = C \setminus X$. Put $E_{(X)} = \Pi E_{(x_i)}$, $\mathcal{D}_{(X)} = \Pi \mathcal{D}_{(x_i)}$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(X)}$, a C-extension of $\mathcal{D}_{(X)}^{Lie}$ which is Baer sum of C-extensions $\mathcal{D}_{(x_i)}$, so $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(X)} = \Pi \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(x_i)} / \{(a_i) \in \mathbb{C}^X : \sum a_i = 0\}$. Clearly $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(X)}$ coincides with C-extension $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}E_{(X)}$ induced from $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}E_{(X)}$ via the embedding $\mathcal{D}_{(X)} \hookrightarrow \prod \text{End } E_{(x_i)} \hookrightarrow \text{End } E_{(X)}$.

Put $\mathcal{D}_U := H^0(U, \mathcal{D}E_U)$ and consider the central extension $0 \rightarrow H_{DR}^1(U) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_U \rightarrow 0$ constructed in 2.2.2. One has the localization around x_i maps $\mathcal{D}_U \hookrightarrow \prod \mathcal{D}_{(x_i)}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_U \rightarrow \prod \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(x_i)}$. The composition $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_U \rightarrow \prod \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(x_i)} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(X)}$ vanishes on $H_{DR}^1(U)$ (since $\sum_X \text{Res}_{x_i} = 0$). Hence it defines a canonical morphism $s_X : \mathcal{D}_U^{Lie} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(X)}$ that lifts the embedding $\mathcal{D}_U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{(X)}$.

This morphism could be constructed by purely linear algebra means. Namely, consider a colattice $E_U = H^0(U, E) \subset E_{(X)}$. Clearly $\mathcal{D}_U^{Lie} \subset P_{E_U} \subset \mathfrak{gl}E_{(X)}$, hence we have the splitting $s_{E_U | \mathcal{D}_U} : \mathcal{D}_U^{Lie} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}E_{(X)} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{(X)}$ (see 1.2.5).

2.3.5 LEMMA. *This splitting coincides with the above s_X .*

PROOF: Let $\partial \in \mathcal{D}_U$ be a differential operator. Choose a section $k \in H^0(U \times U, E \boxtimes E^0(\infty\Delta))$ such that $\delta(k) = \partial$. Denote by $k_- = (k_-^{x_i}) \in \text{Hom}(E_{(X)}, E_U)$ the morphism given by formula $k_-(e_{x_i}) = \Sigma k_-^{x_i}(e_{x_i})$, $k_-^{x_i}(e_{x_i}) = -\text{Res}_{x_i} \langle k \cdot e_{x_i} \rangle \in E_U$. Here $e_{x_i} \in E_{x_i}$, $\langle k \cdot e_{x_i} \rangle \in H^0(U \times \text{Spec } F_{x_i}, E \boxtimes \omega(\infty\Delta))$ is a section obtained by convolution of k and e_{x_i} (where e_{x_i} is considered as a section of $\mathcal{O}_U \boxtimes E_{(x_i)}$ independent of first variable), and Res_{x_i} is residue along the second variable at x_i . Clearly k_- is morphism of Tate spaces (here E_U is a discrete space).

Let $j = (j_{x_i}) : E_U \hookrightarrow E_{(X)}$ be the embedding. The residue formula implies that for $e \in E_U$ one has $k_-(j(e)) = \partial(e)$. Hence $j \circ k_- \in P_{E_U} \subset \mathfrak{gl}E_{(X)}$, one has $j \circ k_- \in \mathfrak{gl}_-E_{(X)}$, $\partial - j \circ k_- \in \mathfrak{gl}_+E_{(X)}$, and, according to 1.2.5, $s_{E_U}(\partial)$ coincides with $s_-(j \circ k_-) + s_+(\partial - j \circ k_-)$.

Now consider $j \circ k_-$ as a matrix $(j \circ k_-)_{x_i}^{x_j} \in \text{Hom}(E_{(x_i)}, E_{(x_j)})$. Let $j \circ k_-^{diag} = \Sigma(j \circ k_-)_{x_i}^{x_i} \in \text{End } E_{(X)}$ be the diagonal part of $j \circ k_-$. According to 2.3.2, one has $s_X(\partial) = s_-(j \circ k_-^{diag}) + s_+(\partial - j \circ k_-^{diag})$. Hence $s_X(\partial) - s_{E_U}(\partial) = \text{tr}(j \circ k_- - j \circ k_-^{diag})$. This is a trace of a matrix in $\mathfrak{gl}_0 E_{(X)}$ with zero diagonal component which is zero, q.e.d.

□

2.3.6 We will often use the morphism s_X for appropriate subalgebras of \mathcal{D}_U^{Lie} , say, for $\mathcal{A}E_U$.

2.4 Spinors and theta-characteristics. Let W be a vector bundle on our curve C equipped with a symmetric non-degenerate pairing $(,) : W \times W \rightarrow \omega$.

2.4.1 One may consider $(,)$ as an isomorphism $W \simeq W^0$, hence we have the involution ${}^t : DW \rightarrow DW$ such that ${}^t(\partial_1 \partial_2) = {}^t \partial_2 {}^t \partial_1$, and t acts on degree n symbols as multiplication by $(-1)^n$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}DW$ the anti-invariants of t ; this is a Lie subalgebra of DW^{Lie} .

The isomorphism $W \simeq W^0$ also defines an involution ${}^t : \mathcal{P}W \rightarrow \mathcal{P}W$ (see 2.2.2) such that ${}^t \delta = \delta {}^t$. Let $\mathcal{O}PW$ be the anti-invariants of t in $\mathcal{P}W$; put $o\delta = \delta|_{\mathcal{O}PW}$. The action of DW on $\mathcal{P}W$ defines the $\mathcal{O}DW$ -action on $\mathcal{O}PW$, and $o\delta : \mathcal{O}PW \rightarrow \mathcal{O}DW$ is an $\mathcal{O}DW$ -crossed module. The trace otr which is $-\frac{1}{2}$ of the composition $\ker o\delta \rightarrow W \otimes W^0 \xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)} \omega \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defines by 1.1.3, a canonical central \mathcal{H} -extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}DW}$ of $\mathcal{O}DW$. In $\mathcal{O}DW$ we have a Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{O}AW = \mathcal{A}W \cap \mathcal{O}DW$ of infinitesimal symmetries of $(C, W, (,))$: this is an extension of \mathcal{P}_C by an orthogonal Lie algebra $\mathcal{O}W \subset \text{End } W$. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}AW}$ the central extension $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}DW}|_{\mathcal{O}AW}$. Note that if $rk W = 1$, i.e., if $W = \omega^{\otimes 1/2}$ is a theta-characteristic, then $\mathcal{O}\omega^{\otimes 1/2} = 0$, hence $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}\omega^{\otimes 1/2} = \mathcal{T}_C$. The formula from 2.2.5 applied to $j = 1/2$ gives a canonical isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}AW}^{\otimes 1/2} = \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{C-1/2}$.

2.4.2 If E is any vector bundle, and $W = E \oplus E^0$ with obvious $(,)$, then the Lie algebras embedding $j : \mathcal{D}E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}DW$, $\partial \mapsto (\partial, -{}^t \partial)$, lifts to a morphism of crossed modules $j^\# : \mathcal{P}E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}PW$, $k \mapsto (k, -{}^t k)$. For $k \in \ker \delta$ one has $otr(j^\# k) = -trk$. So we get a canonical morphism $\tilde{j} : \widetilde{\mathcal{D}E}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}DW$ of \mathcal{H} -extensions (see 1.1.7 for -1 index).

2.4.3 Let us consider a local version of the above construction. Now our curve is a punctured disc $\text{Spec } F_x$, so one has the identification $\text{Res}_x : \mathcal{H}(F_x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. The Tate \mathbb{C} -vector

space $W_{(x)}$ carries a non-degenerate symmetric form $(,)_{\bullet}$ defined by formula $(w_1, w_2)_{\bullet} = \text{Res}_x(w_1, w_2)$. The action of $DW_{(x)}$ on $W_{(x)}$ gives the embedding $oi_X : ODW_{(x)} \hookrightarrow OW_{(x)}$. It lifts to an oi_x -morphism $oi_x^{\#} : OPW_{(x)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_-W_{(x)}$ of crossed modules (for the latter crossed module see 1.4.7), $oi_x^{\#}(k) = k_-$, according to 2.3.2 (i),(ii),(iv). For $k \in \ker \delta$ one has $otr(k) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} k_- = otr(k_-)$ by 2.3.2 (iii), 1.4.7. Hence $oi_x^{\#}$ defines a canonical morphism of \mathbb{C} -extensions $\widetilde{oi}_x : OD\widetilde{W}_{(x)} \hookrightarrow O\widetilde{W}_{(x)}$.

2.4.4 Assume we are in a situation 2.3.4, i.e., we have a compact curve C , a finite set of points $X \subset C$, and our bundle $(W, (,))$ on $U = C \setminus X$. We get a Tate vector space $W_{(X)} = \prod W_{(x_i)}$ with the form $(,)_{(X)} = \sum (,)_{(x_i)}$, a central \mathbb{C} -extension $OD\widetilde{W}_{(X)} \subset O\widetilde{W}_{(X)}$ of $ODW_{(X)} = \prod ODW_{(x_i)} \subset OW_{(X)}$. Just as in 2.3.4 a localization at X morphism $ODW_U := H^0(U, ODW) \rightarrow ODW_{(X)}$ lifts canonically to a morphism $s_X : ODW_U \rightarrow OD\widetilde{W}_{(X)}$; as in 2.3.5 this s_X coincides with the lifting $s_W|_{ODW_U}$ from 1.4.8. Certainly s_X extends in an obvious manner to a morphism of Lie superalgebras $ODW_U \ltimes W_U \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}W_{(X)}$ (here W_U has odd degree, for $\mathfrak{a}W_{(X)}$, see 1.4.6).

2.4.5 According to Serre's duality W_U is a maximal iso tropic colattice in $W_{(X)}$.

2.5 Simplicity of Lie algebra of vector fields. The following lemma will be of use:

2.5.1 LEMMA. *Let C be a smooth curve. Then the Lie algebra $T = H^0(C, T_C)$ of vector fields on C is simple.*

PROOF: The case of compact C is clear, so we will assume that C is affine. Let $I \subset T$ be a non-zero ideal; we have to show that $I = T$. Let $\tau \in I$ be a non-zero vector field. Note that if $g \in \mathcal{O}(C)$ is a function such that $g\tau \in I$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}(C)$ is any function, then $\tau(f)g\tau = \frac{1}{2}([g\tau, f\tau] + [\tau, fg\tau]) \in I$. Let $A \subset \mathcal{O}(C)$ be the subalgebra of functions generated by all functions $\tau(f), f \in \mathcal{O}(C)$. The previous remark implies (by induction) that $A\tau \subset I$. One may describe $A\tau$ explicitly, namely $A\tau$ consists precisely of those $f \in \mathcal{O}(C)$ that take equal values at zeros of τ and $\text{ord}_x(f - f(x)) \geq \text{ord}_x(\tau)$ for any $x \in C$; certainly this condition is non empty only for $x = \text{zero of } \tau$. (To see this, consider the morphism $\pi : C \rightarrow C' = \text{Spec} A\tau$. Clearly $A\tau$ is a curve. An easy local analysis at points at ∞ of C shows that π is finite. If $x, y \in C, x \neq y$, are not zeros of τ , then a finite jet

at x, y of the functions $\tau(f), f \in \mathcal{O}(C)$, could be arbitrary ones, hence π is isomorphism on the complement of zeros of τ . An easy local analysis at zeros of τ finishes the proof). In particular, any function that vanishes at zeros of τ with large order of zero lies in A_τ . Hence I contains any vector field that vanishes at zeros of τ with sufficiently large order of zero (namely, twice that of τ). A trivial local analysis at zeros of τ (take brackets of elements of I with vector fields non-vanishing at zeros of τ) shows that $I = T$. \square

2.5.2 COROLLARY. *If C is an affine curve, then T has no non-trivial finite dimensional representations.* \square

§3. LOCALIZATION OF REPRESENTATIONS

3.1 Harish-Chandra modules. Recall some definitions.

3.1.1 Let K be a pro-algebraic group. A K -module M is a comodule over the co-algebra $\mathcal{O}(K)$. Equivalently, M is a vector space with an algebraic $K(\mathbb{C})$ -action. Here “algebraic” means that M is a union of finite dimensional $K(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant subspaces M_α such that $K(\mathbb{C})$ acts on M_α via an algebraic action of a factor group K/K_α of finite type. Any K -module is a Lie K -module in a natural way.

3.1.2 A Harish-Chandra pair (\mathfrak{g}, K) consists of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a pro-algebraic group K together with an “adjoint” action Ad of $K(\mathbb{C})$ on \mathfrak{g} and a Lie algebra embedding $i: LieK \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ that satisfy the compatibilities:

- (i) The embedding i commutes with adjoint actions of K .
- (ii) The action Ad is “pro-algebraic”: for any normal subgroup $K' \subset K$ such that K/K' has finite type the action of $K(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathfrak{g}/i(LieK')$ is algebraic.
- (iii) The $ad \circ i$ -action of Lie K on \mathfrak{g} coincides with the differential of Ad -action.

3.1.3 Let (\mathfrak{g}, K) be a Harish-Chandra pair. A (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module, or a Harish-Chandra module, is a \mathbb{C} -vector space equipped with \mathfrak{g} - and K -module structures such that

- (i) For $k \in K, h \in \mathfrak{g}, m \in M$ one has $Ad_k(h)m = khk^{-1}(m)$.
- (ii) The two Lie K -actions on M (the one that comes from \mathfrak{g} -action via i , and the differential of K -action) coincide.

We denote by $(\mathfrak{g}, K)\text{-mod}$ the category of (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules.

3.1.4 Let T be any K -torsor. Denote $(\mathfrak{g}, K)_T = (\mathfrak{g}_T, K_T)$ the T -twist of (\mathfrak{g}, K) with respect to adjoint action; this is Harish-Chandra pair. If M is a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module, then the T -twist M_T is a (\mathfrak{g}_T, K_T) -module, and $M \mapsto M_T$ is equivalence of categories $(\mathfrak{g}, K)\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{g}_T, K_T)\text{-mod}$.

3.1.5 A following version of the above definitions is quite convenient.

A pro-algebraic groupoid \mathcal{V} is a groupoid such that for any object X the group $AutX$ carries a pro-algebraic structure and for any $f: X \rightarrow Y$ the map $Ad_f: AutY \xrightarrow{\sim} AutX$ preserves the pro-algebraic structures (the objects of \mathcal{V} form a usual set with no algebraic

structure). A \mathcal{V} -module is a functor $M : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that for any $X \in \mathcal{V}$ the $\text{Aut}X$ -action on M_X is algebraic.

A Harish-Chandra groupoid $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ is a pro-algebraic groupoid \mathcal{V} together with a functor $X \mapsto (\mathfrak{g}_X, K_X)$ from \mathcal{V} to the category of Harish-Chandra pairs equipped with a canonical identification of "group part" K_X of the functor with $\text{Aut}X$; we assume that for $g \in \text{Aut}X = K_X$ the "functorial" action of g on \mathfrak{g}_X coincides with the Ad -action from 3.1.3.

One defines a representation of our Harish-Chandra groupoid (or simply a $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ -module) in an obvious manner. For any $X \in \mathcal{V}$ one has a canonical "fiber" functor $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})\text{-mod} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_X, K_X)\text{-mod}$, $M \mapsto M_X$. If \mathcal{V} is connected, this functor is equivalence of categories. Note that if T is a K_X -torsor, and $X_T \in \mathcal{V}$ is T -twist of X (i.e., X_T is an object of \mathcal{V} equipped with isomorphism of K_X -torsors $T \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(X, X_T)$), then one has a canonical isomorphism $(\mathfrak{g}_{X_T}, K_{X_T}) = (\mathfrak{g}_X, K_X)_T$, $M_{X_T} = (M_X)_T$ (see 3.1.4).

3.1.6 We will need to consider the above objects that depend on parameters.

Let S be a scheme, and K be a pro-algebraic group. A K -torsor on S is a projective limit of K/K' -torsors in étale topology of S ; here $K' \subset K$ is any normal subgroup such that K/K' has finite type.

Let \mathcal{V} be a pro-algebraic groupoid. An S -object Y_S of \mathcal{V} is a rule that assigns to each object $X \in \mathcal{V}$ on $\text{Aut}X$ -torsor $Y_S(X) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y_S)$ on S together with canonical identifications of $\text{Aut}X$ -torsors $Y_S(X) = Y_S(X')_{\text{Hom}(X, X')}$ (= the twist of $Y_S(X')$ by $\text{Aut}X'$ -torsor $\text{Hom}(X, X')$) for each $X, X' \in \mathcal{V}$; these identifications should satisfy an obvious compatibility condition for three objects $X, X', X'' \in \mathcal{V}$. In other words, Y_S is a functor from \mathcal{V} to schemes over S such that the $\text{Aut}X$ -action defines on $Y_S(X)$ the structure of $\text{Aut}X$ -torsor, and for any connected component S' of S the objects X for which $Y_S(X) = Y_S(X)_{S'}$ is non-empty are isomorphic. If M is a \mathcal{V} -module, then an S -object Y_S of \mathcal{V} defines a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module M_{Y_S} on S . If $Y_S(X)$ for $X \in \mathcal{V}$ is non-empty then M_{Y_S} coincides with $Y_S(X)$ -twist of $M_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$.

Let now $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ be a Harish-Chandra groupoid, and Y_S be an S -object of \mathcal{V} (considered as pro-algebraic groupoid). We get a sheaf \mathfrak{g}_{Y_S} of \mathcal{O}_S -Lie algebras; \mathfrak{g}_{Y_S} is a projective limit of locally free \mathcal{O}_S -modules. For any $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ -module M the \mathcal{O}_S -module M_{Y_S} is \mathfrak{g}_{Y_S} -module.

3.2 Lie algebroids. Let S be a scheme.

3.2.1 A Lie algebroid on S (which is an infinitesimal version of Lie groupoid) is a sheaf \mathcal{A} of Lie algebras on S together with an \mathcal{O}_S -module structure on \mathcal{A} and an \mathcal{O}_S -linear map $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_S$ such that σ is morphism of Lie algebras, and the formula $[a, fb] = \sigma(a)(f)b + f[a, b]$ holds for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, f \in \mathcal{O}_S$. Clearly $\mathcal{A}_{(0)} = \ker \sigma$ is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_S -Lie algebras. In case when S is smooth we will say that \mathcal{A} is transitive if σ is surjective.

The Lie algebroids form a categoric $Lie(S)$ with final object \mathcal{T}_S . This category has products: for $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in Lie(S)$ we have $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{B}$ in obvious notations. The categories $Lie(S)$ form a fibered category over a category of schemes. For a morphism $f : S' \rightarrow S$ of schemes and $\mathcal{A} \in Lie(S)$ the inverse image $f^*\mathcal{A} \in Lie(S')$ is defined by formula $f^*\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}_{S'} \times f^*(\mathcal{A})$. Here $f^*(\mathcal{A}), f^*(\mathcal{T}_S)$ are inverse images in categories of \mathcal{O} -modules, and the fibered product is $f^*(\mathcal{T}_S)$ taken with respect to projections $\mathcal{T}_{S'} \xrightarrow{df} f^*(\mathcal{T}_S) \xleftarrow{f^*(\sigma)} f^*(\mathcal{A})$.

3.2.2 Let \mathcal{A} be a Lie algebroid. An \mathcal{A} -module is a sheaf \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{A} -modules on S together with an \mathcal{O}_S -module structure such that for $a \in \mathcal{A}, f \in \mathcal{O}_S, m \in \mathcal{F}$ one has $a(fm) = \sigma(a)(f)m + f(am)$. We will also call such a structure an action of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{O}_S -module \mathcal{F} . If \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are Lie algebroids, \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{A} -module, \mathcal{G} is a \mathcal{B} -module, then $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{G}$ is $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ -module: for $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}, m \in \mathcal{F}, n \in \mathcal{G}$ one has $(a, b)(m \otimes n) = (am) \otimes n + m \otimes (bn)$.

3.2.3 Let \mathcal{A} be a Lie algebroid, \mathfrak{g} be an \mathcal{O}_S -Lie algebra equipped with an \mathcal{A} -action. An \mathcal{A} -morphism $\psi : \mathcal{A}_{(0)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a morphism of \mathcal{O}_S -Lie algebras that commutes with \mathcal{A} -action (here the \mathcal{A} -action on $\mathcal{A}_{(0)}$ is adjoint one). Note that if $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a morphism of Lie algebroids, then \mathcal{A} acts on $\mathcal{B}_{(0)}$ by $ad \circ \varphi$, and $\varphi_{(0)} : \mathcal{A}_{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{(0)}$ is \mathcal{A} -morphism. conversely, for an \mathcal{A} -morphism $\psi : \mathcal{A}_{(0)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ let \mathcal{A}_ψ be the quotient of semi-direct product $\mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$ by the ideal $\mathcal{A}_{(0)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}, a \mapsto (a, -\psi(a))$. Then \mathcal{A}_ψ is Lie algebroid, $\mathcal{A}_{\psi_{(0)}} = \mathfrak{g}$, and we have a canonical morphism $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\psi$ with $\psi_{(0)} = \text{old } \psi$. These constructions are mutually inverse: if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{B}_{(0)}, \varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a morphism of Lie algebroids, and $\psi = \varphi_{(0)}$, then we have a canonical morphism $i : \mathcal{A}_\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ which is isomorphism if \mathcal{A} is transitive.

3.2.4 Let \mathcal{A} be a Lie algebroid. A central extension of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{O}_S is a Lie algebroid $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ together with surjective morphism $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and a central element $1 \in \ker \pi$ such that the map $\mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{\cong} \ker \pi, f \mapsto f \cdot 1$, is isomorphism. Note that adjoint action of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(0)}$

quotients to an \mathcal{A} -action. We will call a central extension \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{T}_S by \mathcal{O}_S an invertible Lie algebroid (so $\mathcal{L}_{(0)} = \mathcal{O}_S$).

3.2.5 REMARKS: (i) Let B be any Lie algebroid, and $tr : B_{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ be a \mathcal{B} -morphism (we will call such tr a trace on B). If B is transitive, then B_{tr} is an invertible algebroid. (ii) Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{A}$ be a central extension of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{O}_S , and $\gamma : \mathcal{A}_{(0)} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be an \mathcal{O} -linear section of π such that γ commutes with adjoint action of \mathcal{A} . Then $\gamma(\mathcal{A}_{(0)})$ is ideal in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}/\gamma(\mathcal{A}_{(0)})$ is invertible algebroid.

3.2.6 The invertible Lie algebroids form a category $\mathcal{P}Lie(S)$ which is a Picard category, and, more generally, a “ \mathbb{C} -vector space” in categories. This means that for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{P}Lie(S)$ we may form the linear combination $C = \alpha\mathcal{A} + \beta\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{P}Lie(S)$: by definition $C = (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})_{tr_{\alpha, \beta}}$, where $tr_{\alpha, \beta}(f, g) = \alpha f + \beta g$. For $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{P}Lie(S)$ we have $Aut \mathcal{A} = \Omega_S^{1cl}$: for a closed 1 form ω the corresponding automorphism of \mathcal{A} is $a \mapsto a + \langle \omega \sigma(a) \rangle \cdot 1$. A trivial invertible algebroid is $\mathcal{T}_{SO} = \mathcal{T}_S \times \mathcal{O}_S$ (where $O : \mathcal{T}_{S(0)} = 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ is a trivial trace map). The locally trivial invertible Lie algebroids form a full \mathbb{C} -linear subcategory canonically equivalent to the one of Ω^{1cl} -torsors.

3.2.7 For $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{P}Lie(S)$ define $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ to be the sheaf of associative \mathbb{C} -algebras on S together with a morphism of \mathbb{C} Lie algebras $i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $i|_{\mathcal{O}_S}$ is a morphism of associative algebras (in particular, $i(1)$ is 1 in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$) and one has $i(f)i(a) = i(fa)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_S$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and universal with respect to these data. For example, if \mathcal{A} is trivial, then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the usual algebra of differential operators on S . For arbitrary \mathcal{A} this is a twisted differential operators ring, see, e.g. Appendix to [BK] for details. Clearly a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -module \mathcal{F} is the same as \mathcal{A} -module such that $1 \in \mathcal{A}$ acts on \mathcal{F} as identity operator. Since $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ carries an obvious filtration with $gr \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = S \cdot \mathcal{T}_S$, for a coherent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -module \mathcal{F} we have its singular support $SS\mathcal{F}$ which is a closed conical subset in cotangent bundle of S . A $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -module \mathcal{F} is called lisse if $SS\mathcal{F} = (0)$: this condition is equivalent to the fact that \mathcal{F} is a vector bundle (as \mathcal{O}_S -module).

3.2.8 The standard example of a Lie algebroid is current (or Atiyah) algebra $\mathcal{A}(E)$ of a vector bundle E . This is Lie algebra of infinitesimal symmetries of E . The sections of $\mathcal{A}(E)$ are pairs $(\sigma(\tau), \tau)$, where $\sigma(\tau) \in \mathcal{T}_S$ and τ is an action of $\sigma(\tau)$ on E , or, equivalently,

a first order differential operator on E with symbol $\sigma(\tau) \cdot id_E$. Clearly $\mathcal{A}(E)$ is transitive and $\mathcal{A}(E)_{(0)} = \mathfrak{gl}(E)$. If L is a line bundle, then $\mathcal{A}(L)$ is invertible algebroid; one has $\mathcal{A}(L_1 \otimes L_2) = \mathcal{A}(L_1) + \mathcal{A}(L_2)$, i.e., $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{P}ic(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}Lie(S)$ is a morphism of Picard categories. The ring $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}(L)}$ coincides with algebra \mathcal{D}_L of differential operators on L . If E is any vector bundle, then $\text{tr} : \mathfrak{gl}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ is trace on $\mathcal{A}(E)$, and $\mathcal{A}(E)_{\text{tr}} = \mathcal{A}(\det E)$: this canonical isomorphism comes from a natural action of $\mathcal{A}(E)$ on $\det E$ given explicitly by Leibnitz rule $a(e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n) = ae_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n + \dots + e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge ae_n$.

3.3 Localization of (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules. Below we will explain a general pattern how to transform representations to \mathcal{D} -modules. We will start with some notations.

