Cobalt@Ruthenium Core@Shell nanoparticles embedded within nitrogen-doped carbon nanosheets as reversible oxygen electrocatalysts
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ABSTRACT

Rational design and engineering of cost-effective, high-performance reversible oxygen electrocatalysts for both the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) is imperative in advancing the progress of rechargeable metal-air batteries (r-MABs). Herein, nanocomposites based on Co@Ru core@shell nanoparticles embedded within N-doped carbon nanosheets (Co@Ru/CS) are prepared via facile galvanic exchange reactions of RuCl3 with Co/NC and used as an effective oxygen electrocatalyst for rechargeable zinc-air battery (r-ZAB). Electrochemical studies demonstrate a remarkable bifunctional catalytic performance of Co@Ru/CS towards both OER and ORR, featuring a low potential gap (ΔE) of only 0.69 V between the OER potential (E1/2,OER) at 10 mA cm−2 and half-wave potential (E1/2,ORR) of ORR, which is much lower than that of commercial Pt/C + RuO2 catalysts (0.76 V). Combined studies of experimental characterizations and density functional theory calculations show that the OER activity arises primarily from the N-doped carbon and CoN x moieties in the composites, whereas RuO2/CoOOH produced at high electrode potentials is responsible for the OER activity. Co@Ru/CS based r-ZAB exhibits an open circuit voltage of 1.447 V, specific capacity of 781 mAh g−1, and maximum power density of 115 mW cm−2 at 0.83 V, a performance better than that with commercial Pt/C + RuO2 (1.412 V, 760.56 mAh g−1, and 91 mW cm−2). Results from this research underline the substantial impact of structural engineering on optimizing the electrocatalytic activity of nanocomposites for r-MABs.

1. Introduction

Rechargeable zinc-air battery (r-ZAB) has emerged as a viable energy storage and conversion technology due to the high theoretical energy density (1084 Wh kg−1), minimal environmental impact, and excellent operation safety [1–3]. Nevertheless, the practical use of r-ZAB is hampered by the sluggish electron-transfer kinetics and large polarization overpotentials of the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) during the charge process and oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) during discharge [4]. Currently, Pt-based nanoparticles are the leading catalysts for ORR, whereas ruthenium/iridium oxides (RuO2/ IrO2) are the catalysts of choice for OER [5]. Yet, these selections are nonideal for r-ZAB as their electrocatalytic activity is limited to a single reaction [6–9]. Therefore, it is imperative to develop viable alternatives that are high-performance, low-cost, and bifunctional.

Within this context, nanocomposites with transition metals encapsulated within N-doped porous carbon (TM@C) have been attracting extensive attention as low-cost bifunctional oxygen electrocatalysts,
with excellent electrical conductivity, adjustable coordination structure and excellent corrosion resistance [10–15]. Notably, these nanocomposites can even surpass commercial Pt/C towards ORR in alkaline media, but the OER activity has remained largely subpar as compared to commercial RuO2 and IrO2 catalysts. This is manifested by a sizeable potential gap (ΔE > 0.7 V) between the OER potential (E_{10, ORR}) at the current density of 10 mA cm⁻² and half-wave potential (E_{1/2, ORR}) of ORR [16,17]. To render the catalysts active towards both ORR and OER, the OER performance needs to be improved without compromising the ORR activity, and integration of a second material that is OER-active, such as ruthenium compounds [18,19], into TM@C represents an attractive strategy. This calls for a rational design and engineering of the Ru-TM@C nanocomposites.

Herein, nanocomposites based on core@shell-like Co@Ru nanoparticles (NPs) encapsulated within nitrogen-doped porous carbon sheets (Co@Ru/CS) are prepared as efficient bifunctional OER/ORR electrocatalysts via a galvanic exchange reaction using graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) as a two-dimensional (2D) thermally removable template. The resulting Co@Ru/CS nanocomposites are found to display a high specific surface area and abundant mesopores, which can facilitate the accessibility of catalytic active sites and electron/mass transfer during electrochemical reactions. As the Ru shells are anchored onto the Co NPs in Co/CS through chemical interactions, the stability of the nanocomposites is markedly enhanced. In electrochemical measurements, Co@Ru/CS displays noble metal-like ORR and OER performances, featuring an E_{1/2, ORR} of +0.82 V vs. reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) and E_{1/2, OER} of +1.41 V, corresponding to a low ΔE of only 0.69 V. This is markedly better than that of commercial Pt/C + RuO2 benchmarks (ΔE = 0.76 V). Co@Ru/CS can thus be used as oxygen catalysts for r-ZABs, delivering an open circuit voltage of 1.447 V, specific capacity of 781 mAh g⁻¹, and maximum power density of 115 mW cm⁻² at 0.83 V, an excellent activity markedly outperforming Pt/C + RuO2 (1.412 V, 760.56 mAh g⁻¹, and 91 mW cm⁻²). Combined experimental and computational studies reveal that the ORR activity is due to nitrogen-doped carbon (NC) and CoN moieties in Co@CS, while the OER activity mainly arises from RuO2/CoOOH due to surface reconstruction.