3.3.1 Let $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{V})$ be a Harish-Chandra groupoid. We will say that it is centered if for any $X \in \mathcal{V}$ there is a fixed central element $1 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_X$, $1 \notin \text{LieAut}X$, that depends on X in a natural way. Put $\mathfrak{g}_X = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_C/C1$, so $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_X$ is a central \mathbb{C} -extension of \mathfrak{g}_X .

Our $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{V})$ defines several Harish-Chandra groupoids with the same underlying proalgebraic groupoid \mathcal{V} . Namely, we have the groupoid $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ that corresponds to \mathfrak{g}_X ; for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we have the centered groupoid $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_c, \mathcal{V})$ with $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{cX}$ equal to c -multiple of the central extension $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_X$ of \mathfrak{g}_X . Denote by $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{V})_c\text{-mod}$ the category of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_c, \mathcal{V})$ -modules on which $1 \in \mathbb{C} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_c$ acts as identity.

3.3.2 Let S be a smooth scheme, K be a proalgebraic group and Y_S be a K -torsor over S . Denote by $\mathcal{A}Y_S$ the Lie algebroid of infinitesimal symmetries of (S, Y_S) . Its sections are pairs (τ, τ_{Y_S}) , where $\tau \in \tau_{Y_S}$ and τ_{Y_S} is a lifting of τ to Y_S that commutes with K -action. Clearly $\mathcal{A}Y_{S(0)} = \text{Lie}K_{Y_S}$ ($= Y_S$ -twist of $\text{Lie}K \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_S$ with respect to adjoint action of K); $\mathcal{A}Y_S$ is a transitive groupoid. If (\mathfrak{g}, K) is a Harish-Chandra pair, then we have the \mathcal{O}_S -Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_{Y_S} ($= Y_S$ -twist of $\mathfrak{g} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_S$ with respect to adjoint action). The Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}Y_S$ acts on \mathfrak{g}_{Y_S} in an obvious manner, and a canonical embedding $i : \mathcal{A}Y_{S(0)} = \text{Lie}K_{Y_S} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{Y_S}$ is an $\mathcal{A}Y_S$ -morphism. According to 3.2.3 we get the transitive Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{g}_{Y_S} = \mathcal{A}Y_S$; with $\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{g}_{Y_S(0)} = \mathfrak{g}_{Y_S}$. If M is a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module, then M_{Y_S} ($= Y_S$ -twist of $M \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$) is $\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{g}_{Y_S}$ -module.

Now let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V})$ be a Harish-Chandra groupoid, and Y_S be an S -object of \mathcal{V} . The above

construction defines a transitive Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}g_{Y_S}$ on S with $\mathcal{A}g_{Y_S(0)} = g_{Y_S}$. If M is a (\tilde{g}, \mathcal{V}) -module, then M_{Y_S} is an $\mathcal{A}g_{Y_S}$ -module in a natural way. Note that if (\tilde{g}, \mathcal{V}) is a centered groupoid, then $\mathcal{A}\tilde{g}_{Y_S}$ is a central \mathcal{O}_S -extension of $\mathcal{A}g_{Y_S}$.

3.3.3 DEFINITION. Let S be a smooth scheme and (\tilde{g}, \mathcal{V}) be a centered Harish-Chandra groupoid. An S -localization data ψ for (\tilde{g}, \mathcal{V}) is a collection $(Y_S, N, \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}_{(0)})$ where

- (i) Y_S is an S -object of \mathcal{V} .
- (ii) N is a transitive Lie algebroid on S .
- (iii) $\varphi : N \rightarrow \mathcal{A}g_{Y_S}$ is a morphism of Lie algebroids.
- (iv) $\tilde{\varphi}_{(0)} : N_{(0)} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{Y_S}$ is a lifting of $\varphi_{(0)}$ such that for $n \in N, m \in N_{(0)}$ one has $\tilde{\varphi}_{(0)}([n, m]) = [\varphi(n), \varphi_{(0)}(m)]$. \square

3.3.4 A localization data ψ defines an invertible Lie algebroid \mathcal{A}_ψ on S as follows. Consider a fiber product $\mathcal{A}\tilde{g}_{Y_S}N = \mathcal{A}\tilde{g}_{Y_S} \times_{\mathcal{A}g_{Y_S}} N$: this is a central \mathcal{O}_S -extension of N . This central extension splits over $N_{(0)}$ by means of section $s : N_{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\tilde{g}_{Y_S}N_{(0)}$, $s(m) = (\tilde{\varphi}_{(0)}(m), m)$. Put $\mathcal{A}_\psi := \mathcal{A}\tilde{g}_{Y_S}N/s(N_{(0)})$. Let $D_\psi = D_{\mathcal{A}_\psi}$ be the corresponding algebra of twisted differential operators.

3.3.5 Let $M \in (\tilde{g}, \mathcal{V})_1\text{-mod}$ be a Harish-Chandra module such that 1 acts as id_M . Then M_{Y_S} is an $\mathcal{A}\tilde{g}_{Y_S}N$ -module (via the projection $\mathcal{A}\tilde{g}_{Y_S}N \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\tilde{g}_{Y_S}$), and $\Delta_\psi M = M_{Y_S}/s(N_{(0)})M_{Y_S}$ is \mathcal{A}_ψ -module on which $1 \in \mathcal{A}_\psi$ acts as identity. Hence $\Delta_\psi M$ is a D_ψ -module. Clearly $\Delta_\psi : (\tilde{g}, \mathcal{V})_1\text{-mod} \rightarrow D_\psi\text{-mod}$ is right exact functor; we call it S -localization functor that corresponds to ψ . Note that for a point $s \in S$ we have a Lie algebra map $N_{(0),s} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{Y_S}$ (where $N_{(0),s} = N_{(0)}/m_s N_{(0)}$), hence the fiber $\Delta_\psi(M)/m_s \Delta_\psi(M)$ coincides with coinvariants $M_{Y_S}/N_{(0),s}M_{Y_S}$.

3.3.6 The above constructions are functorial with respect to morphisms of localization data. Precisely, let $(\tilde{g}', \mathcal{V}')$ be another centered Harish-Chandra groupoid, and $r : (\tilde{g}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow (\tilde{g}', \mathcal{V}')$ is a morphism of centered groupoids. One defines a r -morphism of S -localization data $r^\# : \psi \rightarrow \psi'$ in an obvious manner. Such $r^\#$ defines the isomorphisms $r^\#_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}_\psi \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{\psi'}$, $r^\#_D : D_\psi \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\psi'}$. For $M \in (\tilde{g}, \mathcal{V})_1\text{-mod}$, $M' \in (\tilde{g}', \mathcal{V}')_1\text{-mod}$ and an r -morphism $\ell : M \rightarrow M'$ we have $r^\#_D$ -morphism $r^\#_\Delta : \Delta_\psi(M) \rightarrow \Delta_{\psi'}(M')$.

One has also functoriality with respect to base change. If $f : S' \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of smooth schemes, and ψ is an S -localization data for $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{V})$, then one gets an S' -localization data $f^*\psi$ for $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{V})$. One has $\mathcal{A}_{f^*\psi} = f^*\mathcal{A}_\psi$, and for $M \in (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{V})_1\text{-mod}$ one has a natural isomorphism $f^*\Delta_\psi(M) = \Delta_{f^*\psi}(M)$ of $D_{f^*\psi}$ -modules.

3.3.7 An S -localization data ψ for $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{V})$ defines in an obvious way for each $c \in \mathbb{C}$ an S -localization data ψ_c for $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_c, \mathcal{V})$. One has $\mathcal{A}_{\psi_c} = c\mathcal{A}_\psi$ (see 3.2.6).

3.4 Localization along moduli of curves. This section collects some basic examples of the above localization constructions.

3.4.1 Let us describe a centered Harish-Chandra groupoid $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V})$ called Virasoro groupoid. The underlying connected proalgebraic groupoid \mathcal{V} is groupoid of one-dimensional local fields (with morphisms being isomorphisms). Precisely, let $F \in \mathcal{V}$ be a local field, $\mathcal{O}_F \subset F$ be a corresponding local ring, $\mathfrak{m}_F \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ be the maximal ideal. A choice of uniformizing parameter t identifies F with $\mathbb{C}((t))$ and \mathcal{O}_F with $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$. The group $\text{Aut}F = \text{Aut}\mathcal{O}_F$ is projective limit of groups $\text{Aut}\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{m}_F^n = \text{Aut}F/\text{Aut}_nF$. These groups are obviously algebraic groups, our $\text{Aut}F$ is a proalgebraic group, and \mathcal{V} is a proalgebraic groupoid. Note that $\text{Aut}F/\text{Aut}_1F = \mathbb{C}^*$, and $\text{Aut}_iF/\text{Aut}_{i+1}F$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} for $i \geq 1$; in particular Aut_1F is pronilpotent radical of $\text{Aut}F$. Explicitly, $\text{Aut}\mathbb{C}((t))$ coincides with the group of power series $a_1t + a_2t^2 + \dots$, $a_1 \neq 0$, with multiplication law equal to composition of series.

Now for $F \in \mathcal{V}$ let \mathcal{T}_F be the Lie algebra of vector fields on F and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_F$ be the Virasoro \mathbb{C} -extension of \mathcal{T}_F defined in 2.1.3. The Lie algebra \mathcal{T}_F carries a canonical filtration \mathcal{T}_{iF} ; for $F = \mathbb{C}((t))$ one has $\mathcal{T}_{iF} = t^{i+1}\mathbb{C}[[t]]\partial_t$. The subalgebra \mathcal{T}_{-1F} preserves the lattice $\mathcal{O}_F \subset F$, hence we have a canonical splitting $s_{\mathcal{O}_F} : \mathcal{T}_{-1F} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_F$. Clearly $\text{LieAut}F = \mathcal{T}_{0F}$, and the embedding $s_{\mathcal{O}_F} : \text{LieAut}F \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_F$ together with natural $\text{Aut}F$ -action on $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_F$ define the Harish-Chandra pair $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_F, \text{Aut}F)$. This defines our centered Virasoro groupoid $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V})$.

3.4.2 Let S be a scheme. It is easy to see that an S -object Y_S of \mathcal{V} is the same as a "family of formal discs" over S or, equivalently, a formal \mathcal{O}_S -algebra \mathcal{O}_Y locally isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_S[[t]]$. The corresponding Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}Y_S$ consists of pairs (τ, τ_{Y_S}) where $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_S$ and $\tau_{Y_S} \in \text{Der}\mathcal{O}_{Y_S}$ is a τ -derivation of \mathcal{O}_{Y_S} .

3.4.3 Now let $\pi : C_S \rightarrow S$ be a family of smooth projective curves and $a : S \rightarrow C_S$ be a section of π . These define an S -localization data $\psi = \psi(C_S, a)$ for $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V})$ as follows. Our Y_S is formal completion of C_S along $a(S)$, and N is Lie algebroid of pairs (τ, τ_U) where $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_S$ and τ_U is a lifting of τ to $U = C_S \setminus a(S)$. Clearly \mathcal{AT}_{Y_S} is Lie algebroid of pairs $(\tau, \tau_{Y_S \setminus \{a\}})$, where $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_S$ and $\tau_{Y_S \setminus \{a\}}$ is a lifting of τ to a meromorphic vector field on Y_S with possible pole at $a(S)$. Our $\varphi : N \rightarrow \mathcal{AT}_{Y_S}$ is just a restriction of a vector field τ_U on $Y_S \setminus \{a\} =$ punctured neighbourhood of a . Now the lifting $\tilde{\varphi}_{(0)} : N_{(0)} = \pi_* \mathcal{T}_{U/S} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{Y_S}$ is the restriction of morphism $s_a : \pi_* \mathcal{D}_{U/S} \rightarrow \tilde{D}_{(a)}$ (here $D = D_{\mathcal{O}_{C/S}}$) defined in 2.3.4 to $\mathcal{T}_{U/S} \subset \mathcal{D}_{U/S}$ (more precisely, in 2.3.4 we considered the case of a single curve, $S =$ point; the generalization to families is immediate). These $(Y_S, N, \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}_{(0)})$ is our localization data $\psi(C_S, a)$. According to 3.3.4, 3.3.5, 3.3.7 for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we have the localization functor $\Delta_{\psi_c(C_S, a)} : (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V})_c\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\psi_c(C_S, a)}\text{-mod}$.

3.4.4 Here is an explicit description of $\mathcal{A}_{\psi(C_S, a)}$ and $\Delta_{\psi(C_S, a)}$. Choose (locally on S) a formal parameter t at a , so $\mathcal{O}_{Y_S} = \mathcal{O}_S[[t]]$. Consider the space B of triples $(\tau, \tau_U, \tilde{\tau}_U^v)$, where $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_S$, τ_U is a lifting of τ to U , and $\tilde{\tau}_U^v : S \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbb{C}((t))}$ is a lifting of a vertical component of τ_U , $\tau_U^v = \tau_U(t)\partial_t : S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}((t))}$. This B is a Lie algebroid on S in an obvious manner. We have a canonical morphism $\mathcal{T}_{U/S} \rightarrow B_{(0)}$, $\nu \mapsto (o, \nu, s_a(\nu))$, see 2.3.4. One has $\mathcal{A}_{\psi(C_S, a)} = B/\mathcal{T}_{U/S}$. Now let M be a $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V})_c$ -module. One has $M_{Y_S} = M_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$. The algebroid B acts on M_{Y_S} by formula $(\tau, \tau_U, \tilde{\tau}_U^v)(m \otimes f) = m \otimes \tau(f) + \tilde{\tau}_U^v(m \otimes f)$. One has $\Delta_{\psi(C_S, a)}(M) = M_{Y_S}/\mathcal{T}_{U/S}M_{Y_S}$.

3.4.5 Variant. For any non empty finite set A we may consider the centered groupoid $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^A, \mathcal{V}^A)$. Here \mathcal{V}^A is the A -th power of \mathcal{V} and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\{F_a\}}^A$ is the Baer sum of \mathbb{C} -extension $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{F_a}$, $a \in A$ (so $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\{F_a\}}^A$ is a \mathbb{C} -extension of $\prod_{a \in A} \mathcal{T}_{F_a}$). A family $\pi : C_S \rightarrow S$ of curves together with a disjoint set A of sections (where "disjoint" means that for $a_i \neq a_j \in A$ and any $s \in S$ one has $a_i(s) \neq a_j(s) \in C_S$) defines an S -localization data $\psi(C_S, A)$ for $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^A, \mathcal{V}^A)$ in a way similar to 3.4.2. For example, the corresponding Lie algebroid N consists of pairs (τ, τ_U) , where $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_S$ and τ_U is a lifting of τ to $U = C_S \setminus \prod_{a \in A} a_i(S)$.

3.4.6 REMARK: Let $B \subset A$ be a non-empty subset. The groupoids $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^B, \mathcal{V}^B)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^A, \mathcal{V}^A)$

are related by an obvious correspondence $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^B, \mathcal{V}^B) \xleftarrow{\pi_B} (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{A,B}, \mathcal{V}^A) \xrightarrow{i_A} (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^A, \mathcal{V}^A)$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\{F_a\}}^{A,B} = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\{F_b\}_{b \in B}}^B \times \prod_{a \in A \setminus B} \mathcal{T}_{-1F_a} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\{F_a\}}^A$. Any family of curves $\pi : C_S \rightarrow S$ and a set A of disjoint sections defines an S -localization data $\psi(C_S, A, B)$ for $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{A,B}, \mathcal{V}^A)$ in an obvious manner together with corresponding morphisms $\psi(C_S, B) \xleftarrow{\pi_B^\#} \psi(C_C, A, B) \xrightarrow{i_A} \psi(C_S, A)$. These define the corresponding isomorphisms $D_{\psi_c(C_S, B)} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\psi_c(C_S, A, B)} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\psi_c(C_S, A)}$. For $M_B \in (\mathcal{T}^B, \mathcal{V}^B)_c\text{-mod}$, $M_A \in (\mathcal{T}^A, \mathcal{V}^A)_c\text{-mod}$ a morphism $f : M_B \rightarrow M_A$ is, by definition, an i_A -morphism between M_B , considered as $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{A,B}, \mathcal{V}^A)$ -module via π_B , and M_A . Since $\Delta_{\psi_c(C_S, B)} M_B = \Delta_{\psi_c(C_S, A, B)} M_B$, such f defines a morphism $\Delta(f) : \Delta_{\psi_c(C_S, B)} M_B \rightarrow \Delta_{\psi_c(C_S, A)} M_A$. For example, if $M_A = \text{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{A,B}^A}^{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^A}(M_B)$ and f is a canonical embedding, then $\Delta(f)$ is isomorphism.

Note that the above canonical identification $D_{\psi_c(C_S, A)} = D_{\psi_c(C_S, B)}$ for $B \subset A$ actually provides a canonical algebra $D_{\psi_c(C_S)}$ that depends on C_S only together with canonical isomorphisms $D_{\psi_c(C_S)} = D_{\psi_c(C_S, A)}$ for any set A of disjoint sections. To construct $D_{\psi_c(C_S)}$ we may assume, working locally in étale topology of S , that C_S has many sections. To construct $D_{\psi_c(C_S)}$ it suffices to define for any two sets A, A' of disjoint sections a canonical isomorphism $D_{\psi_c(C_S, A)} = D_{\psi_c(C_S, A')}$. Choose a non-empty set B of sections such that both $A \sqcup B, A' \sqcup B$ are sets of disjoint sections. Our isomorphism is $D_{\psi_c(C_S, A)} = D_{\psi_c(C_S, A \sqcup B)} = D_{\psi_c(C_S, B)} = D_{\psi_c(C_S, A' \sqcup B)} = D_{\psi_c(C_S, A')}$. One verifies easily that this does not depend on a choice of B . We will compute $D_{\psi_c(C_S)}$ explicitly in 3.5.6.

3.4.7 Variant. Often the Virasoro modules are integrable only with respect to subgroup $\text{Aut}_1 F$ (see 3.4.1). To localize them one needs to consider the groupoid $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V}_1)$. The objects of \mathcal{V}_1 are pairs (F, ν) , where F is a local field and $\nu \in m_F/m_F^2$, $\nu \neq 0$, is a 1-jet of a parameter. One has $\text{Aut}(F, \nu) = \text{Aut}_1 F$. The Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{(F, \nu)}$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_F$. If $\pi : C_S \rightarrow S$ is a family of curves, $a : S \rightarrow C_S$ is a section, and $\nu \in a^* \Omega_{C_S/S}^1$ is a 1-jet of parameters at a , then we get an S -localization data $\psi(C_S, a, \nu)$ for $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V}_1)$. Certainly, we may also consider many points, as in 3.4.5.

We have a “forgetting of ν ” morphism $r : (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V}_1) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V})$ and a corresponding r -morphism of localization data $\psi_c(C_S, a, \nu) \rightarrow \psi_c(C_S, a)$. This defines a canonical isomorphism $r_D : D_{\psi_c(C_S, a, \nu)} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\psi_c(C_S, a)}$ and for any $M \in (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{V})_c\text{-mod}$ the r_D -isomorphism

$$\tau_M : \Delta_{\psi_c(C_S, a, \nu)} M \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_{\psi_c(C_S, a)} M.$$

3.4.7.1 Let C be a fixed curve, $a \in C$, ν be a 1-jet of parameter at a . Consider a constant C^* -family $C_{C^*} = C \times C^*$ with constant point a , and put $\nu^\vee(u) = u\nu$ for $u \in C^*$. We get the corresponding C^* -localization data $\psi = \psi(C_{C^*}, a, \nu^\vee)$. One has $D_\psi = D_{\psi(C_{C^*}, a, \nu^\vee)} = D_{\psi(C_{C^*}, a)} = D_{C^*}$ – the usual ring of differential operators. In particular, we have $\lambda\partial_\lambda \in D_{\psi_c}$. Let us compute the action of $u\partial_u$ on $\Delta_{\psi_c}(M)$ for $M \in (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{V}_1)_c\text{-mod}$. Choose a parameter t_a at a on C such that $dt(a) = \nu$. Then $t_{au} = ut$ is a C^* -family of parameters which identifies our $\mathcal{O}_{Y_{C^*}}$ with $\mathcal{O}_{C^*}[[t]]$. We have $M_{Y_{C^*}} = M_{C((t))} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C^*}$, and $\Delta_{\psi_c}(M)$ is a quotient of $M_{Y_{C^*}}$. For $m \in M_{C((t))}$ denote by \bar{m} its image in $\Delta_{\psi_c}(M)$. Put $L_0 = s_{C[[t]]}(t\partial_t) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{C((t))}$. One has $u\partial_u(\bar{m}) = \overline{L_0 m}$. In particular, if M is a higher weight module (see 7.3.1), then $\Delta_\psi M$ is smooth along C^* with monodromy equal to the action of $T = \exp(2\pi i L_0)$ (see 7.3.2).

3.4.8 Now consider the case “vector symmetries”. Our “Virasoro-Kac-Moody” centered Harish-Chandra groupoid $(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{V}\mathcal{V})$ is the following one. The objects of $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}$ are pairs $(F, E_{\mathcal{O}})$ where F is a local field, $E_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a free \mathcal{O}_F -module of finite rank; we put $E_F = F \otimes E_{\mathcal{O}}$. The morphisms are defined in an obvious manner. Clearly $\text{Aut}(F, E_{\mathcal{O}})$ is extension of $\text{Aut } F$ by $\text{GL}(E_{\mathcal{O}}) = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_F}(E_{\mathcal{O}})$; this is a proalgebraic group. We put $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(F, E_{\mathcal{O}}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}E_F$, see 2.1.2. A canonical embedding $s_{E_{\mathcal{O}}} : \text{Lie Aut}(F, E_{\mathcal{O}}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}E_F$ defines the Harish-Chandra pair $(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}E_F, \text{Aut}(F, E_{\mathcal{O}}))$. This defines our centered groupoid $(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{V}\mathcal{V})$.

Let S be a scheme. An S -object of $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}$ is a pair (Y_S, E_{Y_S}) , where Y_S is an S -object of \mathcal{V} (see 3.4.2) and E_{Y_S} is a locally free \mathcal{O}_{Y_S} -module of finite rank.

Assume that S is smooth. Let $\pi : C_S \rightarrow S$ be a family of smooth projective curves, $a : S \rightarrow C_S$ be a section, and E be a vector bundle on C_S . These define an S -localization data $\psi(C_S, E, a)$. Namely, the corresponding S -object of $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}$ is a completion of C_S, E along a . The Lie algebroid N consists of triples $(\tau, \tau_U, \tau_{E_U})$, where $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_S$, τ_U is a lifting of τ to $U = C_S \setminus a(S)$, and τ_{E_U} is an action of τ_{E_U} on E_U . The morphisms $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi}_{(0)}$, appear precisely as in 3.4.3 from 2.3.4.

As above, this localization data gives rise to localization functor. The versions 3.4.5-3.4.7 are immediate.

3.4.9 Let us consider now the spinor or “fermionic” version. The corresponding centered Harish-Chandra groupoid $(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{O}\mathcal{V})$ is the following one. Its objects are triples $Q = (F, W_{\mathcal{O}}, (\ , \))$, where F is a local field, W is a free \mathcal{O}_F -module of finite rank, and $(\ , \) : W_{\mathcal{O}} \times W_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ is a symmetric bilinear form with values in 1-forms of \mathcal{O}_F . We assume that $(\ , \)$ is maximally non-degenerate, i.e., the cokernel of the corresponding map $W_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}}^0 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_F}(W_{\mathcal{O}}, \omega_{\mathcal{O}_F})$ is either trivial (such Q is called even) or a 1-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space (such Q is called odd). The morphisms in $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{V}$ are obvious ones. For Q as above, put $W_F = F \otimes W_{\mathcal{O}}$; our $(\ , \)$ extends to non-degenerate form $(\ , \) : W_F \times W_F \rightarrow \omega_F$. Note that our condition means that $W_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a maximal isotropic lattice in W_F . We may consider W_F as Tate’s \mathbb{C} -vector space with form $(\ , \)_{\bullet} = \text{Res}(\ , \)$ (see 2.4.3); then $W_{\mathcal{O}}$ is also a maximal isotropic $(\ , \)_{\bullet}$ -lattice so Q is even iff W_F is even-dimensional, see 1.4.1. We put $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}(Q) = \widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}W_F$ (see 2.4.1). The Lie algebra $\text{Lie Aut } Q \subset \mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}W_F$ preserves $W_{\mathcal{O}}$, hence we have a canonical embedding $s_{W_{\mathcal{O}}} : \text{Lie Aut } Q \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}(Q)$. This defines the Harish-Chandra pair $(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}(Q), \text{Aut } Q)$, and we get the groupoid $(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{O}\mathcal{V})$.

REMARK: Clearly Q is even (resp. odd) iff $(W_F, (\ , \)_{\bullet})$ is even (resp. odd) dimensional, see 1.4.1. The two objects of Q are isomorphic iff the W ’s have the same rank and parity.

Now let S be a smooth scheme. Let $\pi : C_S \rightarrow S$ be a family of smooth projective curves, $a : S \rightarrow C_S$ be a section, W be a vector bundle on C_S , and $(\ , \) : W \times W \rightarrow \omega_{C_S/S}$ be a symmetric bilinear pairing. Assume that cokernel of the corresponding map $W \rightarrow W^0 = \text{Hom}(W, \omega_{C_S/S})$ is either trivial or supported on $a(S)$ and is an \mathcal{O}_S -module of rank 1. These collections $(C_S, a, W, (\ , \))$ defines an S -localization data ψ for $(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{O}\mathcal{V})$ in a way similar to 3.4.3, 3.4.8. Namely, the formal completion of W along a defines an S -object of $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{V}$. The Lie algebroid N consists of triples $(\tau, \tau_U, \tau_{W_U})$, where $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_S$, $\tau_U \in \mathcal{T}_U$ is a lifting of τ to $U = C_S \setminus a(S)$, and τ_{W_U} is an action of τ_U on W_U that preserves $(\ , \)$. The corresponding map φ is obvious, and $\tilde{\varphi}_{(0)}$ comes from 2.4.4.

One has immediate variants of this construction for the case of several points and points with 1-jet of a parameter (see 3.4.6, 3.4.7).

3.4.10 Note that we have a canonical morphism $r : (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-1}, \mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{O}\mathcal{V})$ of centered Harish-Chandra groupoids. It assigns to $(F, E_{\mathcal{O}}) \in \mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}$ the triple $(F, E_{\mathcal{O}} \oplus E_{\mathcal{O}}^0, (\ , \))$ where

$(,)$ is an obvious pairing. The morphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}E_F \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}(E_F \oplus E_F^0)$ was defined in 2.4.2. Now for a scheme S and a collection (C_S, a, E) from 3.4.8 we have the one $(C_S, a, E \oplus E^0, (,))$ from 3.4.9. We have an obvious r -morphism of corresponding localization data $r^\# : \psi_c(C_S, a, E) \rightarrow \psi_{-c}(C_S, a, E \oplus E^0, (,))$ (see 2.4), hence the isomorphism $r_D : D_{\psi_c(C_S, a, E)} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\psi_c(C_S, a, E \oplus E^0, (,))}$.

3.5 Fermions and determinant bundles. In this section the rings of twisted differential operators D_ψ that appeared in 3.4 will be canonically identified with the rings \mathcal{D}_L for some natural line bundles L (see 3.2.8). Equivalently, we will construct a D_ψ -module L which is a line bundle (as \mathcal{O} -module). This will be done by means of Clifford modules.

3.5.1 Let us start with situation 3.4.9. For $Q = (F, W_{\mathcal{O}}, (,)) \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{V}$ denote by M_Q the Clifford module (for Clifford algebra $Cl(Q) = Cl(W_F, (,))_\bullet$, see 1.4) generated by a single fixed vector v with the only relation $W_{\mathcal{O}}v = 0$. If Q is even, then M_Q is irreducible; if Q is odd, then M_Q is the sum of two non-isomorphic irreducible modules. Note that M_Q carries a canonical $\text{Aut } Q$ -action (the only one) that leaves v invariant. By 2.4.3 M_Q is $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}W_F = \widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}_Q$ -module. Clearly these actions are compatible, hence M_Q is $(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}_Q, \text{Aut } Q)$ -module. This way we get the $(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{O}\mathcal{V})$ -module M .

Let S be a smooth scheme, and $(C_S, a, W, (,))$ be the geometric data from 3.4.9 that defines the corresponding S -localization data ψ for $(\mathcal{O}\mathcal{V}, \widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}})$. Let $Q_S = (F_S, W_{\mathcal{O}_{F_S}}, (,))$ be the corresponding S -object of $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{V}$ (= the completion of our data along a), and M_{Q_S} be the corresponding \mathcal{O}_S -module with $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}_{Q_S}$ -action. Certainly, M_{Q_S} is a Clifford module for the \mathcal{O}_S -Clifford algebra $Cl(W_{F_S}, (,))_\bullet$ generated by the section v with the only relation $W_{\mathcal{O}_{F_S}}v = 0$. Note that $\pi_*W_U = \pi|_{U^*}(W|_U)$ is an S -family of maximal isotropic colattices in W_{F_S} (see 2.4.5). Put $L_\psi = M_{Q_S}/\pi_*W_U M_{Q_S}$. This is a line bundle on S if Q_S is even (which means that $(,) : W \times W \rightarrow W_{C_S/S}$ is non-degenerate). If Q_S is odd, then L_ψ is a two-dimensional vector bundle which splits canonically in a sum of two line bundles on 2-sheeted covering of S that corresponds to a choice of $\gamma \in W_{\mathcal{O}_{F_S}}^\perp/W_{\mathcal{O}_{F_S}}$ with $(\gamma, \gamma)_\bullet = 1$.

3.5.2 LEMMA. L_ψ is naturally a D_ψ -module: it is a D_ψ -module quotients of $\Delta_\psi M$.

PROOF: Consider the action of Lie algebroid $A\widetilde{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}}_{Q_S}N$ (see 3.3.4) on M_{Q_S} . Since for

$(a, n) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{O}A}_{Q_S} N = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{O}A}_{Q_S} \mathcal{A}\mathcal{O}A_{Q_S}^\times \pi_* \mathcal{O}AW_U$ and $w \in \pi_* W_U$ one has $[(a, n), w] = n(w)$ (as operators on M_{Q_S}), we see that this action quotients down to L_ψ . It remains to show that L_ψ is actually an A_ψ -module. We need to prove that the \mathcal{O}_S -Lie subalgebra $s(N_{(0)}) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{O}A}_{Q_S} N$ acts trivially on L_ψ . Note that $s(N_{(0)}) = \pi_* \mathcal{O}AW_U/S$ acts on L_ψ \mathcal{O}_S -linearly, hence it suffices to consider the case $S = \text{point}$. Then $N_{(0)} = \mathcal{O}AW_U$ is extension of \mathcal{T}_U by the orthogonal Lie algebra $\mathcal{O}W_U$. Since both $\mathcal{O}W_U$ and \mathcal{T}_U are perfect \mathbb{C} -Lie algebras, we see that $N_{(0)}$ is perfect, hence every 1-dimensional representation of $N_{(0)}$ is trivial. Since L_ψ is either 1-dimensional or a sum of two 1-dimensional $N_{(0)}$ -invariant subspaces, we are done. \square

Actually we have proven that L_ψ is a quotient of D_ψ -module $\Delta_\psi(M)$. Certainly, 3.5.2 implies

3.5.3 PROPOSITION. *One has a canonical isomorphism of twisted differential operators algebras $D_\psi = D_{L_\psi}$ if Q_S is even, and $D_{\psi_2} = D_{\det L_\psi}$ if Q_S is odd.* \square

3.5.4 REMARKS: (i) According to 1.4.4 the fibers L_{ψ_s} , $s \in S$, are canonically identified with $\det H^0(C_s, W_s)$ if Q_S is even, i.e., if $(,)$ is non degenerate (if Q_S is odd, one has $\det L_{\psi_s} = \det^{\otimes 2} H^0(C_s, W_s)$). Hence the automorphism $- \text{id}_W$ of our data acts on L_ψ as ± 1 depending on whether $\dim H^0(C_s, W_s)$ is even or odd. This proves the theorem of Mumford that the parity of \dim does not jump.

(ii) Of course we may consider the situation with several points $a_1, \dots, a_n \in C$. By a reason similar to 3.4.6 one may see that the corresponding line bundle L_ψ actually does not depend on points; certainly, we may delete only "even" points where $(,)$ is non-degenerate. \square

Now let us consider the situation 3.4.8 of vector symmetries. By 3.4.10 we have a canonical isomorphism $D_{\psi_c(C_S, a, E)} = D_{\psi_{-c}(C_S, a, E \oplus E^0, (,))}$. By 3.5.4(i) the fibers of the line bundle $L_\psi = L_\psi(C_S, a, E \oplus E^0, (,))$ coincide with $\det H^0(C_s, E) \otimes \det H^0(C_s, E^0) = \det H^0(C_s, E) / \det H^1(C_s, E) = \det R\Gamma(C_s, E)$. It is easy to see that $L_\psi = \det R\pi_* E$ is the determinant line bundle of E (about determinant line bundles, see e.g. [KM]). By 3.5.4 (ii) and a version of 3.4.6 for vector symmetries we may delete a point a above. Hence

3.5.5 COROLLARY. *One has a canonical isomorphism $D_{\psi_c(C_S, E)} = D_{\det^{\otimes -c} R\pi_* E}$.* \square

Consider finally the pure Virasoro situation. We have an obvious embedding of Harish-Chandra groupoids $r : (\mathcal{V}, \tilde{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}})$, $F \mapsto (F, \mathcal{O}_F)$, $\tilde{T} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}F$ (see 2.1.3). If C_S is an S -family of curves, a is an S -point of C_S , we have an obvious r -morphism of localization data $\psi_{(C_S, a)} \rightarrow \psi_{(C_S, a, \mathcal{O}_{C_S})}$ which identifies $D_{\psi_c(C_S, a)}$ with $D_{\psi_c(C_S, a, \mathcal{O}_{C_S})}$. Now 3.5.5 implies

3.5.6 COROLLARY. *One has a canonical isomorphism $D_{\psi_c(C_S)} = D_{\det^{\otimes -c} R\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_S}}$. \square*

3.6 Quadratic degeneration. In this section we will describe the determinant bundle of a family of curves that degenerates quadratically. Below $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[q]]$ is a formal disc, $0 \in S$ is special point $q = 0$, $\eta = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}((q))$ is generic point.

3.6.1 LEMMA. *There is a canonical 1-1 correspondence between the following data (i) and (ii):*

- (i) *A proper S -family of curves, C_S such that C_η is smooth and C_0 has exactly one singular point a which is quadratic, together with formal coordinates t_1, t_2 at a such that $q = t_1 t_2$.*
- (ii) *A proper smooth S -family of curves C_S^\vee together with two disjoint points $a_1, a_2 \in C_S(S)$ and formal coordinates t_i at a_i .*

PROOF: Here is a construction of mutually inverse maps. Note that, according to Grothendieck, we may replace any proper S -curve B_S by the corresponding formal scheme $\widehat{B}_S =$ the completion of B_S along B_0 .

(i) \mapsto (ii). Let C_S, t_1, t_2 be a (i)-data. The corresponding C_S^\vee, a_i, t_i are the following ones. One has $C_S^\vee =$ normalization of C_0 , so t_i define formal coordinates at points $a_1(0), a_2(0) \in C_S^\vee$. To define C_S^\vee as a formal scheme, we have to construct the corresponding sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_S^\vee}$ of functions on C_0^\vee . We demand that on $U = C_S^\vee \setminus \{a_1, a_2\} = C_0 \setminus \{a\}$ our $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_S^\vee}$ coincides with $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_S}$. Note that any function $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_S}(V)$, where $V \subset U$, has Laurent series expansions $\varphi_i(t_i, q) \in \mathbb{C}((t_i))[[q]]$ at $a_i(0)$. We say that φ is regular at $a_i(0)$ if $\varphi_i(t_i, q) \in \mathbb{C}[[t_i, q]]$. This defines $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_S^\vee}$. The points a_i are defined by equations $t_i = 0$.

(ii) \mapsto (i). Let C_S^\vee, a_i, t_i be (ii)-data. The zero fiber C_0 of our curve C_S is C_0^\vee with points a_1, a_2 glued together. The sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_S}$ coincides with $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_S^\vee}$ on $U = C_0 \setminus \{0\} =$

$C_0^\vee \setminus \{a_1, a_2\}$. For a Zariski open $V \subset U$ a function $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_S}(V)$ is regular at a if the t_i -Laurent series expansions $\varphi_i \in \mathbb{C}((t_i))[[q]]$ of φ at a_i lie in $\mathbb{C}[[t_1, t_2]] \subset \mathbb{C}((t_i))[[q]]$ and $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, t_2]]$. Here the embedding $\mathbb{C}[[t_1, t_2]] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}((t_1))[[q]]$ is $t_1 \mapsto t_1, t_2 \mapsto q/t_1$, and the one $\mathbb{C}[[t_1, t_2]] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}((t_2))[[q]]$ is $t_1 \mapsto q/t_2, t_2 \mapsto t_2$. This defines $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C_S}$. \square

Below we will say that a vector bundle E on a scheme X is *stratified* at $x \in X$ if we are given an isomorphism $E \simeq A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_X$ on a formal neighbourhood of x (here A is a vector space; certainly $A = E_x$).

3.6.2 LEMMA. *Let C_S and C_S^\vee be the S -curves from 3.6.1. There is natural 1-1 correspondence between the data*

(i) *A vector bundle E on C_S together with a stratification of E at a .*

(ii) *A vector bundle E^\vee on C_S^\vee together with a stratifications of E^\vee at a_1, a_2 and an isomorphism of fibers $E_{a_1}^\vee \simeq E_{a_2}^\vee$.* \square

3.6.3 PROPOSITION. *Let $(C_S, E), (C_S^\vee, E^\vee)$ be the related objects from 3.6.1, 3.6.2. Then there is a canonical stratification of a line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \det R\pi_* E / \det R\pi_*^\vee E^\vee$ on S .*

REMARK: Here “stratification” = “Stratification at 0” = (isomorphism $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$). Note that $\mathcal{L}_0 = \det R\Gamma(C_0, E_0) / \det R\Gamma(C_0^\vee, E_0^\vee)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\det^{-1} E_a$, so 3.6.3 is canonical isomorphism $\det R\pi_*^\vee(C^\vee, E^\vee) = \det E_a \det R\pi_*(C, E)$.

PROOF. CONSTRUCTION: Let us compute our determinant bundles. Below we will use notations from the proof of 3.6.1. Put $A = E_a = E_{a_1}^\vee = E_{a_2}^\vee$. Our data identifies the formal completion E_a^\wedge of E at a with $A \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t_1, t_2]]$, and the formal completion of $E_{a_i}^\vee$ of E^\vee at a_i with $A \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t_i, q]]$. The restrictions of E and E^\vee to the formal scheme $\widehat{U} = (U, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_U)$ coincide; put $P = H^0(U, E|_{\widehat{U}}) = \varprojlim H^0(U, E/q^n E)$. Also put $V = A \otimes \{\mathbb{C}((t_1))[[q]] \oplus \mathbb{C}((t_2))[[q]]\}$, $V_{+0} = A \otimes \{\mathbb{C}[[t_1, q]] \oplus \mathbb{C}[[t_2, q]]\}$, $V_{+1} = A \otimes \{\mathbb{C}[[t_1, t_2]]\}$. We may compute $R\pi_* E, R\pi_*^\vee E^\vee$ by means of “adelic” complexes for our formal schemes. Namely, $R\pi_*^\vee E^\vee = \text{Cone}(P \oplus V_{+0} \rightarrow V)[-1]$, $R\pi_* E = \text{Cone}(P \oplus V_{+1} \rightarrow V)[-1]$; here the map $P \rightarrow V$ is minus Laurent series expansion map, the map $V_{+1} \rightarrow V$ is given by formula $a \otimes t_1^m t_2^n \mapsto a \otimes \{q^n t_1^{m-n} + q^m t_2^{n-m}\}$ (see the proof of 3.6.1), and $V_{+0} \rightarrow V$ is an obvious embedding.

Note that V is a flat complete $\mathbb{C}[[q]]$ -module with an obvious Tate structure (see 1.4.10), V_{+0}, V_{+1} are lattices in V and P is a colattice in V . So to compute our determinants

we may use Clifford modules. Namely, take $W = V \oplus V^*$ with the standard form $(\ , \)$; let M be a corresponding Clifford module such that $M_0 = M/qM$ is irreducible Clifford module for $(W_0, (\ , \)_0)$. Then $L(P) = P \oplus P^\perp$, $L(V_{i+}) = V_{i+} \oplus V_{i+}^\perp$ are maximal isotropic colattice and lattices respectively. A $\mathbb{C}[[q]]$ -version of 1.4.9 shows that coinvariants $M_{L(P)}$ and invariants $M^{L(V_{i+})}$ are free $\mathbb{C}[[q]]$ -modules of rank one, and there are canonical isomorphisms

$$\det R\pi_*^\vee E^\vee = M^{L(V_{0+})}/M_{L(P)}, \det R\pi_* E = M^{L(V_{1+})}/M_{L(P)}.$$

Hence $\det R\pi_* E / \det R\pi_*^\vee E^\vee = M^{L(V_{1+})}/M^{L(V_{0+})}$. In this description of the ratio of determinants all the "global" data that may vary (encoded in P) disappeared; we've got the standard "local" expression for it.

It remains to fix an isomorphism $\gamma : M^{L(V_{0+})} \rightarrow M^{L(V_{1+})} \otimes \det A$; the desired stratification of the ratio of determinants then will be $\gamma(v)/v$ for a non-zero generator v (clearly it does not depend on M). Let a_1, \dots, a_ℓ be a basis of A . Consider the vectors $e_{\alpha 1}^k = a_\alpha \otimes t_1^k, e_{\alpha 2}^k = a_\alpha \otimes t_2^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha = 1, \dots, \ell$. This is a basis (in an obvious sense) of V ; denote by $e_{\alpha i}^{k*} \in V^*$ the dual basis. The vectors $\{e_{\alpha i}^k\}, k \geq 0$, form a basis of V_{0+} , and the vectors $f_{\alpha 1}^k := e_{\alpha 1}^k + q^k e_{\alpha 2}^{-k}, f_{\alpha 2}^k := q^k e_{\alpha 1}^{-k} + e_{\alpha 2}^k, e_{\alpha 1}^0 + e_{\alpha 2}^0, k \geq 1$, form a basis of V_{1+} . In a bit of a non-formal way our γ could be defined as follows. A generator of $M^{L(V_{0+})}$ is an infinite wedge product $\bigwedge_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ \alpha, i}} e_{\alpha i}^k$, a generator of $M^{L(V_{1+})} \otimes \det A$

is $\bigwedge_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ \alpha, i}} f_{\alpha i}^k \wedge \bigwedge_{\alpha} (e_{\alpha 1}^0 + e_{\alpha 2}^0) \otimes \bigwedge_{\alpha} a_\alpha$, and γ just identifies these generators. To be precise,

consider the elements $\gamma_n = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} (f_{\alpha 1}^k f_{\alpha 2}^k e_{\alpha 2}^{k*} e_{\alpha 1}^{k*}) \in \text{Cliff}(W)$. These γ_n do not depend

on a choice of basis $\{a_\alpha\}$ in A , and it is easy to see that $\gamma_\infty = \lim_n \gamma_n \in \mathcal{C}\ell W$ is correctly defined. Let $V_{0++} \subset V_{0+}, V_{1++} \subset V_{1+}$ be sublattices with bases $\{e_{\alpha i}^k\}, k \geq 1$, and $\{f_{\alpha i}^k\}, k \geq 1$, respectively. It is easy to see that $\gamma_\infty(M^{L(V_{0++})}) = M^{L(V_{1++})}$ (precisely, $\gamma_n(M^{L(V_{0+})}) \equiv M^{L(V_{1+})} \text{ mod } q^{n+1} M$). Since $M^{L(V_{0+})} = \bigwedge_{\alpha, i} e_{\alpha i}^0 \cdot M^{L(V_{0++})}, M^{L(V_{1+})} =$

$\bigwedge_{\alpha} (e_{\alpha 1}^0 + e_{\alpha 2}^0) \cdot M^{L(V_{1++})}$, we have $\bigwedge_{\alpha} (e_1^{0*} - e_2^{0*}) \cdot \gamma_\infty M^{L(V_{0+})} = M^{L(V_{1+})}$. Put $\bigwedge_{\alpha} (e_1^{0*} - e_2^{0*}) \cdot \gamma_\infty \otimes \bigwedge_{\alpha} a_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}\ell W \otimes \det A$. This γ does not depend on a choice of basis $\{a_\alpha\}$ of A ,

and the desired $M^{L(V_{0+})} \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{L(V_{1+})} \otimes \det A$ is just multiplication by γ . \square

3.6.4 Let C^\vee be a curve, $a_1, a_2 \in C^\vee_+$, $a_1 \neq a_2$, be a pair of points, t_i be a formal parameter at a_i . Consider the constant S -family $C^\vee_S := C^\vee \times S$; let $a_i \in C^\vee_S(S), t_i$ be the “constant” points and parameters. According to 3.6.1 these define an S -curve C_S with quadratic singularities along zero fiber and smooth generic fiber. Consider the trivial vector bundles $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}, \mathcal{O}_{C^\vee_S}$; they correspond to each other by 3.6.2 correspondence. Note that $\det R\pi_*^\vee \mathcal{O}_{C^\vee_S} = \det R\Gamma(C^\vee, \mathcal{O}_{C^\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ is obviously stratified, hence 3.6.3 defines the stratification of $\det R\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$ which is a natural generator γ of $\mathbb{C}[[q]]$ -module $\det^{-1} R\Gamma(C^\vee, \mathcal{O}_{C^\vee}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[q]]} \det R\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$. Let us compute γ in a couple of most simple situations.

3.6.5 Assume that C^\vee is a disjoint union of two copies of \mathbb{P}^1 's, $C^\vee = \mathbb{P}^1_1 \amalg \mathbb{P}^1_2$, $a_1 \in \mathbb{P}^1_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1_2$ are “zero” points, t_i are standard parameters at a_i . Then the S -curve C_S is compactification of the family of affine curves $A^2 \rightarrow S, q = t_2 t_1$. This is a genus 0 curve, hence $R\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_S} = \mathcal{O}_S$, so we have a canonical trivialization α of $\det R\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$ of “global” origin. In fact, it coincides with our γ . To see this, note that (in the notations of proof of 3.6.3) in our case P is colattice with basis $\{e_1^k, e_2^k\}, k \leq 0$, so one has $P \oplus V_{1++} = V = P \oplus V_{0++}$. The operator $(e_1^0 + e_2^0) \cdot$ identifies $M^{L(V_{1++})}$ with $M^{L(V_{1+})}$, hence $\det R\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_S} = M^{L(V_{1++})}/M_{L(P)}$. The “global” trivialization α comes from isomorphism $M^{L(V_{1++})} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{L(P)}, m \mapsto m \bmod L(P)M$. The trivialization γ comes from composition $M^{L(V_{1++})} \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{L(V_{0++})} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{L(P)}$ where the first arrow is inverse to multiplication by γ_∞ and the second one is projection $m \mapsto m \bmod L(P)M$. Since $f_i^k = e_i^k \bmod P$ for $k \geq 1$, the formula for γ_∞ shows that this composition coincides with projection $M^{L(V_{1++})} \rightarrow M_{L(P)}$, hence $\alpha = \gamma$.

3.6.6 Assume now that $C^\vee = \mathbb{P}^1, a_1 = 0, a_2 = \infty$ and $t_1 t_2$ are standard parameters t and t^{-1} respectively. Then the curve C_S coincides with standard Tate’s elliptic curve (see, e.g., [DR]), q is a standard parameter on moduli space of elliptic curves at ∞ . The Tate curve carries a canonical relative 1-form ν (that corresponds to standard invariant form on G_m via Tate’s uniformization). One has $R^0 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_S} = \mathcal{O}_S, R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_S} = (R^0 \pi_* \omega_{C_S})^*$ by Serre’s duality (here ω_{C_S} is relative dualizing sheaf), hence $\det R\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C_S} = R^0 \pi_* \omega_{C_S}$

and ν is a canonical trivialization of $\det R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$. Let us calculate γ . The colattice P has basis $\{e_1^k + e_2^k\}, k \in \mathbf{Z}$. One has $\mathcal{O}_S = R^0\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_S} = \mathcal{O}_S(e_1^0 + e_2^0) = P \cap V_{1+}$, $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_S} = V/P + V_{1+} = V/P + V_{1++}$. The relative differential ν in local coordinates t_i is $\frac{dt_1}{t_1} = -\frac{dt_2}{t_2}$, and Serre duality is the sum of local residues at a_i . Hence the functional $\nu \in (R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_S})^* = (V/P + V_{1+})^* \subset V^*$ is $e_1^{0*} - e_2^{0*}$. As above, the multiplication by $e_1^0 + e_2^0$ identifies $M^{L(V_{1++})}$ with $M^{L(V_1)}$, hence $\det R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_S} = M^{L(V_{1++})}/M_{L(P)}$. The trivialization ν comes from isomorphism $M^{L(V_{1++})} \rightarrow M_{L(P)}$, $m \mapsto (e_1^0 m) \bmod L(P)M$. The trivialization γ comes from composition $M^{L(V_{1++})} \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{L(V_{0++})} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{L(P)}$ where the first arrow is inverse to multiplication by γ_∞ isomorphism $M^{L(V_{0++})} \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{L(V_{1++})}$ and the second arrow is $m \mapsto (e_1^0 m) \bmod L(P)M$. Since $f_1^k = (1 - q^k)e_1^k \bmod P$, $f_2^k = (1 - q^k)e_2^k \bmod P$ we see that $\gamma = [\prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^2] \nu$, or, in terms of Dedekind's η -function $\eta(q) = q^{1/24} \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)$, one has

$$\gamma = q^{-1/12} \eta(q)^2 \nu.$$

One may reformulate this as follows. Recall that the line bundle $\lambda = \det R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_C = \pi_*\omega_C$ on moduli space of elliptic curves carries a canonical global integrable connection ∇ such that the discriminant Δ is a global horizontal section of $\lambda^{\otimes 12}$ (with respect to the corresponding connection on $\lambda^{\otimes 12}$). Since $\Delta = (\eta(q)\nu)^{12}$, we see that our γ is a horizontal section of a connection $\nabla + \frac{1}{12} \frac{dq}{q}$.

§4. FUSION CATEGORIES

4.1 Recollections from symplectic linear algebra. Let V be a symplectic \mathbf{R} -vector space of dimension $2g$ with symplectic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. To $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ there corresponds a canonical transitive groupoid \mathcal{T}_V . In 1.1-1.3 below we give three different constructions of \mathcal{T}_V . Assume first that $V \neq 0$.

4.1.1 Let $H = H_V$ be the Siegel upper half plane of V . A point of H is a complex Lagrangian subspace $L \subset V_{\mathbf{C}} := V \otimes \mathbf{C}$ such that $i\langle x, \bar{x} \rangle > 0$ for $x \neq 0 \in L$. Equivalently, one may consider a point of H as a complex structure ℓ on V such that the form $\langle \cdot, i_{\ell} \cdot \rangle$ is symmetric and positive definite; here $i_{\ell} \in \text{End } V$ is multiplication by $i \in \mathbf{C}$ with respect to ℓ (the 1-1 correspondence $\ell \longleftrightarrow L$ is $\ell \longmapsto L_{\ell} :=$ the i -eigenspace of i_{ℓ} , $L \longmapsto \ell_L :=$ the complex structure that comes from the isomorphism $V \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\mathbf{C}}/L$). The space H is a complex variety, and the L 's form a rank g holomorphic bundle \mathcal{L} on H . Put $\lambda := \det \mathcal{L}$: this is a holomorphic line bundle on H . Denote by \tilde{H} the space of $\lambda^{\otimes 2} \setminus \{ \text{zero section} \}$; the projection $\tilde{H} \rightarrow H$ is a \mathbf{C}^* -fibration. Let \mathcal{H} be the space of C^{∞} -sections $H \rightarrow \tilde{H}$. One has obvious maps

$$\mathcal{H} \longleftarrow \mathcal{H} \times H \longrightarrow \tilde{H}, \quad \varphi \longmapsto (\varphi, h) \longmapsto \varphi(h). \quad (4.1.1.1)$$

Since H is contractible, these are homotopy equivalences. Note that for any $a \in \tilde{H}$ the map $i_a : S^1 \hookrightarrow \tilde{H}$, $i_a(e^{i\theta}) := e^{i\theta}a$, is a homotopy equivalence which defines a canonical identification

$$\pi_1(\tilde{H}, a) = \mathbf{Z}. \quad (4.1.1.2)$$

For a topological space X let $\mathcal{T}(X)$ be the fundamental groupoid of X : its objects are points of X , and its morphisms are homotopy classes of paths. Put $\mathcal{T}'_V := \mathcal{T}(\tilde{H})$.

4.1.2 Denote by $\Lambda = \Lambda_V$ the grassmannian of real non-oriented Lagrangian planes of V ; the planes form a canonical Lagrangian sub-bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}}$ of $V_{\Lambda} := V \times \Lambda$. Put $\lambda_{\mathbf{R}} := \det \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}}$: this is a real line sub-bundle of $\Lambda^g V_{\Lambda}$. Let Λ' be the space $\lambda_{\mathbf{R}} \setminus \{ \text{zero section} \} / \pm 1$: the map $x \longmapsto x^2$ identifies Λ' with the "positive ray" of $\lambda_{\mathbf{R}}^{\otimes 2}$. The obvious projection $\Lambda' \longrightarrow \Lambda$ is an \mathbf{R}_+^* -torsor, hence a homotopy equivalence. One has a canonical map

$$v : \Lambda' \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \quad (4.1.2.1)$$

defined by the formula $v(x^2)(h) = \lambda^2$, where $\lambda \in \det L_h \subset \wedge^g V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the unique vector such that $\text{vol}(x \wedge \lambda) = 1$ (here $\text{vol} = \frac{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}{g!} \in \wedge^{2g} V^*$ is the canonical volume). The map v induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups. Put $\mathcal{T}_V'' := \mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$. According to (1.1.1), 1.2.1) we have a canonical equivalence of groupoids

$$\alpha : \mathcal{T}_V'' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_V'. \quad (4.1.2.2)$$

4.1.3 Here is the third construction of \mathcal{T}_V . For 3 Lagrangian planes one defines, according to Kashiwara, their Maslov index $\tau(L_1, L_2, L_3)$ as the signature of the quadratic form B on $L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3$ given by the formula $B(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle + \langle x_2, x_3 \rangle + \langle x_3, x_1 \rangle$ (see [LV] ()). Let \mathcal{T}_V''' be the following groupoid. Its set of objects is Λ . For $L_1, L_2 \in \Lambda$ we put $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_V'''}(L_1, L_2) = \mathbb{Z}$, and the composition of morphisms $L_1 \xrightarrow{n} L_2 \xrightarrow{m} L_3$ is given by the formula $m \circ n := m + n + \tau(L_1, L_2, L_3)$. Since τ satisfies a cocycle formula [LV] (), the composition is associative.

Let us define a canonical isomorphism

$$\beta : \mathcal{T}_V''' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_V'' \quad (4.1.3.1)$$

which is the identity on objects. To construct β we need to choose for each pair $L_1, L_2 \in \Lambda$ a canonical path $\gamma_{L_1, L_2} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_V''}(L_2, L_1)$ such that

$$\gamma_{L_3 L_2} \circ \gamma_{L_2 L_1} = \gamma_{L_3 L_1} + \tau(L_1, L_2, L_3). \quad (4.1.3.2)$$

Then one defines β by the formula $\beta(n) = n + \gamma_{L_1, L_2}$ for $n \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_V'''}(L_2, L_1) = \mathbb{Z}$ (recall that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_V''}(L_2, L_1)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -torsor by 1.1.2).

To define γ_{L_1, L_2} consider the subset $U_{L_1, L_2} \subset \Lambda$ that consists of L 's such that $L_1 + L_2 \supset L \supset L_1 \cap L_2 = L \cap L_1 = L \cap L_2$. A plane $L \in U_{L_1, L_2}$ defines a quadratic form φ_L on $L_1/L_1 \cap L_2$ by the formula $\varphi_L(a) = \langle b, a \rangle$ where $b \in L_2$ is a vector such that $b + a \in L$. In this way one gets a 1-1 correspondence between U_{L_1, L_2} and the set of all non-degenerate forms on $L_1/L_1 \cap L_2$. Let $U_{L_1, L_2}^+ \subset U_{L_1, L_2}$ be the subspace that corresponds to positive-definite forms, so U_{L_1, L_2}^+ is contractible. Now γ_{L_1, L_2} is the unique homotopy path from L_2 to L_1 which, apart from its ends, lies in U_{L_1, L_2}^+ . One verifies (4.1.3.2) immediately.

4.1.4 Below we will denote by \mathcal{T}_V either of the groupoids $\mathcal{T}'_V, \mathcal{T}''_V, \mathcal{T}'''_V$ identified via (4.1.2.2), (4.1.3.1). In case $V = 0$, the groupoid \mathcal{T}_V , by definition, has a single object 0 with $\text{End } 0 = \mathbf{Z}$. For any V and $y \in \mathcal{T}_V$ we will denote by γ_0 the generator $1 \in \mathbf{Z} = \text{Aut } y$.

4.1.5 The groupoid \mathcal{T}_V has the following functorial properties. Let V be a symplectic space, $N \subset V$ a vector subspace such that $\langle \cdot \rangle|_N = 0$, and let N^\perp be the $\langle \cdot \rangle$ -orthogonal complement to N . Then N^\perp/N has an obvious symplectic structure. Since the pre-image of a Lagrangian plane in N^\perp/N is a Lagrangian plane in V , we have an embedding $\Lambda_{N^\perp/N} \hookrightarrow \Lambda_V$, which defines a canonical equivalence of groupoids $\mathcal{T}''_{N^\perp/N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_V$.

4.1.6 Now let V_1, V_2 be symplectic spaces. One has an obvious map $\Lambda_{V_1} \times \Lambda_{V_2} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$, $(L_1, L_2) \longmapsto L_1 \oplus L_2$, and a similar map $\tilde{H}_{V_1} \times \tilde{H}_{V_2} \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$, which comes from multiplication $\det^{\otimes 2} L_1 \times \det^{\otimes 2} L_2 \longrightarrow \det^{\otimes 2} L_1 \otimes \det^{\otimes 2} L_2 = \det^{\otimes 2}(L_1 \oplus L_2)$. These define morphisms between corresponding fundamental groupoids, compatible with the canonical equivalences (4.1.2.2). Hence we have a canonical morphism $\mathcal{T}_{V_1} \times \mathcal{T}_{V_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$.

4.2 The Teichmüller groupoid. Here are two definitions: a “combinatorial” or “topological” one and a “holomorphic” one.

4.2.1 An object of the “topological” Teichmüller groupoid Teich' is an oriented surface S (possibly non-connected and with boundary) together with a set of points $P_S = \{x_\alpha\}$ of the boundary ∂S such that each connected component of ∂S contains exactly one x_α (we will denote this component ∂S_{x_α}). The morphisms are isotopy classes of diffeomorphisms.

Let us define an “enhanced” groupoid $\widetilde{\text{Teich}}'$. For a surface S denote by $H(S)$ the image of the canonical map $H_c^1(S, \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow H^1(S, \mathbf{R})$ (which is the same as cohomology of a smooth compactification of S). An orientation of S defines a symplectic structure on $H(S)$ (intersection pairing). Now an object of $\widetilde{\text{Teich}}'$ is a triple (S, P_S, y) , where $(S, P_S) \in \text{Teich}'$ and $y \in \mathcal{T}_{H(S)}$. A morphism $(S, P_S, y) \longrightarrow (S', P_{S'}, y')$ is a pair (φ, γ) , where $\varphi : (S, P_S) \longrightarrow (S', P_{S'})$ is a morphism in Teich' , and $\gamma : \varphi_*(y) \longrightarrow y'$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{T}_{H(S')}$; the composition of morphisms is obvious.

The projection $\widetilde{\text{Teich}}' \rightarrow \text{Teich}'$, $(S, P_S, y) \longmapsto (S, P_S)$, is surjective. For any $(S, P_S, y) \in \widetilde{\text{Teich}}'$ the group $\text{Aut}_{\widetilde{\text{Teich}}'}(S, P_S, y)$ is a central extension of $\text{Aut}_{\text{Teich}'}(S, P_S)$ by $\mathbf{Z} (= \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}_{H(S)}}(y))$. So we may say that $\widetilde{\text{Teich}}'$ is a central extension of Teich' by \mathbf{Z} . We will

denote the generator of this \mathbf{Z} by γ_0 .

Consider the functor $Teich' \rightarrow Sets$, $(S, P_S) \mapsto P_S = \text{set of boundary components of } S$. Clearly $Teich'$ is a fibered category over the groupoid of finite sets. For a finite set A denote by $Teich'_A$ the fiber over A (the objects of this groupoid are pairs $((S, P_S), \nu)$, where $(S, P_S) \in Teich'$, and $\nu : P_S \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ is a bijection). For a bijection $f : A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$, $X \in Teich'_A$, $Y \in Teich'_B$ we will denote by $\text{Hom}_f(X, Y)$ the set of f -morphisms (i.e., the ones that induce f on the sets of boundary components). We put $\text{Aut}^0(S, P_S) = \text{Aut}_{id_{P_S}}(S, P_S)$. We will use the same notations for \widetilde{Teich}' .

For $(S, P_S) \in Teich'$ and $x_\alpha \in P_S$ we denote by $d_{x_\alpha} \in \text{Aut}^0(S, P_S)$ the Dehn twist around ∂S_{x_α} . Since d_{x_α} acts as the identity on $H(S)$ it lifts to the element $(d_{x_\alpha}, id_y) \in \text{Aut}_{\widetilde{Teich}}^0(S, P_S, y)$, which we will also denote by d_{x_α} . These d_{x_α} lie in the center. In particular, we have a canonical morphism $\mathbf{Z}^{P_S} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^0(S, P_S)$, $(n_{x_\alpha}) \mapsto \prod d_{x_\alpha}^{n_{x_\alpha}}$; $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^{P_S} \rightarrow \text{Aut}^0(S, P_S, y)$, $(n_y, n_{x_\alpha}) \mapsto \gamma_0^{n_y} \times \prod d_{x_\alpha}^{n_{x_\alpha}}$.

4.2.2 Here is a ‘‘holomorphic’’ definition of the Teichmüller groupoid. An object of $Teich''$ is a complex curve C (smooth, projective, possibly reducible) together with a finite set of points $P_C = \{y_\alpha\} \subset C$ equipped with non-zero co-tangent vectors $\nu_\alpha \in \Omega_{C, y_\alpha}^1$. The morphisms are 1-parameter C^∞ -class families of such objects connecting two given ones, these families being considered up to homotopy. In other words, $Teich''$ is the Poincaré groupoid of the modular stack \mathcal{M} of the above structures. In the same way, \widetilde{Teich}'' is the Poincaré groupoid of the modular stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of the data $(C, y_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, y)$, where $(C, y_\alpha, \nu_\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$, and $y \in \det^{\otimes 2}(H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)) \setminus \{0\}$. Clearly, the second modular stack is a C^* -fibration over the first one, hence \widetilde{Teich}'' is a $\mathbf{Z}(= \pi_1(C^*))$ -extension of $Teich''$.

4.2.3 The groupoids $Teich'$ and $Teich''$, are canonically equivalent, as are \widetilde{Teich}' and \widetilde{Teich}'' . To define this equivalence, take $(S, P_S) \in Teich'$. Consider the data $(\mu; \{r_\alpha\})$, where μ is a complex structure on S , and $r_\alpha : S^1 = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \partial S_{x_\alpha}$ is a parametrization such that $r_\alpha(1) = x_\alpha$ and r_α extends μ -holomorphically to the ring $\{z \in \mathbf{C} : 1 \leq |z| \leq 1 + \epsilon\}$. We may glue a collection of unit discs $D_\alpha = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ (with their standard complex structure) to S using r_α . Denote the corresponding complex curve $C = C(S, P_S; (\mu, r_\alpha))$. It is equipped with the set of points $y_\alpha = 0 \in D_\alpha$, and the

cotangent vectors $\nu_\alpha = dz_0 \in \Omega_{C,0}^1$. Hence $C(S, P_S; (\mu, r_\alpha)) \in \text{Teich}''$. It is easy to see that for given (S, P_S) the data $(\mu; \{r_\alpha\})$ form a contractible space. So $(S, P_S) \in \text{Teich}'$ defines a canonical "homotopy point" in Teich'' . In this way we get a morphism of groupoids $\text{Teich}' \rightarrow \text{Teich}''$ which is an equivalence of categories.

To lift this equivalence to $\widetilde{\text{Teich}}' \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Teich}}''$, note that $H(S) = H^1(C, \mathbb{R})$. The complex structure on C defines the Hodge subspace $H^0(C, \Omega_C^1) \subset H(S)_\mathbb{C}$, which is a point h_C on the corresponding Siegel half plane (see 4.1.1). Now let us interpret $\mathcal{T}_{H(S)}$ as a fundamental groupoid of the space denoted by \mathcal{H} in (4.1.1.1). For $y \in \mathcal{T}_{H(S)}$ put $y_C := y(h_C) \in \det^{\otimes 2}(H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)) \setminus \{0\}$. Our equivalence $\widetilde{\text{Teich}}' \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Teich}}''$ is given by the formula $(S, P_S, y) \mapsto (C, y_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, y_C)$.

4.2.4 The above equivalence transforms γ_y to the loop $\theta \mapsto (C, y_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, e^{i\theta} y)$, and transforms the Dehn twist d_{x_β} to the loop $\theta \mapsto (C, y_\alpha, e^{i\theta} \delta_\beta^\alpha \nu_\alpha, y)$.

4.3 Operations in Teich. We will need the following ones:

(i) One has a functor "disjoint union" $\amalg : \text{Teich} \times \text{Teich} \rightarrow \text{Teich}$. According to 1.1.6 it lifts in a canonical way to a functor $\amalg : \widetilde{\text{Teich}} \times \widetilde{\text{Teich}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Teich}}$. Clearly $\text{Teich}, \widetilde{\text{Teich}}$ are strictly commutative monoidal categories, and the projection $\text{Teich} \rightarrow \text{Sets}, (S, P_S) \mapsto P_S$, commutes with \amalg .

(ii) *Deleting of a point.* For a finite set A and $\alpha \in A$ one has a canonical functor $del_\alpha : \text{Teich}_A \rightarrow \text{Teich}_{A \setminus \{\alpha\}}, \widetilde{\text{Teich}}_A \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Teich}}_{A \setminus \{\alpha\}}$. In "holomorphic" language (4.2.2) this functor just deletes y_α, ν_α . In "topological" language (4.2.1) one should delete the component ∂S_{x_α} by glueing a "cup" to ∂S_{x_α} .

(iii) *Sewing.* Let A be a finite set, and $\alpha, \beta \in A, \alpha \neq \beta$, two elements. One has a canonical Sewing Functor $S_{\alpha, \beta} : \text{Teich}_A \rightarrow \text{Teich}_{A \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}}, \widetilde{\text{Teich}}_A \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Teich}}_{A \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}}$. Let us define $S_{\alpha, \beta}$ in combinatorial language first. For a surface $(S, A) \in \text{Teich}'$ choose a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \partial S_{x_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} \partial S_{x_\beta}, \varphi(x_\alpha) = x_\beta$, reversing orientations. Our $S_{\alpha, \beta}(S, A) \in \text{Teich}'_{A \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}}$ is S with two boundary components identified by means of φ . Since the φ 's form a contractible space, this surface does not depend on the choice of φ . Note that either $H(S) = H(S_{\alpha, \beta}(S, A))$ (if α and β lie in different connected components of S), or $H(S)$ coincides with a subquotient of $H(S_{\alpha, \beta}(S, A))$ in a manner described in 4.1.5. In any case

one has a canonical equivalence $\mathcal{T}_{H(S)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{H(S_{\alpha,\beta}(S,A))}$. This defines $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta} : \widetilde{\text{Teich}}'_A \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Teich}}'_{A \setminus \{\alpha,\beta\}}$.

4.3.1 To define $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}$ in holomorphic language, take $(C, y_\gamma, \nu_\gamma) \in \text{Teich}''_A$. Consider a curve $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ with a single quadratic singularity obtained from C by “clutching” y_α and y_β together. One knows that curves with a single quadratic singularity form a smooth part of the divisor of singular curves in the modular stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{A \setminus \{\alpha,\beta\}}$ of curves with at most quadratic singularities. The fiber of the normal bundle N to this divisor at $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ is canonically identified with $T_{C,y_\alpha} \otimes T_{C,y_\beta}$. Hence $\nu_\alpha^{-1} \cdot \nu_\beta^{-1}$ is a non-zero vector of this normal bundle. It defines a “point at infinity” of the modular stack $\mathcal{M}_{A \setminus \{\alpha,\beta\}}$ of smooth curves (for a detailed account on “points at infinity” see [D]), which is a correctly defined (up to unique canonical isomorphism) object $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(C, y_\gamma, \nu_\gamma) \in \text{Teich}''_{A \setminus \{\alpha,\beta\}}$. To lift $gl_{\alpha\beta}$ to a functor between $\widetilde{\text{Teich}}''$'s, notice that the line bundle λ over \mathcal{M} with fibers $\lambda_C := \det H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$ extends canonically to a line bundle λ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$: if C' has quadratic singularities, one has $\lambda_{C'} := \det H^0(C, \omega_{C'})$, where $\omega_{C'}$ is the dualizing sheaf. Define the \mathbb{C}^* -bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ to be $\lambda^{\otimes 2} \setminus \{\text{zero section}\}$. Recall that for any $C' \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ one has a canonical isomorphism $\lambda_{C'}^{\otimes 2} = \lambda_{\widetilde{C}'}^{\otimes 2}$, where \widetilde{C}' is the normalization of C' (recall that $\omega_{C'}/\omega_{\widetilde{C}'}$ is a skyscraper sheaf, supported at singular points, trivialized canonically up to sign using residues). Hence the fibers of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ over $(C, y_\gamma, \nu_\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(C, y_\gamma, \nu_\alpha)$ are nearby fibers of the same \mathbb{C}^* -fibration, hence one has a canonical identification of their fundamental groupoids. This defines the desired lifting $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta} : \widetilde{\text{Teich}}''_A \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Teich}}''_{A \setminus \{\alpha,\beta\}}$. It is easy to verify that the equivalence 4.2.3 identifies the above “topological” and “holomorphic” constructions of $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}$.

4.3.2 It is convenient to consider both sewing and deleting of points simultaneously. To do this, consider a category, $\text{Sets}^\#$, whose objects are finite sets, and whose morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$ are pairs (i_f, ϕ_f) , where $i_f : B \hookrightarrow A$ is an embedding, and $\phi_f = \{\phi_{f\delta}\}$ is a collection of two-element mutually non-intersecting subsets $\phi_{f\delta}$ of $A \setminus i_f(B)$. The composition is obvious: if $g : B \rightarrow C$ is another morphism, then $g \circ f = (i_f \circ i_g, \phi_f \cup \phi_g)$. For f as above we put $A_f^1 := \coprod_{\delta} \phi_{f\delta}$, $A_f^0 = A \setminus (i_f(B) \cup A_f^1)$, so $A = i_f(B) \coprod A_f^0 \coprod A_f^1$.

Now for any morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ we have a canonical functor $f_* : \text{Teich}_A \rightarrow \text{Teich}_B$, $\widetilde{\text{Teich}}_A \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Teich}}_B$ that deletes points in A_f^0 and sews pairwise points in all $\phi_{f\delta}$'s. One has

$(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*$, and each f_* is a composition of elementary deletings of a single point, and glueing of a single pair. Clearly these f_* 's define a cofibered categories $Teich^\#, \widetilde{Teich}^\#$ over $Sets^\#$ with old fibers $Teich_A, \widetilde{Teich}_A$, respectively.

Note that all these categories are strictly commutative monoidal categories with respect to "disjoint union" operation \amalg ; all the functors commute with \amalg .

4.4 Representations of Teich; central charge. Let A be a finite set. Denote by \mathcal{R}_A the category of finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -representations of $Teich_A$ (i.e., the objects of \mathcal{R}_A are functors $L : Teich_A \rightarrow Vect$), and by $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ the same for \widetilde{Teich}_A . More generally, if Q is a component (i.e., a full subcategory) of $Teich_A$, we denote by $\mathcal{R}_{A,Q}$ the category of representations of Q , identified with the full subcategory of \mathcal{R}_A that consists of representations supported on Q . For a representation $V \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ and $X \in \widetilde{Teich}_A$ we denote by V_X the value of V at X .

4.4.1 Definition. A representation $V \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ has multiplicative central charge $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ if for any $X \in \widetilde{Teich}$ the canonical element $\gamma_0 \in Aut X$ acts on V_X as multiplication by a . \square

For any $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ denote by $\mathcal{R}_{aA} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ the full subcategory of representations of central charge a . In particular, $\mathcal{R}_{1A} = \mathcal{R}_A$.

For any morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in $Sets^\#$ the functor $f_* : \widetilde{Teich}_A \rightarrow \widetilde{Teich}_B$ defines the corresponding functor $f^* : \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_B \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$; one has $f^*(\mathcal{R}_{aB}) \subset \mathcal{R}_{aA}$. The functors f^* define a category $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^*$ fibered over $Sets^\#$ with fibers $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$, together with fibered subcategories $\mathcal{R}_a^\# \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^\#$ with fibers \mathcal{R}_{aA} .

4.4.2 Here is an explicit description of representations. From a combinatorial point of view a representations $V \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_A$ assigns to each surface $(S, A) \in Teich_A$ a local system V_S on Lagrangian grassmanian $\Lambda_{H(S)}$ (see 4.1.2), and to each $\varphi \in Hom((S, A), (S', A))$ a lifting of the corresponding diffeomorphism $\Lambda_{H(S)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{H(S')}$ to $V_S \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{S'}$. This V lies in \mathcal{R}_{aA} if the monodromy matrix of the loop $\gamma_0 = 1 \in \mathbb{Z} = \pi_1(\Lambda_{H(S)})$ coincides with multiplication by a .

4.4.3 From a holomorphic point of view our V is a local system on the modular stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$; V lies in \mathcal{R}_{aA} if the monodromy around the fiber of the projection $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A$ equals multiplication by a .

Recall that \mathbb{C} -local systems on smooth algebraic manifolds can be identified with algebraic vector bundles with integrable connections (= lisse D -modules) having regular singularities at infinity (see [D], [Bo]). So our V is a lisse D -module on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$ with regular singularities at ∞ . Assume that $V \in \mathcal{R}_{aA}$. Choose $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ("additive central charge") such that $\exp(2\pi ic) = a$. Let $D_{\lambda^c} = \mathcal{D}_{cA(\lambda)}$ be the ring of differential operators on the "line bundle" $\lambda^{\otimes c}$. This is a twisted differential operator ring on \mathcal{M}_A (see 3.2.6-3.2.8). Recall that D_{λ^c} -modules can be identified canonically with D -modules on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_A$, monodromic along the fibers of π with monodromy a (see, e.g., [V]). In particular, V is a lisse D_{λ^c} -module on \mathcal{M}_A having regular singularities at ∞ .

4.5 Axioms of a fusion category. We will start with preliminary data.

4.5.1 Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian \mathbb{C} -category ("category of modules"). We assume that \mathcal{A} is semisimple, for $X \in \mathcal{A}$ the \mathbb{C} -vector space $\text{End}X$ is finite dimensional, and there are finitely many isomorphism classes of irreducibles. Denote by $\text{Irr}\mathcal{A}$ the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible objects in \mathcal{A} .

We should also have the following data:

- a contravariant functor ("duality") $*$: $\mathcal{A}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ together with a natural isomorphism $** \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$
- a distinguished irreducible object ("vacuum module") $\mathbb{1}$ together with an isomorphism $\nu : \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} * \mathbb{1}$ such that $*(\nu) \circ \nu = \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}}$.
- an automorphism d of the identity functor $\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$, called the Dehn automorphism, such that $d* = *d$ and $d_{\mathbb{1}} = 1$. Clearly to give d is the same as giving a collection of numbers $d_j = d_{I_j} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ for $j \in \text{Irr}\mathcal{A}$ (here I_j is an irreducible object of class j ; recall that $\text{Aut}I_j = \mathbb{C}^*$).

4.5.2 For any finite set B we have a category $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes B}$: this is an abelian \mathbb{C} -category equipped with a polylinear functor $\otimes : \mathcal{A}^B = \prod_{b \in B} \mathcal{A}_b \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B}$, $(X_b)_{b \in B} \rightarrow \bigotimes_{b \in B} X_b$, which is universal in an obvious sense (see [D] § for an extensive discussion in a less trivial situation). The category $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes B}$ is semisimple. Its irreducible objects are tensor products of irreducibles in \mathcal{A} , so $\text{Irr}\mathcal{A}^{\otimes B} = (\text{Irr}\mathcal{A})^B$. Any isomorphism $\varphi : B \rightarrow B'$ induces a canonical equivalence $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B'}$, $\otimes X_b \mapsto \otimes X_{\varphi^{-1}(b')}$.

4.5.3 We put $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 0} = Vect$. One may identify $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes \{1,2\}} = \mathcal{A}^{\otimes 2}$ with the category of C-linear functors $F = \mathcal{A}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Namely, to an object $X \otimes Y \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes 2}$ there corresponds the functor $F_{X \otimes Y}$ defined by formula $F_{X \otimes Y}(Z) = Hom(Z, X) \otimes Y$. We define a canonical object ("regular representation") $R \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes 2}$ as an object that corresponds to the functor $* : \mathcal{A}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Here is an explicit construction of R . For each $j \in Irr \mathcal{A}$ pick an irreducible object I_j of class j . Then one has a canonical isomorphism $R = \bigoplus_{j \in Irr \mathcal{A}} I_j \otimes *I_j$. Note that R is symmetric: for the transposition $\sigma = \{1, 2\}$ acting on $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 2}$ one has a canonical isomorphism $\sigma(R) = R$. So for any two element set B we have a canonical object $R_B \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B}$.

4.5.4 For finite sets A, B and a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in $Sets^\#$ (see 4.3.2) we define a C-linear functor $f^* : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A}$ by the formula

$$f^*\left(\bigotimes_{b \in B} X_b\right) = \left[\bigotimes_{a \in i_j(B)} X_{i_j^{-1}(a)} \right] \otimes \left[\bigotimes_{a \in A_j^0} ident_a \right] \otimes \left[\bigotimes_{\phi_{j\delta} \in \phi_j} R_{\phi_{j\delta}} \right].$$

Clearly $(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$, so the f^* 's define a fibered category $\mathcal{A}^\#$ over $Sets^\#$ with fibers $\mathcal{A}_A^\# = \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A}$. The tensor product functor $\otimes : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B_1} \times \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\otimes (B_1 \amalg B_2)}$ defines on $\mathcal{A}^\#$ the structure of commutative monoidal category such that the projection $\mathcal{A}^\# \rightarrow Sets^\#$ is a monoidal functor.

4.5.4 DEFINITION. A fusion structure on \mathcal{A} is a collection of functors $\langle \ \rangle : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A} \times \widetilde{Teich}_A \rightarrow Vect$, $(X, S) \mapsto \langle X \rangle_S$ (here A is any finite set), together with natural isomorphism (i), (ii):

- (i) $\langle X \otimes Y \rangle_{S \sqcup T} = \langle X \rangle_S \otimes \langle Y \rangle_T$ for $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A}, Y \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B}, S \in \widetilde{Teich}_A, T \in \widetilde{Teich}_B$.
- (ii) $\langle f^* X \rangle_T = \langle X \rangle_{f.T}$ for any morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in $Sets^\#$, $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B}, T \in \widetilde{Teich}_A$.

These isomorphisms should be compatible in an obvious sense. We also demand that:

- a. For fixed $S \in \widetilde{Teich}_A$ the functor $\langle \ \rangle_S : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A} \rightarrow Vect$ is additive.
- b. $\langle \ \rangle$ transforms Dehn automorphism to Dehn twist, i.e., for a finite set A , an element $\alpha \in A$ and a collection of objects $X_\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$, $\gamma \in A$, the automorphisms of $\langle \bigotimes_{\gamma \neq \alpha} X_\gamma \rangle_S$ induced by $\bigotimes_{\gamma \neq \alpha} id_{X_\gamma} \otimes d_{X_\alpha} \in Aut \bigotimes_{\gamma \neq \alpha} X_\gamma$ and by $d_\alpha \in Aut S$ coincide.
- c. $\langle \ \rangle$ is non degenerate in the sense that for any non-zero $X \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $Y \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\langle X \otimes Y \rangle_{S_0} \neq 0$ where S_0 is a 2-sphere with two punctures.

We will say that $(\mathcal{A}, \langle \rangle)$ is a fusion category of multiplicative central charge $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ if for any $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A}$ the representation $\langle X \rangle$ of $\widetilde{\text{Teich}}$ lies in \mathcal{R}_{aA} . \square

4.5.5 Clearly (ii) just means that $X \mapsto \langle X \rangle$ is a cartesian functor $\mathcal{A}^\# \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^\#$ between categories fibered over $\text{Sets}^\#$. Since any morphism in $\text{Sets}^\#$ is a successive deleting of points and sewing of couples of points, we may rewrite (ii) as two compatibilities. Namely

- (ii)' $\langle X \rangle_{\text{del}_\alpha S} = \langle X \otimes \text{ident}_\alpha \rangle_S$ for any finite set A , $\alpha \in A$, $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A \setminus \{\alpha\}}$, $S \in \widetilde{\text{Teich}}_A$.
(ii)'' $\langle X \rangle_{S_{\alpha,\beta} S} = \langle X \otimes R_{\alpha\beta} \rangle_S$ for any finite set A , a pair of elements $\alpha, \beta \in A$, $\alpha \neq \beta$, $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}}$, $S \in \widetilde{\text{Teich}}_A$.

4.5.6 Here is a reformulation of 4.5.5(ii)'' in "holomorphic" language 4.4.3. For $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}}$ our $\langle X \rangle$ is a lisse D_{λ^c} -module with regular singularities at infinity. As was explained in 4.3.1 we have a canonical surjective smooth map $\pi : \mathcal{M}_A \rightarrow N \setminus \{\text{zero section}\}$, where N is the normal bundle to the (smooth part of) the divisor at infinity of $\mathcal{M}_{A \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}}$. We have the canonical specialization function Sp that assigns to a lisse D_{λ^c} -module with regular singularities at infinity on $\mathcal{M}_{A \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}}$, the one on $N \setminus \{\text{zero section}\}$. Hence we have the D_{λ^c} -module $\pi^* Sp \langle X \rangle$ on \mathcal{M}_A , and 4.5.5 (ii)' is an isomorphism $\pi^* Sp \langle X \rangle = \langle X \otimes R_{\alpha\beta} \rangle$.

4.6 Fusion functors. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \langle \rangle)$ be a fusion category. Let A, B be finite sets. Any object $S \in \widetilde{\text{Teich}}_{A \sqcup B}$ defines a functor $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_S^{A,B} : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B}$ by the formula $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_S(X), Y) = \langle X \otimes *Y \rangle^*$, $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A}$, $Y \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B}$. We will call \mathcal{F}_S the fusion functor along S . The automorphisms of S act as automorphisms of \mathcal{F}_S . Note that if $B = \emptyset$ then $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes B} = \text{Vect}$ and $\mathcal{F}_S = \langle \rangle_S$. If $A = \emptyset$, then \mathcal{F} is a functor $\widetilde{\text{Teich}}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B}$, i.e., an $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes B}$ -valued representation of $\widetilde{\text{Teich}}_B$.

Let C be a third finite set, $T \in \widetilde{\text{Teich}}_{B \sqcup C}$. We define $T \circ S \in \widetilde{\text{Teich}}_{A \sqcup C}$ as the surface obtained from $T \sqcup S$ by sewing the B -boundary components.

4.6.1 LEMMA. There is a canonical isomorphism of functors $\mathcal{F}_{T \circ S} = \mathcal{F}_S \circ \mathcal{F}_T : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\otimes C}$.

PROOF: For $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A}, Z \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes C}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_{T \circ S}(X), Z) &= \langle X \otimes *Z \rangle_{T \circ S}^* \stackrel{4.5.4(ii)}{=} \langle X \otimes R^{\otimes B} \otimes *Z \rangle_{T \cup S}^* \\
&\stackrel{4.5.4(i)}{=} \bigoplus_{I_j \in \text{Irr } \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B}} \langle X \otimes *I_j \rangle_S^* \otimes \langle I_j \otimes *Z \rangle_T^* \\
&= \bigoplus \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_S(X), I_j) \otimes \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_T(I_j), Z) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_T \circ \mathcal{F}_S(X), Z).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality comes since

$$\mathcal{F}_S(X) = \bigoplus \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_S(X), I_j)^* \otimes I_j.$$

□

Now assume that $A = \{0\}, B = \{\infty\}$ are one point sets. Let $\text{Teich}'_{\{0, \infty\}} \subset \text{Teich}'_{\{0, \infty\}}$ be the full subcategory of “cylinders”. So $\text{Teich}'_{\{0, \infty\}}$ is a connected groupoid; for $(S, 0, \infty) \in \text{Teich}'_{\{0, \infty\}}$ the group (of its automorphisms) is a free abelian group with generator $d_0 = d_\infty^{-1}$. Denote by $S_0 = (S_0, 0, \infty)$ the object of $\text{Teich}'_{\{0, \infty\}}$ such that for any $(S, 0, \infty) \in \text{Teich}'_{\{0, \infty\}}$ one has $\text{Hom}(S_0, S) = \{ \text{set of homotopy classes of paths in } S \text{ connecting } 0 \text{ and } \infty \}$. This is a canonical object of $\text{Teich}'_{\{0, \infty\}}$. Its “holomorphic” counterpart is $(\mathbf{P}^1, 0, \infty, dt(0), dt^{-1}(\infty)) \in \text{Teich}''_{\{0, \infty\}}$, where t is a standard parameter on \mathbf{P}^1 . One identifies this point of Teich'' with S_0 canonically by drawing the path $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ from 0 to ∞ . Note that since $H(S) = 0$ for $S \in \text{Teich}'_{\{0, \infty\}}$ we have an obvious embedding $\text{Teich}'_{\{0, \infty\}} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Teich}}'_{\{0, \infty\}}$; the “holomorphic” counterpart of this section comes since the line bundle λ is canonically trivialized over the “moduli space” of genus zero curves. So we will consider S_0 as a canonical object of $\widetilde{\text{Teich}}'_{\{0, \infty\}}$. Note that if A is any finite set and $T \in \widetilde{\text{Teich}}_{\mathcal{A} \sqcup \{0\}}$, then one has an obvious canonical isomorphism $S_0 \circ T = T$. According to 4.6.1 this gives a canonical isomorphism of functors $\mathcal{F}_{S_0} \circ \mathcal{F}_T = \mathcal{F}_T$. In fact, one has

4.6.2 LEMMA. *There is a canonical isomorphism of functors $\mathcal{F}_{S_0} = id_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ that generates the above isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_{S_0} \circ \mathcal{F}_T = \mathcal{F}_T$, for all $T \in \widetilde{\text{Teich}}_{\mathcal{A} \sqcup \{0\}}$.*

PROOF: Assume that we know that \mathcal{F}_{S_0} is an equivalence of categories. Then the desired isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_{S_0} = id_{\mathcal{A}}$ would be $\mathcal{F}_{S_0}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{S_0} \circ \mathcal{F}_{S_0} = \mathcal{F}_{S_0})$. Since \mathcal{A} is semi-simple, to see

that \mathcal{F}_{S_0} is an equivalence it suffices to prove that \mathcal{F}_{S_0} induces the identity map of the Grothendieck group $K(\mathcal{A})$. The irreducible I_i form the basis in $K(\mathcal{A})$. Put $\mathcal{F}_{S_0}(I_i) = f_i^j I_j$; we have to show that $f_i^j = \delta_i^j$. We know that $f_i^j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $f_i^j = \langle I_j \otimes *I_i \rangle_{S_0}^*$ we see, by 4.5.4c, that any row or column of the matrix f_i^j is non-zero. Since $\mathcal{F}_{S_0}^2 = \mathcal{F}_{S_0}$, these properties imply that $\mathcal{F}_{S_0} = id_{K(\mathcal{A})}$ (just note that $\mathcal{F}_{S_0}^2(I_i) = \mathcal{F}_{S_0}(I_i)$ implies \mathcal{F}_{S_0} induces a transposition of the set of those I_j 's that $f_i^j \neq 0$; hence \mathcal{F}_{S_0} is a surjective endomorphism of $K(\mathcal{A})$, and hence it is the identity). \square

4.6.3 Assume now that S is a connected surface of genus 0 and B is a one point set. Then the corresponding functors $\mathcal{F}_S : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, together with $*$ and d from 4.5.1, define on \mathcal{A} the structure of a balanced rigid tensor category (see, e.g. [K]). Here are some details. Denote by S_n the surface obtained from a unit disc by cutting out n holes with centers on the real line; the marked points lie on the real line to the right:

$$S_3 : \quad O^{x_1} \quad O^{x_2} \quad O^{x_3} \quad x_\infty$$

Put $\mathcal{F}_{S_n}(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n) = X_1 \widehat{\otimes} \cdots \widehat{\otimes} X_n$. The axiom 1.5.4 (ii)" implies immediately that the operation $\widehat{\otimes} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is strictly associative: one has $X_1 \widehat{\otimes} X_2 \widehat{\otimes} X_3 = (X_1 \widehat{\otimes} X_2) \widehat{\otimes} X_3 = X_1 \widehat{\otimes} (X_2 \widehat{\otimes} X_3)$. Consider the following diffeomorphism σ of S_2 that fixes ∂S_{2x_∞} and interchanges ∂S_{2x_1} and ∂S_{2x_2} (we move the holes in a way that the marked point remain on the very right of the hole):

This diffeomorphism induces a natural isomorphism $\sigma_{X_1, X_2} : X_1 \widehat{\otimes} X_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} X_2 \widehat{\otimes} X_1$. It is easy to see that σ satisfies the braid relations, and also one has a relation $\sigma^2 = d_{x_\infty} d_{x_1}^{-1} d_{x_2}^{-1}$ in $Aut S_2$. These imply the hexagon axiom for $\widehat{\otimes}$, and the axiom $\sigma_{X_1, X_2}^2 = d_{X_1 \widehat{\otimes} X_2} \circ (d_{X_1} \widehat{\otimes} d_{X_2})^{-1}$ of balanced tensor categories.

4.7 The fusion algebra. The above tensor structure on \mathcal{A} defines a commutative ring structure on the Grothendieck group $K(\mathcal{A})$. One calls $K(\mathcal{A})$ the fusion algebra of \mathcal{A} . Note that $K(\mathcal{A})$ has a distinguished basis $\{I_j\}$ of irreducibles. By 4.5.5 (ii)' the base element 1 that corresponds to vacuum module is the unit in $K(\mathcal{A})$.

Now 4.6.2 implies that $(K(\mathcal{A}), \{I_j\})$ is a *based ring* in the sense of [L] 1.1. According to [L] 1.2, $K(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a semisimple algebra. Hence $K(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ has another canonical basis – the one that consists of mutually orthogonal idempotents.

Let T be a torus (= oriented genus one surface). Choose a basis γ_1, γ_2 in $H_1(T, \mathbb{Z})$ compatible with the orientation, so that γ_1, γ_2 are cycles on T that intersect at one point a . Consider the vector space $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_T$. Note that if we cut T along γ_1 , then γ_2 will become a path that connects two copies of a on the components of the boundary, hence it identifies this surface with the surface S_0 of 4.6.2. According to 4.5.5 (ii)'', 4.6.2, the corresponding decomposition 4.5.5(ii)'' gives the basis in $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_T$ numbered by irreducibles in \mathcal{A} , i.e., we have the isomorphism $i_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} : K(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_T$ that transforms I_j 's to this basis. Interchanging γ_1 and γ_2 we get the isomorphism $i_{\gamma_2, -\gamma_1} : K(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_T$. The composition $i_{\gamma_2, -\gamma_1}^{-1} \circ i_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \in \text{Aut} K(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is called the *Fourier transform*. According to the Verlinde conjecture, proved by Moore-Zeiberg, the Fourier transform maps a canonical basis $\{I_j\}$ of irreducibles to the basis proportional to one of the idempotents.

THIS SECTION IS NOT YET WRITTEN.

§6. ALGEBRAIC FIELD THEORIES

6.1 Axioms. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ be any complex number. An algebraic rational field theory (in dimension 1) of central charge c consists of data (i) - (iv) subject to axioms a-g below:

6.1.1

(i) A fusion category \mathcal{A} of multiplicative central charge $\exp(2\pi ic)$ (see 4.5.4)

(ii) An additive "realization" functor $r : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V}_1)_c\text{-mod}$ (see 3.4.7).

We assume that for any $X \in \mathcal{A}$

a. $r(X)$ is a higher weight module, i.e., the "coordinate module" $r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t)), dt(0)}$ is a (direct) sum of generalized eigenspaces $r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t)), \lambda} = \{m \in r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t))} :$

$(L_0 - \lambda)^N m = 0 \text{ for } N \gg 0\}$ for the operator L_0 (see 3.4.7, 7.3.1). Each $r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t)), \lambda}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, is a finite dimensional vector space.

b. $r(d_X) = T_{r(X)}$, where d_X is the Dehn automorphism (see 4.5.1) and T is the monodromy automorphism (see 7.3.2).

Note that these axioms imply that $r(\mathbb{1})$ is actually a $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V})_c$ -module (since $T_{r(\mathbb{1})} = id_{r(\mathbb{1})}$).

(iii) A fixed "vacuum" vector $1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{C}, r(\mathbb{1}))$.

We assume that

c. 1 is a non-zero vector invariant with respect to the action of $s_{\mathcal{O}_F}(T_{-1F}) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_F$ (see 3.4.1).

6.1.2. Now let S be a smooth scheme, $\pi : C_S \rightarrow S$ a family of smooth projective curves, $A \subset C_S(S)$ a finite disjoint set of sections, and $\{\nu_a\}_{a \in A}$ 1-jets of parameters at points in A . This collection defines S -localization data ψ_c for $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^A, \mathcal{V}_1^A)$ (see 3.4.7, 3.4.5). The corresponding algebra of twisted differential operators D_{ψ_c} coincides with D_{λ^c} (see 3.5.6). Hence, by 3.3.5, we have the S -localization functor $\Delta_{\psi_c} \circ r^{\otimes A} : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^c}\text{-mod}$. On the other hand, by 4.5.4, 4.4.3, the fusion structure on \mathcal{A} defines the functor $\langle \ \rangle_{C_S} : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^c}\text{-mod}$ such that for any $\otimes X_a \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A}$ the corresponding D_{λ^c} -module $\langle \otimes X_a \rangle_{C_S}$ is lisse with regular singularities at infinity. Our next data is

(iv) A morphism of functors $\gamma : \Delta_{\psi_c} \circ r^{\otimes A} \rightarrow \langle \ \rangle_{C_S}$.

For $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A}$ denote by $r(X)_{A, C_S} = r(X)_{A, \nu_A, C_S}$ the \mathcal{O}_S -module that corresponds to

the S -object “formal completion of C_S at A with 1-jet of parameters ν_A ” of \mathcal{V}_1^A (see 3.4.3, 3.4.6, 3.4.7). If $X = \otimes X_a$, then $r(X)_{A,C_S} = \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} r(X_a)_{a,C_S}$. Recall that $\Delta_{\psi_c} \circ r^{\otimes A}(X)$, considered as an \mathcal{O}_S -module, is a quotient of $r(X)_{A,C_S}$. For any section φ of $r(X)_{A,C_S}$ put $\langle \varphi \rangle_{C_S} = \gamma(\varphi) \in \langle X \rangle_{C_S}$. This is the “correlator of the field φ along C_S ”.

The following axioms should hold:

- d. γ commutes with base change, i.e., γ is a morphism of D_{λ_c} -modules on the modular stack \mathcal{M}_A .
- e. For $a \in A$, objects $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A} \setminus \{a\}$ and a section $\varphi \in r(S, r(X)_{A,C_S})$ one has $\langle \varphi \rangle_{C_S} = \langle \varphi \otimes 1_a \rangle_{C_S}$. Here $\langle \varphi \rangle_{C_S}$ is a section of $\langle X \rangle_{C_S}$ (we forget about the point a), and $\langle \varphi \otimes 1_a \rangle_{C_S}$ is a section of $\langle X \otimes \mathbb{1}_a \rangle_{C_S}$; the two D_{λ_c} -modules are identified via 4.5.5 (ii)′.

6.1.3 Now consider the two pointed curve $C_0 = (\mathbb{P}^1, 0, \infty, dt(0), dt^{-1}(\infty))$. We have coordinates t at 0 and t^{-1} at ∞ . For any object $X \in \mathcal{A}$ consider the pairing

$$\langle \rangle_{C_0} : r(*X)_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \otimes r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t^{-1}))} = r(*X)_{C_{0,0}} \otimes r(X)_{C_{0,\infty}} \longrightarrow \langle *X \otimes X \rangle_{C_0} \stackrel{4.6.2}{=} \text{End } X$$

Here we write simply $\mathbb{C}((t))$ for $(\mathbb{C}((t)), dt(0)) \in \mathcal{V}_1$. This pairing is a morphism of $\text{End } X$ -bimodules, hence it defines a linear map

$$i : r(*X)_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{End } X}(r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t^{-1}))}, \text{End } X) =: r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t^{-1}))}^*$$

Note that $r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t^{-1}))}^*$ is a $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbb{C}((t^{-1}))}$ -module in an obvious manner. Denote by $*r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t^{-1}))} \subset r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t^{-1}))}^*$ the sum of generalized eigenspaces of the operator $L_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbb{C}((t))}$. The pairing $\langle \rangle_{C_0}$ is $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\})$ -invariant (by definition of Δ_{ψ} , see 3.4.4), hence i commutes with the L_0 -action. By axiom *a* above we see that $i(r(*X)_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \subset *r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t^{-1}))}$. Our next axiom is

- f. The map $i : r(*X)_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \longrightarrow *r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t^{-1}))}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

It suffices to verify *f* for irreducible X ’s only.

6.1.4 Our final axiom *g* (“factorization at infinity”) describes the asymptotic expansion of correlators near the boundary of the moduli space. So consider the following situation.

Let $\pi : C_S \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[q]]$ be a proper flat family of curves such that the generic fiber C_{η} is smooth and the special fiber C_0 has exactly one singular point which is quadratic.

Let $B = \{b_i\}$ be a finite non-empty set of sections of π such that the points $b_i(0) \in C_0$ are pairwise different, and let $\nu_i \in b_i^* \omega_{C_S/S}$ be a 1-jet of coordinates at the b_i 's. Then $\mathcal{C} = (C_\eta, b_i, \nu_i)$ is a $\mathbb{C}((q))$ -point of \mathcal{M}_B .

Let t_1, t_2 be formal coordinates at a such that $t_1 t_2 = q$. According to 3.6.1 we get a smooth S -curve C_S^\vee with points $a_1, a_2 \in C_S^\vee(S)$ and formal coordinates t_i at a_i . Put $A = B \sqcup \{a_1, a_2\}$. Then $\mathcal{C}^\vee = (C_\eta^\vee, b_i, a_1, a_2; \nu_i; q^{-1} dt_1(a_1), dt_2(a_2))$ is a $\mathbb{C}((q))$ -point of \mathcal{M}_A .

The S -curves C_S and C_S^\vee define the corresponding determinant line bundles on S . According to 3.6.3 their ratio is canonically stratified, hence the corresponding rings of differential operators are canonically identified; we denote this algebra D_{λ^c} .

For any object $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes B}$ we get the lisse D_{λ^c} -modules $\langle X \rangle_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\langle X \otimes R \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^\vee}$ on η with regular singularities at $q = 0$. According to 4.5.6 we have a canonical isomorphism between their specializations to $q = 0$ (these are D -modules on the punctured tangent line at $q = 0$). Since Sp_0 is an equivalence of categories, we have a canonical isomorphism of D_{λ^c} -modules $\langle X \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} = \langle X \otimes R \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^\vee}$.

To formulate axiom g we need to consider a special vector in $r(R)$. Recall that $R = \bigoplus_{I_j \in \text{Irr } \mathcal{A}} I_j \otimes *I_j$. Choose a basis $\{e_j^K\}$ in each $r(I_j)_{\mathbb{C}((t))}$ compatible with grading by generalized eigenspaces of L_0 . Here, as above, we write simply $\mathbb{C}((t))$ for $(\mathbb{C}((t)), dt(0)) \in \mathcal{V}_1$.

Below we will use the following notation: if $F \in \mathcal{V}$ is any local field, t_F a parameter in F , $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $e \in r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t))}$, then $e_{(F, t_F)} \in r(X)_{F, dt_F(0)}$ is a vector that corresponds to e via the isomorphism $(\mathbb{C}((t)), dt(0)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (F, dt_F(0))$, $t \mapsto t_F$.

According to axiom f . above, we get the dual basis $\{*e_j^K\}$ of $r(*I_j)_{\mathbb{C}((t))}$, namely $*e_j^K = i^{-1} e_j^{K*}$, where $e_j^{K*} \in *r(I_j)_{(\mathbb{C}((t^{-1})), t^{-1})}$ is the dual basis to $e_j^K_{(\mathbb{C}((t^{-1})), t^{-1})}$.

Now let $\varphi = \varphi(q)$ be any section of $r(X)_{B, \nu_B, \mathcal{C}} = r(X)_{B, \nu_B, \mathcal{C}^\vee}$ over S . Consider the correlator $a_j^K = \langle \varphi \otimes e_j^K_{(\mathbb{C}((t_1)), q^{-1} t_1)} \otimes *e_j^K_{(\mathbb{C}((t_2)), t_2)} \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^\vee}$: this is a section of $\langle X \otimes I_j \otimes *I_j \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^\vee}$. Note that $\langle X \otimes I_j \otimes *I_j \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^\vee}$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}((q))$ -vector space. One has

6.1.5 LEMMA. The series $\sum_K a_j^K$ converges; its limit $\langle \varphi \otimes c_j \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^\vee} \in \langle X \otimes I_j \otimes *I_j \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^\vee}$ does not depend on a particular choice of basis $\{e_j^K\}$. \square

Assuming the lemma, our final axiom is

g. One has $\langle \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} = \langle \varphi \otimes \sum_j C_j \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^{\vee}} = \sum_j \langle \varphi \otimes C_j \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^{\vee}}$ via the above canonical isomorphism

$$\langle x \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} = \langle X \otimes R \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^{\vee}} = \oplus \langle X \otimes I_j \otimes *I_j \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^{\vee}}.$$

PROOF OF 6.1.5: The independence of a choice of basis is straightforward. To prove that our series converges it is convenient to add a parameter u , and consider a base scheme $\tilde{S} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[u, u^{-1}]) \times S$ together with an \tilde{S} -point of \mathcal{M}_A defined by the family $C_u^{\vee} = (C_{\tilde{S}}^{\vee}, b_i, a_1, a_2; \nu_i, u dt_1, dt_2)$. We get the lisse D_{λ^c} -module $\langle X \otimes I_j \otimes *I_j \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^{\vee}}$ on \tilde{S} , and a collection of sections $a_j^K(u, q) = \langle \varphi(q) \otimes e_{j(\mathbb{C}((t_1)), ut_1)}^K \otimes *e_{j(\mathbb{C}((t_2)), t_2)}^K \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^{\vee}} \in \Gamma(\tilde{S}, \langle X \otimes I_j \otimes *I_j \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^{\vee}})$. The old picture is just the restriction of this one to the diagonal $u = q^{-1}$. Our D -module has regular singularities along the divisor $u = \infty$, so we may extend it to a vector bundle V to $\tilde{S}^- = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[u^{-1}]) \times S$ invariant with respect to operator $u\partial_u$. Our lemma would follow if we show that for any $N \gg 0$ one has $a_j^K(u, q) \in u^{-N}V$ for all but finitely many K 's. The action of the operator $u\partial_u$ on $a_j^K(u, q)$ was computed in 3.4.7.1. Namely, we have $u\partial_u(a_j^K(u, q)) = \langle \varphi(q) \otimes L_0(e_j^K)_{(\mathbb{C}((t_1)), ut_1)} \otimes *e_j^K \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^{\vee}}$, hence $a_j^K(u, q)$ is a generalized eigenvector of $u\partial_u$ with eigenvalue equal to an eigenvalue of L_0 at e_j^K . Axiom a. above implies that for any $\bar{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the space $\bigoplus_{\substack{\mu = \bar{\mu} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \\ \text{Re } \mu > c}} r(I_j)_{\mathcal{C}(t)\mu} \subset r(I_j)_{\mathcal{C}(t)}$ is finite dimensional. On the other hand, since $\langle X \otimes I_j \otimes *I_j \rangle_{\mathcal{C}^{\vee}}$ is a lisse module, there are only finitely many $\bar{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that one has a section which is a generalized eigenvector of $u\partial_u$ with eigenvalue mod \mathbb{Z} equal to $\bar{\mu}$. This implies that for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ all but finitely many a_j^K 's are generalized eigenvectors of $u\partial_u$ with $\text{Re}(\text{eigenvalue}) < c$. This implies that all but finitely many of them lie in $u^{-N}V$. \square

6.1.6 REMARK: We may consider the situation when a smooth curve degenerates to a curve with several quadratic singular points. One trivially reformulates axiom g for this situation; it is easy to see that this generalized version follows from axiom g . above (the case of one singular point).

6.1.7 Here is an example of how axiom g works. Let C be a fixed curve, $A \subset C$ a finite set, $\{\nu_a\}$, $a \in A$, 1-jets of coordinates at a 's, $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A}$, and $\varphi \in r(X)_{a, C}$. Let $x \in C \setminus A$ be a

point, t_x a parameter at x and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ distinct complex numbers. Let $x_i(q)$ be $\mathbb{C}[[q]]$ points of C defined by the formula $x_i(o) = x, t_x(x_i(q)) = \lambda_i q$. Put $t_i = t_x/q - \lambda_i$: these are parameters at x_i 's for $q \neq 0$. Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be objects in \mathcal{A} , $\psi_i \in r(Y_i)_{\mathbb{C}((t_i))}$. We would like to compute $\langle \varphi \in \psi_1(\mathbb{C}((t_1)), t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_n(\mathbb{C}((t_n)), t_n) \rangle_C \in \langle X \otimes Y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes Y_n \rangle_{(C, A, \{x_i\}, \nu_A, dt_i(x_i))}$. To do it one should blow up the point $(x, 0) \in C_S = C \times S$; denote this curve C'_S . Clearly $A, \{x_i\}$ are S -points of C'_S , and we have parameters $t_x, q/t_x$ at the (only) singular point of C'_S . The corresponding S -curve $C'_S{}^\vee$ is constant: one has $C'_S{}^\vee = C_S \amalg \mathbb{P}^1_S$; the formal parameters at $a_1 = x \in C_S, a_2 = \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1_S$ are t_x, t^{-1} , respectively. We see that C'_S comes from $(C \amalg \mathbb{P}^1; x, \infty; t_x, t^{-1})$ via the construction 3.6.4. The points $A, \{x_i\}$ on $C'_S{}^\vee$ are also constant, as well as coordinates t_i : one has $x_i = \lambda_i \in \mathbb{P}^1, t_i = t - \lambda_i$. Hence

$$\langle X \otimes Y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes Y_n \rangle_{(C; A, \{x_i\}; \nu_A, dt_i(x_i))} = \bigoplus_j \langle Y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes Y_n \otimes I_j \rangle_{(\mathbb{P}^1; \lambda_i, \infty; dt(\lambda_i), q^{-1} dt^{-1}(\infty))} \\ \otimes \langle *I_j \otimes X \rangle_{(C; x, A; dt_x(x), \nu_A)}$$

and

$$\langle \varphi \otimes \psi_1(\mathbb{C}((t_1)), t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_n(\mathbb{C}((t_n)), t_n) \rangle_C = \langle \psi_1(\mathbb{C}((t-\lambda_1)), t-\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_n(\mathbb{C}((t-\lambda_n)), t-\lambda_n) \\ \otimes e_j^K(\mathbb{C}((t^{-1})), q^{-1} t^{-1}) \rangle_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \langle *e_j^K(\mathbb{C}((t_x)), t_x) \otimes \varphi \rangle_C.$$

6.2 Global vertex operators. Assume we have an algebraic field theory as in 6.1. Let C be a smooth compact curve, $A \subset C$ a finite set of points and $\nu_a, a \in A$, a 1-jet of parameters at a 's.

6.2.1 For an object $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A}$ we have a finite dimensional vector space $\langle X \rangle_C$ and a linear map $\langle \cdot \rangle_C : r(X)_{A,C} \rightarrow \langle X \rangle_C$. Also for any n -tuple of points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in C \setminus A, x_i \neq x_j$ for $i \neq j$, we have a linear map $\langle \cdot \rangle_C : r(X)_{A,C} \otimes r(\mathbb{1})_{x_1,C} \otimes \dots \otimes r(\mathbb{1})_{x_n,C} = r(X \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{1})_{A \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, C} \rightarrow \langle X \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{1} \rangle_C = \langle X \rangle_C$, where the last equality is 4.5.5 (ii)'. Note that we need not fix here 1-jets of parameters at x_i 's since $r(\mathbb{1})$ is a $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V})_C$ -module (see axiom b). We may rewrite this as a linear map

$$V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^A : \otimes r(\mathbb{1})_{x_i, C} \rightarrow r(X)_{A, C}^* \otimes \langle X \rangle_C.$$

This construction may be rearranged in several ways:

6.2.2 Let the points x_1, \dots, x_n vary. On C^n we have a locally free \mathcal{O}_{C^n} -module $r(\mathbb{1})_{C^n}^{\otimes n}$ with fibers $r(\mathbb{1})_{C^n(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^{\otimes n} = \otimes r(\mathbb{1})_{x_i, C}$. On $U = (C \setminus A)^n \setminus \{\text{diagonals}\}$ we have a morphism

$V^A : r(\mathbb{1})_U^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(r(X)_{A,C}, \langle X \rangle_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_U)$ of \mathcal{O}_U -modules such that the value of V^A at (x_1, \dots, x_n) coincides with V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^A . For any open set $W \subset U$ we get a map

$$V_H^A : \Gamma(W, r(\mathbb{1})_W^{\otimes n} \otimes \Omega_W^n) \longrightarrow r(X)_{A,C}^* \otimes \langle X \rangle_C \otimes H_{DR}^n(W)$$

which is a composition of $V \otimes id_{\Omega_W^n}$ and the canonical projection $\Gamma(W, \Omega_W^n) \rightarrow H_{DR}^n(W)$.

6.2.3 Assume that $A = A_1 \sqcup A_2$ and $X = X_1 \otimes X_2$, $X_i \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A_i}$. Then $r(X)_{A,C} = r(X_1)_{A_1,C} \otimes r(X_2)_{A_2,C}$, $r(X)_{A,C}^* = \text{Hom}(r(X_1)_{A_1,C}, r(X_2)_{A_2,C}^*)$. Let us fix a formal parameter t_a at α such that $dt_a(a) = \nu_a$. These identify $r(X_i)_{A_i,C}$ with "coordinate modules" $r(X_i)_{\mathbb{C}((t_{A_i}))}$ and $r(X_2)_{A_2,C}^*$ with a completion $r(*X_2)_{\mathbb{C}((t_{A_2}))}^{\wedge}$ of $r(*X_2)_{\mathbb{C}((t_{A_2}))}$. So we may rewrite the above V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^A as

$$V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{A_1, A_2} : \otimes r(\mathbb{1})_{x_i, C} \otimes \langle X_1 \otimes X_2 \rangle_C^* \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(r(X_1)_{\mathbb{C}((t_{A_1}))}, r(*X_2)_{\mathbb{C}((t_{A_2}))}^{\wedge}).$$

The linear operators in the image of this map are called vertex operators.

6.2.4 Now assume that $X_1 = Y$, $X_2 = *F_C^{A_1, A_2}(Y)$, where $F_C^{A_1, A_2} : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A_2}$ is the fusion functor from 4.6. Then $\langle X_1 \otimes X_2 \rangle_C^* = \text{Hom}(F_C^{A_1, A_2}(X_1), *X_2)$ has a canonical element id_{*X_2} ; hence we get

$$V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{A_1, A_2} : \otimes r(\mathbb{1})_{x_i, C} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(r(Y)_{\mathbb{C}((t_{A_1}))}, r(F_C^{A_1, A_2}(Y))_{\mathbb{C}((t_{A_2}))}^{\wedge}).$$

Here are the first properties of vertex operators in this setting, that follow directly from the axioms.

6.2.5 For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\varphi \in \otimes_{i \neq j} r(\mathbb{1})_{x_i, C}$ one has $V_{x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n}^{A_1, A_2}(\varphi) = V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{A_1, A_2}(\varphi \otimes 1_{x_j})$.

6.2.6 Put $\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(C \setminus A) : \tau(x_i) = 0\} \subset \mathcal{T}(C \setminus A)$. Then the linear map $V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{A_1, A_2}$ commutes with the $\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ -action. Here $\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ acts on the left hand side via $\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{(x_i)o} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{(x_i)}$ (= Virasoro algebra at x_i) and on the right hand side via the map $\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{(A)}$ from 2.3.4. In particular, any vertex operator F transforms via a finite dimensional representation of $\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and F is fixed by a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A)$ that consists of fields vanishing to sufficiently high order at the x_i 's.

6.2.7 Let C' be another curve, $A' = A_2 \sqcup A_3 \subset C'$ a finite set of points, $t_{a'}$ formal parameters at $a' \in A'$, and $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_m\} \subset C' \setminus A'$. Let $(C \circ C')_q$ be the $\mathbb{C}[[q]]$ -curve with zero fiber obtained from $C \sqcup C'$ by clutching together the points of A_2 in C, C' , and where the q -deformation comes from using parameters $t_{a_2}, t_{a'_2}$ according to 3.6.4. Then $A_1 \sqcup A_3 \sqcup \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \sqcup \{x'_1, \dots, x'_m\}$ is a finite set of $\mathbb{C}[[q]]$ -points of $(C \circ C')_q$, and hence we have our vertex operators map $V_{x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_m}^{A_1, A_3} : \otimes r(\mathbb{1})_{x_i, C} \otimes r(\mathbb{1})_{x'_j, C'} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(r(Y)_{\mathbb{C}((t_{A_1}))}, r(\mathcal{F}_{(C \circ C')_q}^{A_1, A_3}(Y)_{\mathbb{C}((t_{A_3}))})).$ On the other hand, it is easy to see that “topologically” $(C \circ C')_q$ coincides with “topological” composition $C_q \circ C'$ from 4.6.1, where

$$C_q = (C, dt_{a_1}(a_1), q^{-1} dt_{a_2}(a_2)) \in \mathcal{M}_A, \quad a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2.$$

Hence, by 4.6.1, one has $\mathcal{F}_{(C \circ C')_q}^{A_1, A_3} = \mathcal{F}_{C'}^{A_2, A_3} \circ \mathcal{F}_{C_q}^{A_1, A_2}.$

Our next property, that follows directly from axiom g, is:

for any $\varphi \in \otimes r(\mathbb{1})_{x_i, C}, \varphi' \in \otimes r(\mathbb{1})_{x'_j, C'}$ one has

$$V_{x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_m}^{A_1, A_3}(\varphi \otimes \varphi') = V_{x'_1, \dots, x'_m}^{A_2, A_3}(\varphi') \circ V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{A_1, A_2},$$

where composition of “infinite matrixes” is understood in a way similar to 6.1.5.

6.3 Local vertex operators. Assume we have a field theory as in 6.1.

6.3.1 Let C be a smooth curve. Denote by \tilde{C} the cotangent bundle of C with zero section removed; so a point of \tilde{C} is a pair (x, ν_x) , $x \in C$, ν_x is a 1-jet of coordinates at x . Any object $X \in \mathcal{A}$ defines a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$ -module $r(X)_{\tilde{C}}$ with fibers $r(X)_{(x, \nu_x)} = r(X)_{x, \nu_x, C}.$ A choice of a family of local parameters defines a trivialization of $r(X)_{\tilde{C}}$. More precisely, let t be a function on a formal neighbourhood of the diagonal $\Delta : \tilde{C} \hookrightarrow \tilde{C} \times C, \Delta(x, \nu_x) = (x, \nu_x, x)$, such that $t|_{\Delta} = 0, d_{x_2} t(x, \nu_x, x) = \nu_x$ (so $t_{(x, \nu_x)} = t(x, \nu_x, \cdot)$ is a formal parameter at x); such a t defines a trivialization $s^t : r(X)_{\tilde{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}.$

This $r(X)_{\tilde{C}}$ is a $D_{\tilde{C}}$ -module in a canonical way; the D -module structure comes from the $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}((t))^{-1}}$ -action on $r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t))}.$ Explicitly, a vector field $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{C}} \subset D_{\tilde{C}}$ acts on $r(X)_{\tilde{C}}$ as follows. Choose (locally) a family t of local parameters as above. Let ∇_0 be the flat connection that corresponds to the trivialization $S^t.$ Let $\tilde{\tau}^t \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbb{C}((t))} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$ be the section

defined by formula $\tilde{\tau}^t = S_{\mathbf{C}[[t]]}(\mathcal{T}_{(x_1, \nu_{x_1})}(t)\partial_t)$: here $\mathcal{T}_{(x_1, \nu_{x_1})}$ is a vector field on $\tilde{C} \times C$ equal to τ in the \tilde{C} -directions and to 0 in the C directions (hence $\mathcal{T}_{(x_1, \nu_{x_1})}(t)$ is a function on the formal neighbourhood of Δ), and $S_{\mathbf{C}[[t]]} : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{C}[[t]]} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbf{C}((t))}$ was defined in 3.4.1. Now for a section φ of $r(X)_{\tilde{C}}$ one has $\tau(\varphi) = \nabla_0(\tau)(\varphi) - \tilde{\tau}^t(\varphi)$, where $\tilde{\tau}^t(\varphi)$ is the $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbf{C}((t))}$ -action on $r(X)_{\mathbf{C}((t))}$.

6.3.2 REMARKS: (i) One may explain the $D_{\tilde{C}}$ -module structure on $r(X)_{\tilde{C}}$ as follows. We have two natural actions of the Lie algebra \mathcal{T}_C on $r(X)_{\tilde{C}}$. The first one – “Lie derivative” – comes since $r(X)_{\tilde{C}}$ is a natural sheaf, hence symmetries of C (and infinitesimal ones also) act on it. The second is an \mathcal{O} -linear action that comes because the fibers of $r(X)_{\tilde{C}}$ are Virasoro modules (using the splitting $S_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}}$). Now the D -module action of vector fields is the difference of these two actions.

(ii) For any étale map $f : C' \rightarrow C$ one has a canonical isomorphism $f_r^*(X)_{\tilde{C}'} = r(X)_{\tilde{C}}$, of $D_{\tilde{C}'}$ -modules.

(iii) If $d_X = id_X$ (see 4.5), e.g., if $X = \mathbb{1}$, then $r(X)$ is actually a $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{V})$ -module, hence $r(X)_{\tilde{C}}$ comes from a canonical D -module $r(X)_C$ on C .

6.3.3 For $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{A}$ consider the D -module $\boxtimes_i r(X_i)_{\tilde{C}} = r(X_1)_{\tilde{C}} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes r(X_n)_{\tilde{C}}$ on \tilde{C}^n . If C is compact, we also have a lisse D -module $\langle X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n \rangle_{\tilde{C}}$ on $\tilde{C} \setminus \{\text{diagonals}\}$ with regular singularities along the diagonals; the fiber of $\langle X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n \rangle_{\tilde{C}}$ over $(x_1, \nu_1, \dots, x_n, \nu_n)$ is $\langle X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n \rangle_{(C, \{x_i\}, \{\nu_i\})}$. By 6.1.2 we have a canonical morphism of $D_{\tilde{C}^n}$ -modules $\langle \ \ \rangle_{\tilde{C}} : \boxtimes r(X_i)_{\tilde{C}} \rightarrow j_* \langle \otimes X_i \rangle_{\tilde{C}}$, where $j : \tilde{C}^n \setminus \{\text{diagonals}\} \hookrightarrow \tilde{C}$.

6.3.4 For a moment let us drop the compactness assumption on C ; we will work locally. For $X \in \mathcal{A}$ let $r(X)_{\tilde{C}, C^n}$ be the completion of $r(X)_{\tilde{C}} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{C^n}$ around the diagonal $\Delta : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C} \times C^n$, $\Delta(x, \nu_x) = (x, \nu_x; x, \dots, x)$. A choice of a family of local parameters $t = (t_{x, \nu_x})$ identifies sections of $r(X)_{\tilde{C}, C^n}$ with formal power series $\sum m_{i_1, \dots, i_n} t_1^{i_1} \dots t_n^{i_n}$, where m_{i_1, \dots, i_n} are sections of $r(X)_{\tilde{C}}$ and $t_i(x_0, \nu_{x_0}, x_1, \dots, x_n) = t_{(x_0, \nu_{x_0})}(x_i)$. Then $r(X)_{\tilde{C}, C^n}$ is a (non quasicoherent) $D_{\tilde{C} \times C^n}$ -module in an obvious manner. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C} \times C^n}^\# \supset \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C} \times C^n}$ denote the sheaf of functions having (meromorphic) singularities at diagonals $x_i = x_j$, $i, j \geq 0$. Put $r(X)_{\tilde{C}, C^n}^\# := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C} \times C^n}^\# \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C} \times C^n}} r(X)_{\tilde{C}, C^n}$: this is also a $D_{\tilde{C} \times C^n}$ -module. A

section of $r(X)_{\tilde{C} \times C^n}^\#$ is a formal series

$$\prod (t_i - t_j)^{-a_{ij}} (\sum m_{i_1, \dots, i_n} t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_n^{i_n}), \quad a_{ij} \geq 0.$$

Now let us define the "local" vertex operators:

6.3.5 LEMMA. *There is a canonical morphism of $D_{\tilde{C} \times C^n}$ -modules*

$$\mu : r(\mathbb{1})_C \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes r(\mathbb{1})_C \boxtimes r(X)_{\tilde{C}} \longrightarrow r(X)_{\tilde{C}, C^n}^\#$$

such that (assuming C is compact) for any $(x, \nu_x; y_1, \nu_{y_1}; \cdots; y_m, \nu_{y_m}) \in \tilde{C} \times \tilde{C}^m$, $x \neq y_i$, $y_i \neq y_j$ for $i \neq j$, objects $Y_i \in \mathcal{A}$, an element $\psi_x \in r(X)_{x, \nu_x}$, $\psi_{y_i} \in r(Y_i)_{y_i, \nu_{y_i}}$ and a section $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ of $r(\mathbb{1})_C$ in a neighbourhood of x one has

$$\langle \varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_n \otimes \psi_x \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_{y_m} \rangle_{\tilde{C}} = \langle \mu(\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_n \otimes \psi_x) \otimes \psi_{y_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_{y_m} \rangle_{\tilde{C}}$$

(as meromorphic functions on a formal neighbourhood of $(x, \dots, x) \in C^n$ with values in $\langle X \otimes Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_m \rangle_{(C, \{x, y_i\}, \{\nu_x, \nu_{y_i}\})}$ identified with $\langle \mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes X \otimes Y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_m \rangle$ via 4.5.5 (ii)').

PROOF - CONSTRUCTION: We will write an explicit formula for μ . To do this consider first \mathbb{P}^1 with the standard parameter t . So t defines a family of local parameters $t_x = t - x$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$, and hence we have a trivialization $s^t : r(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}}) = r(\mathbb{1})_{C((t))} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}}$. For $\varphi \in r(\mathbb{1})_{C((t))}$ we denote by φ^t the corresponding "constant" section of $r(\mathbb{1})_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}}$.

Now for $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in r(\mathbb{1})_{C((t))}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$, $x_i \neq x_j$ for $i \neq j$, consider the vertex operator $V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{0, \infty}(\varphi_1^t \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_n^t) : r(X)_{C((t))} \longrightarrow r(X)_{C((t))}^\wedge$ from 6.2.4 (here we identified the module $r(X)_{C((t^{-1}))}$ at ∞ with $r(X)_{C((t))}$ via $t^{-1} \longmapsto t$). In fact, this operator lies in $\text{End } r(X)$.

[PROOF: For any $a \in C^*$ one has $t_{ax} = a(t - x)$; hence the automorphism $x \longmapsto ax$ of \mathbb{P}^1 acts on $r(\mathbb{1})_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ (according to 6.3.2) by the formula $\varphi^t \longmapsto (a^{L_0} \varphi)^t$. This implies immediately that if $L_0 \varphi_i = n_i \varphi_i$, then $V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{0, \infty}(\otimes \varphi_i^t)(L_0 e) = (L_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_n) V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{0, \infty}(e)$. Hence $V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{0, \infty}(\otimes \varphi_i^t)$ maps L_0 -generalized eigenspaces in $r(X)_{C((t))}$ to ones in $r(X)_{C((t))}^\wedge$; since the sum of these equals $r(X)_{C((t))}$, we see that $V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{0, \infty}(\otimes \varphi_i^t)$ maps $r(X)_{C((t))}$ to $r(X)_{C((t))}$.]

Clearly, $V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{0, \infty}(\varphi_1^t \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_n^t)$ is a meromorphic function on $(\mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\})^n \setminus \{\text{diagonals}\}$ with values in $\text{End } r(X)_{\mathbf{C}((t))}$. Put $\mu(\varphi_1^t \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_n^t \otimes \psi_0) = V_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^{0, \infty}(\varphi_1^t \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_n^t)(\psi_0)$ for $\psi_0 \in r(X)_{\mathbf{C}((t))}$: we will consider $\mu(\quad)$ as a formal power series in variables $t_1, \dots, t_n, t_i = t(x_i)$, with poles along diagonals $t_i = t_j$, with values in $r(X)_{\mathbf{C}((t))}$.

Now consider our curve \tilde{C} . Choose a family of parameters t . It defines a trivialization $r(\mathbb{1})_{\mathbf{C}} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes r(\mathbb{1})_{\mathbf{C}} \boxtimes r(X)_{\tilde{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} r(\mathbb{1})_{\mathbf{C}((t))}^{\otimes n} \otimes r(X)_{\mathbf{C}((t))} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C} \times \mathbf{C}^n}$ in a formal neighbourhood of the diagonal. We put $\mu(\varphi_1^t \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_n^t \otimes \psi_{x,t}) = \mu(\varphi_1^t \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_n^t \otimes \psi_{\mathbf{C}((t))t})_{x,t}$ in obvious notations (so we write down the above μ on our curve in the coordinates t_x for each $x \in C$). It is easy to see that μ , so defined, is independent of choice of the family of parameters and is a morphism of D -modules.

To prove the correlators formula in 6.3.5 one proceeds as in 6.1.7: we should consider the curve C'_c as in 6.1.7 over $\mathbf{C}[[q]]$ and apply axiom g. \square

We will often write $\mu(\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_n \otimes \psi) = \varphi_1(x_1) \dots \varphi_n(x_n) \psi(x) \in \prod_{i,j} (x_i - x_j)^{-N} \mathbf{C}[[x_1 - x, \dots, x_n - x]] \otimes r(X)_x$. The composition property 6.2.7 for global vertex operators implies this associativity property of μ :

6.3.6 One has

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_1(x_1) \dots \varphi_n(x_n) \psi(x) = \\ & \varphi_1(x_1) (\varphi_2(x_2) (\dots (\varphi_n(x_n) \psi(x)) \dots)) \in \mathbf{C}((x_1 - x((\dots ((x_n - x)) \dots))) \otimes r(X)_x. \end{aligned}$$

Also if one of the φ_i 's is equal to 1, we may delete it.

6.4 Chiral algebra. Consider the three step complex $\mathcal{L}_{C^\bullet} = (\mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0)$ of sheaves for the Zariski or étale topology of C . Here $\mathcal{L}_2 = r(\mathbb{1})_C$, $\mathcal{L}_1 = \omega \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} r(\mathbb{1})_C$, the differential $d: \mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1$ is the de Rham differential, and $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}_1/d\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{H}_{DR}^1(r(\mathbb{1})_C)$ is the sheaf of de Rham cohomology with coefficients in the D_C -module $r(\mathbb{1})_C$, and $d: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0$ is the projection.

6.4.1 For sections γ_1, γ_2 of \mathcal{L}_1 we define a section $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2$ of \mathcal{L}_1 by the formula $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2 = \text{Res}_1 \mu(\gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2)$, and a section $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} \in \mathcal{L}_2$ by the formula $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} = \widetilde{\text{Res}} \mu(\gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2)$. Here $\gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2$ is a section of $\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_1 = \Omega_{C \times C}^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C \times C}} (r(\mathbb{1})_C \boxtimes r(\mathbb{1})_C)$, $\mu(\gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2)$ is a section of $\omega_C \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_1 = \Omega_{C \times C}^2 \otimes p_2^* r(\mathbb{1})_C$ with poles along the diagonal, Res_1 is residue

around the diagonal along the first variable, and \widetilde{Res} was defined in 2.2.4. Now the lemma 6.3.5 implies immediately that $d(\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}) = \gamma_1 * \gamma_2 + \gamma_2 * \gamma_1$ and for $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_2$ one has $(d\varphi)*\gamma = 0$. Define the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathcal{L}_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{L}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\bullet$ by the formula $[d\gamma_1, d\gamma_2]_{0,0} = d(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2)$, $[d\gamma_1, \gamma_2]_{0,1} = -[\gamma_2, d\gamma_1]_{1,0} = \gamma_1 * \gamma_2$, $[\gamma_1, \gamma_2]_{1,1} = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ for $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{L}_1$. The associativity property 6.3.6 implies

6.4.2 LEMMA. *This bracket provides \mathcal{L} with the structure of Lie dg algebra.* □

This Lie dg algebra (or rather its zero component \mathcal{L}_0) is called the chiral Lie algebra of our field theory.

6.4.3 Consider a canonical embedding $i : \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow r(\mathbb{1})_C$ of D_C -modules, $i(f) = f \cdot 1$.

Denote by C_\bullet the three step complex $C_2 = \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{d} C_1 = \omega_C \rightarrow C_0 = \mathcal{H}$; here $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{DR}^1$ and the differential $C_1 \rightarrow C_0$ is the canonical projection. We get a canonical morphism $i : C_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\bullet$ of complexes, $i(f) = f \cdot 1$. One may see that i is actually an embedding (for i_0 this will follow from 6.4.6), and obviously $i(C_\bullet)$ lies in the center of the chiral algebra.

6.4.4 For any $x \in \mathcal{A}$ consider the $D_{\widetilde{C}}$ -module $r(X)_{\widetilde{C}}$. The formula $\gamma(m) = Res_1 \mu(\gamma \otimes m)$ for $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_0$, $m \in r(X)_{\widetilde{C}}$ defines a canonical action of \mathcal{L}_0 on $r(X)_{\widetilde{C}}$ that commutes with the $D_{\widetilde{C}}$ -action.

6.4.5 For any local field F we may consider the "local" version \mathcal{L}_F of the above \mathcal{L}_{C_\bullet} . This is a differential graded Lie algebra constructed in a way similar to 6.4.1. If $F = F_x$ is a local field at a point $x \in C$, then $\mathcal{L}_{F_x^2} = F_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathcal{L}_{C^2}$, $\mathcal{L}_{F_x^1} = F_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathcal{L}_{C^1}$, $\mathcal{L}_{F_x^0} = H_{DR}^1(F_x, r(\mathbb{1})_C) = \mathcal{L}_{F_x^1} / d\mathcal{L}_{F_x^2}$. For any $X \in \mathcal{A}$ we have a canonical map $\mathcal{L}_{F_x^0} \otimes r(X)_F \rightarrow r(X)_F$, $\gamma \otimes m \mapsto \gamma(m) = Res_0 \mu(\gamma \otimes m)$. Here $\mu(\gamma \otimes m) \in H_{DR}^1(F) \otimes r(X)_F$ and one has (cf. 6.4.4):

6.4.6 LEMMA. *This map defines a representation of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_{F_x^0}$ on $r(X)_F$. The central subalgebra $C \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{L}_{F_x^0}$, $i(a) = a \frac{dt}{t}$, (see 6.4.3) acts on $r(X)_F$ by the formula $i(a)(m) = am$.* □

In particular, $i(C) \neq 0$; this implies, by degeneration arguments, that $i : C_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0$ is an embedding in the "global" situation.

Now assume that C is compact, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in C$, $x_i \neq x_j$, ν_i are 1-jets of parameters at x_i 's, and $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{A}$. Put $U = C \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Consider the pairing $\langle \cdot \rangle_C : r(X_1)_{x_1, \nu_1, C} \otimes \dots \otimes r(X_n)_{x_n, \nu_n, C} \rightarrow \langle X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n \rangle_{C, x_i, \nu_i}$. We have an obvious "localization" morphism $\mathcal{L}_0(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0(F_{x_i})$, hence a natural action of $\mathcal{L}_0(U)$ on $\otimes r(X_i)_{x_i, \nu_i, C}$.

6.4.7 LEMMA. *The morphism $\langle \cdot \rangle_C$ is $\mathcal{L}_0(U)$ -invariant.*

PROOF: Stokes formula: we rewrite for $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_0(U) = \Omega^1 \otimes r(\mathbb{1})_U$ the sum $\Sigma \langle \varphi_1 \dots \ell(\varphi_i) \dots \varphi_n \rangle$ as $\Sigma \text{Res}_{x=x_i} \langle \ell(x) \varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n) \rangle$. \square

6.5 Stress-energy tensor. For any local field F consider the linear map $\mathcal{T}_{F-2}/\mathcal{T}_{F-1} \rightarrow r(\mathbb{1})_F/C \cdot 1$, $\tau \mapsto \tau(1)$ (see 3.4.1; recall that 1 is fixed by \mathcal{T}_{F-1} by axiom c). The one-dimensional space $\mathcal{T}_{F-2}/\mathcal{T}_{F-1}$ canonically coincides with the fiber at 0 of $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes 2}$. Tensoring this map with the dual line, we get for any curve C a canonical section T of $\omega_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(r(\mathbb{1})_C/\mathcal{O}_C)$. This section is called the stress-energy tensor. Multiplication by T defines a canonical map $\mathcal{T}_C \rightarrow \omega_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(r(\mathbb{1})_C/\mathcal{O}_C) = \mathcal{L}_1/C_1 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{L}_0/C_0$ (see 6.4.3).

6.5.1 LEMMA. (i) *The composition $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0/C_0$ is a morphism of Lie algebras.*

(ii) *The corresponding "local" projective action (see 6.4.5, 6.4.6) of $\mathcal{T}_F \subset \mathcal{L}_0F/C$ on $r(X)_F$ coincides with the canonical Virasoro action.*

REMARK: One should have a canonical isomorphism between the induced extension of \mathcal{T} by $C_0 = \mathcal{H}$ and the Virasoro extension from §2, but we do not know how to establish it at a moment.

PROOF: Let us sketch a proof of (ii); one proves (i) in a similar way. We may assume that $F = \mathbb{C}((t))$. Let us compute the action of the operator $L_K := t^{K+1} \partial_t \cdot T \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}((t))}/C$ on $r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t))}$. Take $e \in r(X)_{\mathbb{C}((t))}$, $e^* \in r(*X)_{\mathbb{C}((t^{-1}))}$. Consider the function $\nu(z) = \langle \frac{1}{t-z} \partial_{t-z}(1_z) \cdot e \cdot e^* \rangle_{\mathbb{P}^1}$; here $z \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$, $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is the correlator for fields $\frac{1}{t-z} \partial_{t-z}(1_z) \in r(\mathbb{1})_{\mathbb{C}((t-z)), t-z}$, e, e^* at points $z, 0, \infty$. By definition, the matrix coefficient $\langle L_K(e), e^* \rangle$ is equal to $\text{Res}_{z=0} z^{K+1} \nu(z) dz$. We have the invariance property $\langle \frac{1}{t-z} \partial_{t-z}(1_z) \cdot e \cdot e^* \rangle + \langle (1_z) \cdot \frac{1}{t-z} \partial_t e \cdot e^* \rangle + \langle (1_z) \cdot e \cdot \frac{1}{t-z} \partial_t e^* \rangle = 0$. Deleting 1_z by $ax \cdot e$, we get $\langle L_K(e), e^* \rangle =$

$-Res_{z=0}(\langle \frac{1}{t-z} \partial_t e \cdot e^* \rangle + \langle e \cdot \frac{1}{t-z} \partial_t e^* \rangle) \cdot Z^{K+1} dz$. To compute $\frac{1}{t-z} \partial_t e$ one should expand $\frac{1}{t-z}$ around $t = 0$, and to compute $\frac{1}{t-z} \partial_t e^*$ one should expand $\frac{1}{t-z}$ at $t = \infty$.

Hence

$$\langle L_K e, e^* \rangle = -Res_{z=0} z^{K+1} (-\langle \sum_{n \geq 0} z^{-n-1} t^n \partial_t e, e^* \rangle + \langle e, \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n t^{-n-1} \partial_t e^* \rangle) dz = \langle t^{K+1} \partial_t e, e^* \rangle,$$

since $\langle t^a \partial_t e, e^* \rangle + \langle e, t^a \partial_t e^* \rangle = 0$. We see that $L_K = t^{K+1} \partial_t$, q.e.d. □

6.6 Theta functions. Consider the vector spaces $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_C$, where C is a smooth connected compact curve (with empty set of distinguished points). They are fibers of a lisse λ^c -twisted D -module $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle$ on the moduli space of smooth curves. For a point $x \in C$ we have $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_C = \langle \mathbb{1}_x \rangle_{C,x}$, hence one has a canonical map $\gamma_x : r(\mathbb{1})_{x,C} \rightarrow \langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_C$. The image $\gamma_C = \gamma_x(\frac{1}{x})$ is independent of the choice of x (since $\partial_x(\gamma_x(1_x)) = 0$). As C varies, the γ_C form a holomorphic section of $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle$.

Here is an explicit formula for γ on the moduli space of elliptic curves. Consider the usual uniformization of the moduli space by the upper half plane H with parameter z ; then $q = \exp(2\pi iz)$ is the standard parameter at infinity. The family of elliptic curves degenerates when $q \rightarrow 0$ in the standard way described in 3.6.6. Hence on H we get a canonical trivialization $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_H = \oplus \mathbb{C}_{I_j}$, horizontal with respect to the trivialization of λ^c described in 3.6.6. In this trivialization we have $\gamma(q) = \sum \gamma_{I_j}^\vee(q)$, where $\gamma_{I_j}^\vee(q) = tr_{I_j, \mathbb{C}((t))} q^{-L_0}$ by axiom g. The "global" trivialization of λ^c given by $\eta(q)^c$ differs from the above trivialization by $q^{c/24}$ (see 3.6.6). In this global η -trivialization the components of γ are $\gamma_{I_j}(q) = q^{c/24} tr_{I_j, \mathbb{C}((t))} q^{-L_0}$. We see that these are holomorphic functions on H and for any $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ the function $\gamma_{I_j}(\frac{az+b}{cz+d})$ is a linear combination with constant coefficients of other γ_{I_i} 's.

§7. LISSE REPRESENTATIONS

7.1 Singular support, lisse modules. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra, $U = U(\mathfrak{g})$ be its universal enveloping algebra. Then U is a filtered algebra ($U_0 = \mathbb{C}, U_1 = \mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{g}, U_i = U_1^i$ for $i > 0$), $grU = \bigoplus_i U_i/U_{i-1} = S^*(\mathfrak{g})$. For $\varphi \in U_i$ its symbol $\sigma_i(\varphi)$ is $\varphi \bmod U_{i-1} \in S^i\mathfrak{g}$; if $\varphi \in U_i \setminus U_{i-1}$ we will write $\sigma(\varphi) = \sigma_i(\varphi)$.

7.1.1 Let M be a finitely generated \mathfrak{g} -module. Recall that a good filtration M_\bullet on M is a U_\bullet -filtration such that $M = \bigcup M_i, \cap M_i = 0$ and grM_\bullet is a finitely generated $S^*(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. For example, if $M_0 \subset M$ is a finite dimensional vector subspace that generates M , then $M_i = U_i M_0$ is a good filtration. Any two good filtrations M_\bullet, M'_\bullet on M are comparable, i.e., for some a one has $M_{\bullet-a} \subset M'_\bullet \subset M_{\bullet+a}$.

Define the singular support SSM of M to be the support of the $S^*(\mathfrak{g})$ -module grM_\bullet , where M_\bullet is a good filtration on M . This is a Zariski closed canonical subset of $SpecS^*(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^*$; it does not depend on the choice of a good filtration M_\bullet . If η is a generic point of SSM , then the length of the $S^*(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $(grM_\bullet)_\eta$ only depends on M ; denote it $\ell_\eta(M)$. We will say that M is finite at η if $\ell_\eta(M) < \infty$: this means that $(grM_\bullet)_\eta$ is killed by an ideal of finite codimension in $S^*(\mathfrak{g})_\eta$.

7.1.2 REMARKS: (i) If M is generated by a single vector, $M \simeq U/I$, then $SS(M)$ is the zero set of symbols of elements of I .

(ii) A more precise way to speak about this subject needs the microlocalization language, see e.g. [La], Appendix.

The algebra $grU = S^*(\mathfrak{g})$ carries a Poisson bracket defined by the formula $\{f_i, g_j\} = \tilde{f}_i \tilde{g}_j - \tilde{g}_j \tilde{f}_i \bmod U_{i+j-2}$; here $f_i \in S^i(\mathfrak{g}), \tilde{f}_i \in U_i, f_i = \tilde{f}_i \bmod U_{i-1}$, and the same for $g_j, \{f_i, g_j\} \in S^{i+j-1}(\mathfrak{g})$. One has the following integrability theorem, due to O. Gabber [Ga]:

7.1.3 THEOREM. *Let M be a finitely generated U -module finite at any generic point of SSM . Then SSM is involutive, i.e., if $f, g \in S^*(\mathfrak{g})$ vanish on SSM , then so does $\{f, g\}$.*

□

7.1.4 DEFINITION. *A finitely generated module M is lisse if $SSM = \{0\}$. More generally, we will say that M is lisse along a vector subspace $\ell \subset \mathfrak{g}$ if $SSM \cap \ell^\perp = \{0\}$.* □

Note that any quotient of a lisse module is lisse. Any extension of a lisse module by a lisse module is lisse. Any finite dimensional M is lisse; the converse is true if $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$.

Explicitly, a module M is lisse if and only if for a finite dimensional subspace $V \subset M$ that generates M and any $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ there exists $N \gg 0$ such that $g^N V \subset U_{N-1} V$.

7.2 Finiteness property. Let $k \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie subalgebra. We will say that a \mathfrak{g} -module M is a (\mathfrak{g}, k) -module if k acts on M in a locally finite way (i.e., for any $x \in M$ one has $\dim U(k)x < \infty$). If such an M is finitely generated, then it carries a good k -invariant filtration (e.g., take a finite dimensional k -invariant subspace $M_0 \subset M$ that generates M and put $M_i = U_i M_0$). Hence $SSM \subset k^\perp = (\mathfrak{g}/k)^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$.

7.2.1 LEMMA. Let M be a finitely generated (\mathfrak{g}, k) -module and $n \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a vector subspace such that $\dim \mathfrak{g}/n + k < \infty$ and M is lisse along n . Then $\dim M/nM < \infty$.

PROOF: Let M_\bullet be a K -invariant good filtration on M , so $gr M_\bullet$ is a finitely generated $S^*(\mathfrak{g}/k)$ -module. Consider the induced filtration on M/nM . It suffices to see that $\dim gr(M/nM) < \infty$. But $gr(M/nM)$ is a quotient of $gr M/ngr M$ (since $gr_i M/nM = M_i/M_{i-1} + (M_i \cap nM)$, $(gr M/ngr M)_i = M_i/M_{i-1} + nM_{i-1}$). The latter is a finitely generated module with zero support over the finitely generated algebra $S^*(\mathfrak{g}/k + n)$, hence it is finitely generated. \square

We will use 7.3.1 as follows. Assume we are in a situation 3.3, so we have a Harish-Chandra pair (\mathfrak{g}, K) , an S -localization data $\psi = (S^\#, N, \varphi, \varphi_0)$ for (\mathfrak{g}, K) and the corresponding S -localization functor $\Delta_\psi : (\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, K)\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\psi\text{-mod}$. Certainly, any $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$ -module M is a $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, k)$ -module and SSM is an $\text{Ad } K$ -invariant closed subset of k^\perp . Now 7.2.1 (together with 3.3.4) implies:

7.2.2 COROLLARY. Assume that the following finiteness condition holds:

(*) The sheaf $\mathfrak{g}_S^\# / k_S^\# + \varphi(N_{(0)})$ is \mathcal{O}_S -coherent.

Then for a lisse $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$ -module M the \mathcal{D}_ψ -module $\Delta_\psi(M)$ is lisse (see 3.2.7). More generally, if a $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$ -module M is lisse along any subspace $\varphi_0(N_{(0),s}) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, $s \in S^\#$, then $\Delta_\psi(M)$ is a lisse \mathcal{D}_ψ -module. \square

The following corollaries of 7.1.3 will be useful.

7.2.3 LEMMA. Let M be a (\mathfrak{g}, k) -module such that SSM has finite codimension in k^\perp . Then SSM is involutive. \square

7.2.4 COROLLARY. Assume that a Harish-Chandra pair (\mathfrak{g}, K) has the property that any Zariski closed $Ad K$ -invariant subset of k^\perp is either $\{0\}$ or has finite codimension. Then for any (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module M the $SS(M)$ is involutive. \square

7.3 Lisse modules over Virasoro algebra. Consider the Virasoro algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c$: this is the central \mathbb{C} -extension of Lie algebra $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{C}((t))$ that corresponds to the 2 cocycle $\langle f\partial_t, g\partial_t \rangle_c = cRes(f'''g \frac{dt}{t})$. It carries the filtration $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{cn}$: for $n \geq 1$, $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{cn} = t^{n+1}\mathbb{C}[[t]]\partial_t$, for $n \leq 0$, $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{cn} = \mathbb{C} + t^{n+1}\mathbb{C}[[t]]\partial_t$. Put $L_i := t^{i+1}\partial_t \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c$. One also has the following Lie subalgebras of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c$:

$$n_+ = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{c1} \subset b_+ = \mathbb{C}[[t]]t\partial_t \subset P_+ = \mathbb{C}[[t]]\partial_t, \quad n_- = \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]\partial_t \subset b_- = \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]t\partial_t,$$

so $b_+ = Lie K$, $n_+ = Lie K_1$ (see 3.4.1). One has $b_+ \oplus n_- \oplus \mathbb{C} = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c$, $b_+ \cap b_- - f = \mathbb{C}L_0$.

7.3.1 A higher weight \mathcal{T} -module of central charge c is a $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c, b_+)$ -module M such that $1 \in \mathbb{C} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c$ acts as id_M and any $m \in M$ is killed by some $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{cn}$ for $n \gg 0$. Denote by \mathcal{T}_{c+} -mod the category of such modules. Note that any $M \in \mathcal{T}_{c+}$ -mod is a $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c, K_1)$ -module. We will say that M is L_0 -diagonalizable if M coincides with the direct sum of L_0 -eigenspaces.

Let M be a higher weight module. Denote by $*M$ the space of those linear functionals φ on M that are finite with respect to the action of tL_0 . The operators $L_i := {}^tL_{-i}$ define the $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c$ -action on $*M$. Clearly $*M$ is a higher weight module called the (contravariant) dual to M . One has an obvious morphism $M \rightarrow **M$ which is an isomorphism if and only if the generalized eigenspaces of L_0 on M are finite dimensional. In particular this holds when M is a finitely generated module.

7.3.2 REMARK: For $M \in \mathcal{T}_{c+}$ -mod consider the monodromy operator $T = exp(2\pi i L_0)$. Clearly T commutes with the Virasoro action, i.e., $T \in Aut M$. Hence one has a canonical direct sum decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} M_{\bar{a}}$, where $M_{\bar{a}}$ is the generalized $exp(2\pi i a)$ -eigenspace of M . Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{c+\bar{a}}$ -mod the subcategory of those M 's that $M = M_{\bar{a}}$. Clearly \mathcal{T}_{c+} -mod = $\prod_{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{T}_{c+\bar{a}}$ -mod.

7.3.3 LEMMA. For any finitely generated $M \in \mathcal{T}_{c+}$ -mod there are exactly three possibilities for SSM : it is either equal to $\{0\}$, or to $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{c0}^\perp = (\mathbb{C} + b_+)^\perp$, or to $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{c-1}^\perp = (\mathbb{C} + P_+)^\perp$.

PROOF: Clearly $SSM \subset \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{c0}^\perp$. It is $\text{Ad } K$ -invariant (the $\text{Ad } K_1$ -invariance is obvious; for any $t \in \mathbb{C}$ the operator $\exp(tL_0)$ acts on M , hence SSM is also $\text{Ad } \exp(tL_0)$ -invariant). It is easy to see that any $\text{Ad } K$ -invariant Zariski closed subset of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{c0}^\perp$ is either $\{0\}$ or coincides with one of the vector spaces $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{c-n}^\perp$, $n \geq 0$. According to 7.2.4 this $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{c-n}$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c$; this implies 7.3.3. \square

For a higher weight module M consider the subspace M^{n+} of singular vectors. Clearly $M^{n+} \neq 0$ and it is L_0 -invariant, so we have decomposition $M^{n+} = \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}} M_{(h)}^{n+}$ by generalized eigenspaces of L_0 . We will say that a singular vector v has generalized weight h if $v \in M_{(h)}^{n+}$ (i.e., if $(L_0 - h)^n v = 0$ for $n \gg 0$), and that v has weight h if $L_0 v = hv$. As usual, the Verma module $M_{ch} = M_h \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{c+}$ -mod is a module generated by a single "vacuum" singular vector v_h of weight h with no other relations. This M_h is the free $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ -module generated by v_h , hence any submodule of M_h generated by a singular vector is a Verma module. Denote by $L_{ch} = L_h$ the (only) irreducible quotient of M_h . Any irreducible higher weight module is isomorphic to some L_h , and the L_h 's with different h 's non-isomorphic. One has $*L_h = L_h$.

The following basic facts are due to Feigin-Fuchs [FF].

7.3.4 PROPOSITION. Let $M = M_h$ be a Verma module, $N \subset M$ is a non-zero submodule. Then

- (i) N is generated by ≤ 2 singular vectors, i.e., N is either a Verma submodule or a sum of two Verma submodules.
- (ii) N is an intersection of ≤ 2 Verma submodules.
- (iii) M/N has finite length.
- (iv) The spaces $M_{(h')}^{n+}$ have dimension ≤ 1 , therefore, by (i), the irreducible constituents of M have multiplicity 1. \square

7.3.5 LEMMA. Let $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{c+}$ -mod be a finitely generated module. Then

- (i) P admits a filtration of finite length ℓ with successive quotients isomorphic to a quotient of a Verma module.
- (ii) The maximal semisimple quotient of P has length $\leq \ell$.
- (iii) Any submodule of P is finitely generated.

PROOF: Note that P is a quotient of some module Q induced from a finite dimensional b_+ -module. Such Q has a filtration with successive quotients isomorphic to Verma modules. This implies (i) and reduces (ii), (iii) to the case of Verma module which follows from 7.3.4 (i). □

7.3.6 LEMMA. Let $M = M_h$ be a Verma module, $N \subset M$ be a non-zero submodule, $L = M/N$. One has

- (i) $SSM = \tilde{T}_{c_0}^\perp = \mathfrak{n}_-^*$
- (ii) SSL is either $\{0\}$ or equals to \tilde{T}_{c-1}^\perp
- (iii) If $SSL = 0$, then L is irreducible and N is generated by two singular vectors.
- (iv) If N is a proper Verma submodule, then the coinvariants $L_{[n_-, n_-]}$ are infinite dimensional.

PROOF: (i) is obvious. To prove (ii) take a non-zero $\varphi \in U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ such that $\varphi v_h \in N$. The symbol $\sigma(\varphi)$ vanishes on SSL , hence $SSL \neq \mathfrak{n}_-^*$, and we are done by 7.3.3.

(iii) By 7.3.4 (iii) any reducible L has a quotient such that the corresponding N is a Verma submodule. Since a quotient of a lisse module is lisse, (iii) is reduced to a statement that for any proper Verma submodule $N = M_{h'} \subset M_h$ one has $SSM_h/M_{h'} \neq 0$. By 7.2.1 this follows from (iv).

(iv) The commutant $[n_-, n_-]$ is Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{n}_- with basis $L_{-3}, L_{-4}, L_{-5}, \dots$. The quotient $\mathfrak{n}_-/[n_-, n_-]$ is abelian Lie algebra with basis L_{-1}, L_{-2} . To prove (iv) note that $M_{h[n_-, n_-]}$ is a free module over $U(\mathfrak{n}_-/[n_-, n_-]) = \mathbb{C}[L_{-1}, L_{-2}]$ with generator \bar{v}_h , and $(M_h/M_{h'})_{[n_-, n_-]}$ is a quotient of $M_{h[n_-, n_-]}$ modulo the $\mathbb{C}[L_{-1}, L_{-2}]$ submodule generated by the image $\bar{v}_{h'}$ of $v_{h'}$ (since $M_{h'} = U(\mathfrak{n}_-)v_{h'}$). Since $\bar{v}_{h'} = P\bar{v}_h$, where P is a polynomial of weight $h' - h \neq 0$, we see that our coinvariants $(M_h/M_{h'})_{[n_-, n_-]} = \mathbb{C}[L_{-1}, L_{-2}]/PC[L_{-1}, L_{-2}]$ are infinite dimensional. □

7.3.7 We will say that an irreducible module $L_h \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{c+}$ -mod is minimal, or a Belavin-Polyakov-Zamolodchikov module, if the conditions (i), (ii) below hold:

(i) For some integers p, q such that $1 < p < q$, $(p, q) = 1$, one has

$$c = c_{p,q} = 1 - 6(p - q)^2 / pq$$

(clearly p, q are uniquely defined by c)

(ii) For some integers n, m , $0 < n < p$, $0 < m < q$ one has

$$h = h_{n,m} = \frac{1}{4pq} [(nq - mp)^2 - (p - q)^2].$$

Clearly $h_{n,m} = h_{p-n,q-m}$. For given $c = c_{p,q}$ there is exactly $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)(q-1)$ different minimal irreducible modules. Note that $L_{c_{p,q},0}$ is always minimal (since $0 = h_{1,1}$).

7.3.8 PROPOSITION. ([FF]) An irreducible module L_h is minimal iff both the following conditions hold:

- (i) L_h is dominant which means that L_h is not isomorphic to a subquotient of any $M_{h'}, h' \neq h$.
- (ii) The kernel N_h of the projection $M_h \rightarrow L_h$ is generated by exactly 2 singular vectors (see 7.3.4 (i)). □

7.3.9 REMARKS: (i) For $h = h_{nm}$, $c = c_{pq}$ the singular vectors from 7.3.8 (ii) have weights $h - nm$, $h - (p - n)(q - m)$. They are different by 7.3.4 (iv) (or by a direct calculation).

(ii) It is easy to see, using contravariant duality, that L_h is dominant iff M_h is projective object in the category of L_0 -diagonalizable higher weight modules. Equivalently, this means that $M_h^\wedge = \varinjlim M_h^{(n)}$ is projective covering of L_h in the category $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{c+}$ -mod. Here $M_h^{(n)}$ is the higher weight module generated by the singular vector v that satisfies the only relation $(L_0 - h)^n v = 0$.

7.3.10 PROPOSITION. For an irreducible module $L = L_h = M_h / N_h$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) L is lisse
- (ii) L is minimal
- (iii) The coinvariants $L_{[n_-, n_-]}$ are finite-dimensional

(iv) The invariants $L^{[n_-, n_-]}$ are finite dimensional

(v) For some non-zero $\varphi \in U(\mathfrak{n}_-, \mathfrak{n}_-)$ one has $\varphi v_h \in N_h$

PROOF: One has (i) \implies (iii) by 7.2.1, (iii) \iff (iv) by contravariant duality, (ii) \iff (iii) by [FF], (v) \implies (i) by 7.3.5 (ii) (since $\sigma(\varphi)$ vanishes on SSL , one has $SSL \neq \tilde{T}_{c-1}^\perp$). It remains to show that (ii) \implies (v). So let L_h be minimal. Put $T = U(\mathfrak{n}_-, \mathfrak{n}_-)v_h \subset M_h$. We wish to see that the projection $T \rightarrow L_h$ is not injective. This follows since the asymptotic dimension of T is larger than the one of L_h . Precisely, according to the character formula for L (see [K] prop. 4) the function $\log \text{tr}_L(\exp(2\pi t L_0))$ is asymptotically equivalent as $t \rightarrow 0$ to $\pi\alpha/12t$ for some constant $\alpha < 1$. On the other hand, one has $\log \text{tr}_T(\exp(-2\pi t L_0)) = \log \text{tr}_{M_h}(\exp(2\pi t L_0)) + \log(1 - \exp(-2\pi t)) + \log(1 - \exp(-4\pi t))$ (since as L_0 -module M_h is isomorphic to $v_h \otimes S(L_{-1}, L_{-2}, \dots)$, where the generators L_{-i} of the symmetric algebra have weights i , and T is isomorphic to $v_h \otimes S(L_{-3}, L_{-n}, \dots)$). This function is asymptotically equivalent to $\pi/12t$. Since the spectrum of L_0 is real, this implies that $T \rightarrow L_h$ is not injective. \square

7.3.11 REMARK: For $c = c_{p,q}, h = h_{11} = 0$ one may prove that (ii) \implies (i) in a very elementary way. Namely, by 7.3.8 (ii) one knows that L_0 is minimal iff N_0 does not coincide with the submodule N' of M_0 generated by $L_{-1}v_0$. Choose minimal i such that for certain $\varphi \in U(\mathfrak{n}_-)_i$ one has $\varphi v_0 \in N_0 \setminus N'$. Then the symbol of φ is prime to L_{-1} , hence, by 7.3.5 (ii), L_0 is lisse. This remark, due basically to Drinfeld, was a starting point for the results of this paragraph. \square

7.3.12 PROPOSITION. *The following conditions on a higher weight module M are equivalent*

- (i) M is a finitely generated lisse module
- (ii) M is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of minimal irreducible modules.
- (iii) One has $\dim M^{[n_-, n_-]} < \infty$

PROOF: By 7.3.10 we know that (i) \iff (ii) \implies (iii). We will use the following facts:

- (*) Let L_h be a minimal irreducible module. Then any quotient of length 2 of $M_h^{(n)}$ (see 7.3.9 (ii)) is actually a quotient of $M_h = M_h^{(1)}$ (i.e., is L_0 -diagonalizable).

(**) If L_{h_1}, L_{h_2} are minimal and $h_1 \neq h_2$, then M_{h_1} and M_{h_2} have no common irreducible component.

Here (*) follows from the fact that $N_h \subset M_h$ coincides with the 1st term of Jantzen filtration, see [FF]; for (**) see [FF]. Note that (*) implies, by 7.3.8, 7.3.9 (ii), that

(***) $Ext^1(L_{h_1}, L_{h_2}) = 0$ for any minimal L_{h_1}, L_{h_2} .

Now we may prove that (i) \implies (ii). By 7.3.10 it suffices to show that a lisse module M is semisimple. Consider the maximal semisimple quotient $P = M/N$ (see 7.3.5 (ii)). We have to show that $N = 0$. By 7.3.5 (iii) there is an irreducible quotient $Q = N/T$ of N , so we have a non-trivial extension $0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow M/T \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ with lisse M/T . According to 7.3.9 (ii) and (**) we see that there exists at most one minimal L_h such that $Ext^1(L_h, Q) \neq 0$. By (*) and 7.3.9 (i) for such L_h one has $\dim Ext^1(L_h, Q) = 1$. This implies that M/T is isomorphic to a direct sum of minimal irreducible modules and a length 2 module which is a non-trivial extension of a minimal module L_h by Q . By 7.3.9 (ii) and (*) this extension is a quotient of a Verma module. By 7.3.5 (ii) it is non-lisse, hence M/T is non-lisse. Contradiction.

Let us prove that (iii) \implies (ii). Let M be a module such that $\dim M^{[n+, n+]} = r < \infty$. Let $M' \subset M$ be a maximal semisimple submodule of M . By 7.3.10 M' is a direct sum of minimal irreducible modules. Clearly the length of M' is $\leq r$, so it suffices to show that $M' = M$. Note that any non-zero submodule $N \subset M$ intersects M' non-trivially (if $N \cap M' = 0$ then, shrinking N if necessary, we may assume that N is a quotient of a Verma module. If N has finite length, then it contains an irreducible submodule, which lies in M' . If N has infinite length, then, by 7.3.4, $\dim N^{n+} = \infty$; since $N^{n+} \subset M^{[n+, n+]}$ this is not true). Assume that $M/M' \neq 0$. Replacing M by an appropriate submodule that contains M' we may assume that M/M' is a quotient of a Verma module, in particular M/M' is L_0 -diagonalizable. Consider the dual extension $0 \rightarrow *(M/M') \rightarrow *M \rightarrow *M' \rightarrow 0$. One has $*M' = \bigoplus L_{h_i}$, hence, by 7.3.8, 7.3.9 (ii) the projection $\bigoplus M_{h_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus L_{h_i} = *M'$ lifts to the map $\bigoplus M_{h_i}^{(2)} \rightarrow *M$. This map is surjective (otherwise the dual to its cokernel would intersect M' trivially), hence $*M$ has finite length. Replacing $*(M/M')$ by its irreducible quotient we may assume that M/M' is irreducible.

As above (see the proof (i) \implies (ii)) $*M$ is a direct sum of irreducible minimal modules plus a length two non-trivial extension of a minimal module L_h . By 7.3.9 (ii), 7.3.4 (ii) and (*) above this length two extension is a quotient of M_h by a Verma submodule. By 7.3.6 (iv) the coinvariant $(*M)_{[n_-, n_-]}$ are of infinite dimension. Since $(*M)_{[n_-, n_-]} = (M^{[n_-, n_-]})^*$, we are done. \square

7.3.13 Now for $n \geq 1$ consider the product of Virasoro algebras \tilde{T}_c^n : this is a central \mathbb{C} -extension of T^n with cocycle $\langle (f_i \partial_t), (g_i \partial_t) \rangle_c = \sum_i \langle f_i \partial_t, g_i \partial_t \rangle_c$ (see 3.4.1). The above theory extends to \tilde{T}_c^n in an easy manner. Namely, we have a standard subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_+ = \prod \mathfrak{n}_{+i} \subset \mathfrak{b}_+ = \prod \mathfrak{b}_{+i} \subset \mathfrak{p}_+ = \prod \mathfrak{p}_{+i}$, $\mathfrak{n}_- \subset \mathfrak{b}_- = \prod \mathfrak{b}_{-i}$, $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{b}_+ \cap \mathfrak{b}_- = \mathbb{C}^n$ etc. of \tilde{T}_c^n . One defines the corresponding category T_{c+}^n -mod of higher weight modules in an obvious manner. We have an obvious functor $\otimes : \prod T_{c+}$ -mod $\rightarrow T_{c+}^n$ -mod, $(M_1, \dots, M_n) \mapsto M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n$. Clearly $SSM_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n = SSM_1 \times SSM_2 \times \dots \times SSM_n$.

For $\hbar = (h_i) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have the corresponding Verma module $M_{\hbar} = \otimes M_{h_i}$, and its unique irreducible quotient $L_{\hbar} = \otimes L_{h_i}$; any irreducible higher weight module is isomorphic to a unique L_{\hbar} . It follows from 7.3.4 (iv) that any submodule $N \subset M_{\hbar}$ is tensor product $\otimes N_i$ of submodules $N_i \subset M_{h_i}$, so the structure of N is clear from 7.3.4. The lemma 7.3.5 (with its proof) remains valid for T_{c+}^n -mod. The version of 7.3.6 for \tilde{T}_c^n case (with obvious modifications) follows immediately from the case $n = 1$. A module $L_{\hbar} = \otimes L_{h_i}$ is called minimal if all L_{h_i} are minimal (see 7.3.7). The analog of 7.3.8 (with "2 singular vectors" replaced by "2n singular vectors") remains obviously valid, as well as 7.3.9. The proposition 7.3.10 remains valid and follows directly from the case $n = 1$. The proposition 7.3.12 remains valid together with its proof.

§8. MINIMAL MODELS

These were defined by Belavin, Polyakov and Zamolodchikov [BPZ]. Let us start with a general representation-theoretic construction.

8.1 Fusion functors for Virasoro algebra. Let C be a compact smooth curve, $A, B \subset C$ be two finite sets of points such that $A \cap B = \emptyset, A \neq \emptyset$. For a central charge $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we have Virasoro algebra \tilde{T}_c^A which is central \mathbb{C} -extension of $T^A = \prod_{a \in A} T_a$ (where $T_a =$ vector fields on punctured formal disc at a) and similar algebras $\tilde{T}_c^B, \tilde{T}_c^{A \sqcup B}$. One has a canonical surjective map $\tilde{T}_c^A \times \tilde{T}_c^B \rightarrow \tilde{T}_c^{A \sqcup B}$ (which is factorization by $\{(a, -a)\} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$); the morphisms $\tilde{T}_c^A \rightarrow \tilde{T}_c^{A \sqcup B} \leftarrow \tilde{T}_c^B$ are injective. One also has the canonical embedding $i_{A \sqcup B} : T(U) \rightarrow \tilde{T}_c^{A \sqcup B}$, where $U = C \setminus (A \sqcup B)$, and the ones $i_A : T(C \setminus A) \rightarrow \tilde{T}_c^A, i_B : T(C \setminus B) \rightarrow \tilde{T}_c^B$. There is also a canonical morphism $j_B : T(C \setminus A) \rightarrow \tilde{T}_c^B$ which is composition of the obvious embedding $T(C \setminus A) \rightarrow T_{-1}^B$ and the section $s_{\mathcal{O}_B} : T_{-1}^B \rightarrow \tilde{T}_c^B$. The restriction $i_{A \sqcup B}|_{T(C \setminus A)} : T(C \setminus A) \rightarrow \tilde{T}_c^{A \sqcup B}$ coincides with $i_A + j_B$.

8.1.1 Assume we have a positive divisor $d = \sum n_b b \geq 0$ supported on B . Let $T(C \setminus A, d) \subset T(C \setminus A, d)$ be the Lie subalgebra of vector fields vanishing of order $\geq n_b + 1$ at any $b \in B$. Clearly one has $T(C \setminus A, d_1) \subset T(C \setminus A, d_2)$ for $d_1 \geq d_2$, and $T(C \setminus A, 0)/T(C \setminus A, d) = T_0^B/T_d^B$, where $T_d^B = \prod T_{n_b, b}$. Let $\epsilon_d : \tilde{T}_c^B \rightarrow \tilde{T}_c^A/i_A(\tilde{T}(C \setminus A, d))$ be the composition

$$\tilde{T}_c^B \rightarrow \tilde{T}_c^B/s_{\mathcal{O}_B}(T_{B, d}) \rightarrow \tilde{T}_c^{A \sqcup B}/i_{A \sqcup B}(T(U)) + s_{\mathcal{O}_B}(T_{B, d}) \simeq \tilde{T}_c^A/i_A(T(C \setminus A, d)).$$

The maps t_d are compatible, so we have $\epsilon = \lim_{\overleftarrow{d}} \epsilon_d : \tilde{T}_c^B \rightarrow \lim_{\overleftarrow{d}} T^A/i_A(T(C \setminus A, d))$.

8.1.2 Now we are able to define the (contravariant) fusion functor $\mathcal{F}_C : \tilde{T}_c^A - \text{mod} \rightarrow \tilde{T}_c^B - \text{mod}$.

Let M be any \tilde{T}_c^A -module (so $1 \in \mathbb{C} \subset \tilde{T}_c^A$ acts as id_M). Put $\mathcal{F}_C(M) := \bigcup_d M^* i_A(T(C \setminus A, d)) \subset M^*$; therefore an element of $\mathcal{F}_C(M)$ is a linear functional on M invariant with respect to some $i_A(T(C \setminus A, d))$. For $\tau \in \tilde{T}_c^B, \ell \in \mathcal{F}_C(M)$ put $\tau(\ell) = {}^t \epsilon(\tau)(\ell)$. It is easy to see that this formula is correct, $\tau(\ell)$ lies in $\mathcal{F}_C(M) \subset M^*$ and $(\tau, \ell) \mapsto \tau(\ell)$ is \tilde{T}_c^B -action on $\mathcal{F}_C(M)$. This way $\mathcal{F}_C(M)$ becomes \tilde{T}_c^B -module. One has an easy

8.1.3 LEMMA.

(i) One has $\mathcal{F}_C(M) = \bigcup_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}_C(M)^{\mathcal{T}_{B,d}}$, and $\mathcal{F}_C(M)^{\mathcal{T}_{B,d}} = (M_{\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, d)})^*$.

(ii) Let N be any $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^B$ -module s.t. $N = \bigcup_{\alpha} N^{\mathcal{T}_{B,d}}$. Then $\text{Hom}(N, \mathcal{F}_C M) = [(M \otimes N)_{\mathcal{T}(U)}]^*$ (here we consider $M \otimes N$ as $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^{A \sqcup B}$ -module via the surjection $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^A \times \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^B \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^{A \sqcup B}$). □

From now on let us fix a central charge $c = c_{p,q}$ from the list 7.3.7(i). We will assume that our virasoro modules have central charge c . Let M be a finitely generated higher weight $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^A$ -module.

8.1.4 COROLLARY. (i) $\mathcal{F}_C(M)$ is finitely generated lisse higher weight $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^B$ -module.

(ii) For any finitely generated higher weight $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^B$ -module N one has $(M \otimes N)_{\mathcal{T}(U)} = (M \otimes \bar{N})_{\mathcal{T}(U)}$, where \bar{N} is the maximal lisse quotient of N .

PROOF: (i) Use 8.1.3 (i), 7.2.1, 7.3.12 (inversion 7.3.13).

(ii) First note that the maximal lisse quotient \bar{N} exists and has finite length by 7.3.5, 7.3.8, 7.3.12. By 8.1.3 (ii), 8.1.4 (i) one has $(M \otimes N)_{\mathcal{T}(U)}^* = \text{Hom}(N, \mathcal{F}_C(M)) = \text{Hom}(\bar{N}, \mathcal{F}_C(M)) = (M \otimes \bar{N})_{\mathcal{T}(U)}^*$, q.e.d. □

For $h = (h_b) \in \mathbb{C}^B$ let $L_h^B = \bigotimes_{b \in B} L_{c, h_b}$ be the irreducible $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^B$ -module of higher weight h .

8.1.5 COROLLARY. One has a canonical isomorphism $M_{\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A)} = (M \otimes L_0^B)_{\mathcal{T}(U)}$.

PROOF: Clearly $M_{\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A)} = (\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A)}^{\mathcal{T}(U)} M)_{\mathcal{T}(U)}$. But $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A)}^{\mathcal{T}(U)} M$ coincides, as $\mathcal{T}(U)$ -module, with $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^{A \sqcup B}$ -module $M \otimes P_0^B$, where $P_{0B} = \bigotimes_{b \in B} P_{c, 0, b}$, $P_{c, 0}$ is a quotient of Verma module $M_{c, 0}$ modulo relation $L_{-1} v_0 = 0$. Clearly L_0^B is maximal lisse quotient of P_0^B (see 7.3.8), and 8.1.5 follows from 8.1.4 (ii). □

8.1.6 COROLLARY. Let d_1 be the divisor $\sum_{b \in B} b$. Consider the action of Lie algebra $\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, 0)/\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, d_1) = \mathcal{T}_0^B/\mathcal{T}_{d_1}^B = \mathbb{C}^B$ on coinvariants $M_{\mathcal{T}(U, d_1)}$. This action is semisimple. For $h = (h_b) \in \mathbb{C}^B$ the (h_b) -component $M^{(h_b)}$ is equal to the coinvariants $(M \otimes L_h^B)_{\mathcal{T}(U)}$. This space vanishes unless all h_b lie in the list 7.3.7 (ii).

PROOF: Similar to 8.1.5; the semi-simplicity of \mathbb{C}^B -action follows from 7.3.12 (ii). □

8.1.7 COROLLARY. Assume that B consists of two points b_1, b_2 . Let $\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, B)' \subset \mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, 0)$ be the Lie subalgebra of vector fields that project to $\{(a, -a)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ via the projection to $\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, 0)/\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, d_1) = \mathbb{C}^2$. Then $M_{\mathcal{T}(C \setminus A, B)'} = \oplus (M \otimes L_{c, hb_1} \otimes L_{c, hb_2})_{\mathcal{T}(U)}$, where L_{ch} runs the list 7.3.7 (ii) of irreducible lisse modules.

PROOF: Similar to 8.1.6. □

8.2 Localization of lisse modules. Let $\pi : C_S \rightarrow S$ be a family of smooth projective curves, $A \subset C_S(S)$ be a finite non-empty disjoint set of sections, ν_a are 1-jets of parameters at $a \in A$. By 3.4.3-3.4.7 these define the S -localization data for $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^A, \nu_1)$. Consider the corresponding S -localization functor $\Delta_{\psi_c} : (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^A, \nu_1)_c\text{-mod} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^c}\text{-modules on } S$. Assume as above that M is a lisse $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^A, \nu_1)_c$ -module.

8.2.1 LEMMA. The D_{λ^c} -module $\Delta_{\psi_c}(M)$ is lisse with regular singularities at infinity.

PROOF: Lissing follows from 7.2.2; the statement on regular singularities follows from 8.2.5 below. □

8.2.2 Assume now that $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[q]]$, $\pi : C_S \rightarrow S$ be a projective family of curves such that the generic fiber C_η is smooth and the closed fiber C_0 has the only singular point b which is quadratic, $A \subset C_S(S)$ be a finite non-empty disjoint set of sections, and $\{\nu_a\}$ be a 1-jet of coordinates at $a \in A$.

This collection defines an S -localization data “with logarithmic singularities at $q = 0$ ” for $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^A, \nu_1)$. (The definition of “ S -loc. data ψ with log. sing. at $q = 0$ ” coincides with 3.3.3 but we replace the condition that N is transitive Lie algebroid by the one that a canonical map $\sigma : N \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_S$ has image equal to $\mathcal{T}_S^0 = q\mathcal{T}_S = \mathbb{C}[[q]]q\partial_q$. As in 3.3 such data defines an \mathcal{O}_S -extension $\mathcal{A}_{\psi_c}^0$ of \mathcal{T}_S^0 and the corresponding associative algebra $D_{\psi_c}^0$ which is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $D_{\mathbb{C}[[q]]}$ generated by $\mathbb{C}[[q]] = \mathcal{O}_S$ and $q\partial_q$. We have the corresponding S -localization functor $\Delta_{C_S} : (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^A, \nu_1)\text{-mod} \rightarrow D_{\psi_c}^0\text{-mod}$. The definition of this ψ repeats word-by-word 3.4.3-3.4.7: we get the loc. data with logarithmic singularities just because \mathcal{T}_S^0 consists precisely of those vector fields that could be lifted to $C_S \setminus A(S)$. Note that the “vertical” part $N_{(0)} = \ker \sigma \subset N$ is a free \mathcal{O}_S -module and $N_{(0)}/qN_{(0)}$ coincides with Lie algebra $\mathcal{T}(C_0^\vee \setminus A, B)'$, where C_0^\vee is normalization of C_0 and $B = \{b_1, b_2\}$ is preimage of b

(see 8.1.7). According to 3.5 the algebra $D_{\psi_C}^0$ coincides with algebra $D_{\lambda_{C_S}^e}$ of differential operators on the determinant bundle $\lambda_{C_S}^e$ generated by “ $q\partial_q$ ” and \mathcal{O}_S .

Now let t_1, t_2 be formal coordinates at b s.t. $q = t_1 t_2$. Let C_S^V be the corresponding smooth S -curve (see 3.6.1; sorry, I changed notations of points: our b 's are a 's in 3.6.1). We have canonical points $b_1, b_2 \in C_S^V(S)$ with parameters t_1, t_2 . Take 1-jets of parameters $q^{-1} dt_1, dt_2$ (see 6.1.4) at b 's. These, together with A, ν_A , define $\mathbb{C}((q))$ -localization data for $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^{A \sqcup B}, v_1)$. The corresponding algebra coincides with $D_{\lambda_{C_S^V}^e}$, so we have the localization functor $\Delta_{C_S^V} : (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^{A \sqcup B}, v_1)\text{-mod} \rightarrow D_{\lambda_{C_S^V}^e}\text{-mod}$.

8.2.3 Let \mathcal{H} be a lisse $D_{\lambda_{C_S^V}^e}$ -module, i.e. a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -vector space with D -action. An h -lattice $\mathcal{H}_h \subset \mathcal{H}$, where $h \in \mathbb{C}$, is a $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ -lattice in \mathcal{H} invariant with respect to the action of $D_{\lambda_{C_S^V}^e}^0$ and such that the operator $q\partial_q \in D_{\lambda_{C_S^V}^e}^0/q$ acts on $\mathcal{H}_h/q\mathcal{H}_h$ as multiplication by h . Certainly, such \mathcal{H}_h exists iff \mathcal{H} has regular singularities at 0 with monodromy equal to $h \bmod \mathbb{Z}$; if \mathcal{H}_h exists, it is unique, so we'll call it “the” h -lattice.

From now on let M be a lisse $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_c^A$ -module.

8.2.4 LEMMA. For any $h \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Delta_{C_S^V}(M \otimes L_{hb_1} \otimes L_{hb_2})$ is a lisse module that admits the h -lattice $\Delta_{C_S^V}(M \otimes L_h \otimes L_h)_h$.

PROOF: “lisse” follows from 8.1.4 (ii), 7.2.1. The existence of h -lattice follows easily from 3.4.7.1. □

According to 3.6.3 we have a canonical isomorphism $D_{\lambda_{C_S}^e} = D_{\lambda_{C_S^V}^e}$. Denote this algebra D_{λ^e} . So, by 8.2.4, we have for any $h \in \mathbb{C}$ a $D_{\lambda^e}^0$ -module $D_{\lambda_{C_S^V}^e}(M \otimes L_n \otimes L_h)_h$, which is zero if L_h is not lisse (i.e. if $h \neq h_{nm}$ from 7.3.7 (ii)) by 8.1.4 (ii).

On the other hand, we have the $D_{\lambda^e}^0$ -module $\Delta_{C_S}(M)$.

8.2.5 PROPOSITION. There is a canonical isomorphism of $D_{\lambda^e}^0$ -modules

$$\Delta_{C_S}(M) = \bigoplus_h \Delta_{C_S^V}(M \otimes L_h \otimes L_h)_h.$$

PROOF: First, note that $\Delta_{C_S}(M)$ is a coherent \mathcal{O}_S -module by a version of 7.2.2 “with logarithmic singularities”. Namely, $\Delta_{C_S}(M)$ is coherent $D_{\lambda^e}^0$ -module, and its singular support $\subset \text{Spec}(gr D_{\lambda^e}^0)$ is 0 section since M is lisse; hence $\Delta_{C_S}(M)$ is \mathcal{O}_S -coherent.

Let e_i be a basis of $L_{h\mathbf{C}((t))}$ that consists of L_0 -eigenvectors, so $L_0 e_i = (h - n_i) e_i$ for $n_i \in \mathbf{Z} \geq 0$; let e_i^* be the dual basis in $L_{h\mathbf{C}((t))} = {}^*L_{h\mathbf{C}((t))}$. It is easy to see that $\Delta_{C_S^\vee}(M \otimes L_h \otimes L_h)_h \subset \Delta_{C_S^\vee}(M \otimes L_h \otimes L_h)$ is \mathcal{O}_S -submodule generated by images of elements $q_m^{-n_i} \otimes e_i \otimes e_j^*$, where $m \in M_{A, C_S}$, $e_i \in L_{h(\mathbf{C}((t_1)), q^{-1}t)}$, $e_j^* \in L_{h(\mathbf{C}((t_2)), t)}$ (see 6.1.4 for notations).

To prove 8.2.5 it suffices to construct a morphism of $D_{\lambda^c}^0$ -modules $\Delta_{C_S}(M) \rightarrow \bigoplus \Delta_{C_S^\vee}(\quad)_h$ which induces isomorphism mod q (since both are coherent \mathcal{O}_S -modules, and the one on the right hand has no q -torsion, this morphism will be isomorphism).

The h -component of this morphism just maps the image of $m \in M_{A, C_S} = M_{A, C_S^\vee}$ in $\Delta_{C_S}(M)$ to the image of $\sum_i m \otimes e_i \otimes e_i^*$ in $\Delta_{C_S^\vee}(M \otimes L_h \otimes L_h)$. It is easy to see that this formula defines a correctly defined morphism of $D_{\lambda^c}^0$ -modules (cf. 6.1.5). It induces isomorphism modulo q by 8.1.7 (since $\Delta_{C_S}(M)/q = M_{N_{(0)}/qN_{(0)}} = M_{T(C_S^\vee \setminus A, B)^\vee}$, see 8.2.2).

□

8.3 Definition of minimal theories. Now we may define the minimal theory. Pick central charge $c = c_{p,q}$ from the list 7.3.7(i).

The fusion category $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{p,q}$ is category of finitely generated lisse higher weight modules for Virasoro algebra \tilde{T}_c of central charge c . By 7.3.12 it satisfies the conditions listed in the beginning of 4.5.1. The data from 4.5.1 are the following ones:

The duality functor $*$: $\mathcal{A}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is contravariant duality (see 7.3.1).

The vacuum module $\mathbb{1}$ is $L_{c,0}$; the isomorphism $*\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$ is canonical one (that identifies the vacuum vectors).

The Dehn automorphism d is equal to the monodromy automorphism $T = \exp 2\pi i L_0$ from 7.3.2.

We will define a canonical fusion structure on \mathcal{A} simultaneously with the structures 6.1 of algebraic field theory. Namely, our realization functor $r : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\tilde{T}_c, v_1)\text{-mod}$ is "identity" embedding. The vacuum vector $1 \in r(\mathbb{1}) = L_0$ is v_0 .

Let $\pi : C_S \rightarrow S, A \subset C_S(S), \nu_A$, be as in 6.1.2. Assume that $A \neq \emptyset$. For any $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes A}$ the D_{λ^c} -module $\Delta_{\psi_c}(X)$ is lisse holonomic with regular singularities at ∞ . We put $\langle X \rangle_{C_S} = \Delta_{\psi_c}(X)$ and γ from 6.1.2 (iv) is identity map.

Assume now that $A = \emptyset$. We should define a canonical lisse D_{λ^c} -module $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_{C_S}$. Let us make the base change and consider $\pi_C : C_C = C_S \times_S C_S \rightarrow C_S$: this is a family of curves with a canonical (diagonal) section a . Consider the D_{λ^c} -module $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_{C_C}$; this is a lisse D_{λ^c} -module on C_S generated by the holomorphic section $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_{C_C}$. Note that $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_{C_C}$ is horizontal along the fibers of $\pi : C_S \rightarrow S$. Hence there exists a (unique) D_{λ^c} -module $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_{C_S}$ on S together with a holomorphic section $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_{C_S}$ such that $\langle \mathbb{1}_a \rangle_{C_C} = \pi^* \langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_{C_S}$, $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_{C_C} = \pi^* \langle \mathbb{1} \rangle_{C_S}$.

Note that the axioms 4.5.4 (ii) and 6.1.2e hold by 8.1.5. The axiom 6.1.3f holds automatically. It remains to define the isomorphism 4.5.5 (ii) that will satisfy the axiom g from 6.1. This was done in 8.2.5 above (note that since $*L_h = L_h$, we have $R = \oplus L_h \otimes L_h$).

By the way, the covariant fusion functor $\mathcal{F}_C^{A,B}$ from 4.6 is $*\mathcal{F}_C$ for contravariant \mathcal{F}_C from 8.1 (by 8.1.3 (iii)).