### 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1. Synthesis of NC and Co/CS

Experimentally, 0.2 g of g-C₃N₄ [20] was added into 200 mL of deionized water under sonication for 15 min. Subsequently, 0.2 mmol of K₂Co(CN)₆ was added under stirring for 5 min, followed by the addition of 20 mL of a Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O solution (7.5 mM). Then 0.5 mL of an NH₃·H₂O solution was added, followed by 20 mL of a dopamine hydrochloride solution (0.1 g) under stirring for 12 h. After extensive rinsing with deionized water and collection by filtration, the products underwent thermal annealing at 800 °C for 2 h in an argon atmosphere. The resulting sample was labeled as Co/CS. Metal-free NC was prepared in the same manner but without the inclusion of the cobalt salt.

#### 2.2. Synthesis of Ru/CS and Co@Ru/CS

40 mg of Co/CS obtained above was dispersed in 80 mL of deionized water under sonication for 30 min. Subsequently, 150 µL of a RuCl₃·nH₂O solution (0.132 mM) was introduced under stirring for 120 min. The resultant dark precipitates were gathered, thoroughly washed multiple times with deionized water, and subjected to drying at 60 °C, before being thermally annealed at 300 °C in an Ar/H₂ atmosphere. The resulting sample was referred to as Co@Ru/CS. Ru/CS was prepared in same way except that NC was utilized in place of Co/CS.

### 2.3. Characterization

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements were carried out with a Tecnai G2-F20 instrument. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were acquired with a Hitachi S-4800 scope. Wide-angle X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained from a Bruker D8-Advance diffractometer. Raman spectra were collected with a HORIBA LabRAM HR Evolution with a 633 nm excitation source or Zolix RTS-mini with a 532 nm continuous laser. Nitrogen sorption measurements were carried out with a Quantachrome Autosorb-iQ-TPX instrument at 77 K.

#### 2.4. Electrochemical measurements

Electrochemical testing was performed in a three-electrode configuration in 1.0 M KOH. Preparation of the catalysts involved dispersing 5 mg of the nanocomposites obtained above into 1 mL of a water/isopropanol mixture containing 20 µL of 5 wt% Nafion under sonication for at least 30 min. A calculated amount of the inks were dropcast onto a rotating (gold) ring-(glassy carbon) disk electrode (RRDE) at a mass loading of ca. 0.5 mg cm⁻², which served as the working electrode. A graphite rod was used as the counter electrode, while a Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode. The Ag/AgCl electrode was calibrated against a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE), and all potentials in the present study were referenced to the RHE. The ORR and OER activities were reported by normalizing the current to the geometric area of the RRDE disk electrode.

Linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) were recorded at the potential scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests were conducted with an AC amplitude of 10 mV within the frequency range of 10,000–0.01 Hz. Electrochemical double layer capacitance (CDL) was estimated by cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements at non-faradaic potentials. The stability of the catalysts was analyzed by chronoamperometric (i-t) tests at +0.70 V for ORR and +1.45 V for OER.

#### 2.5. Zinc-air battery measurements

A home-made r-ZAB was constructed for battery tests, in which a piece of catalyst-coated carbon paper (at a catalyst loading of 1 mg cm⁻²) and a polished Zn plate were used as the air cathode and anode, respectively. The electrolyte solution consisted of 8 M KOH and 0.2 M zinc acetate. The constant-current technique was utilized to analyze the cycling stability of the r-ZAB, where a cycle consisted of a discharge step (10 mA cm⁻² for 6 min), followed by a charge step at the same current density.

#### 2.6. Computational study

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out using the CASTEP module in Materials Studio. The electronic exchange and correlation effects was described by generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof function, and the plane-wave cutoff was tested and set at 500 eV. The self-consistent field tolerance was 2 × 10⁻⁶ eV with the ultrasoft pseudo-potential for core electrons. The Brillouin zone was sampled with a 3×3×3 Monkhorst-Pack k-point grid. The vacuum space along the z direction was more than 15 Å, which was large enough to avoid interplanar interactions. In order to improve the accuracy and precision of the overall calculation, the Hubbard U correction was applied to Co, and the U value of Co was chosen to be 3.1. The unit cells of CoOOH and RuO₂ were in the R 3 m and P42/mmm symmetry groups, respectively. The hetero-interface model was built by RuO₂ (110) and CoOOH (001). All slab models were geometrically optimized and the top layers were allowed to relax.
3. Result and discussion

3.1. Structural characterization

Scheme 1 shows the fabrication procedure of Co@Ru/CS, which entailed two major steps: (a) Co/CS was prepared by thermal annealing using g-C$_3$N$_4$ as the thermally removable template, and (b) Co@Ru/CS was synthesized through galvanic reactions involving RuCl$_3$ and metallic Co in Co/CS. TEM measurements were first employed to investigate the structure of the samples. From Fig. S1a, the Co/CS sample can be seen to consist of a number of spherical NPs of 49.3 ± 3.1 nm in diameter distributed onto a sheet-like scaffold. The nanoparticles, as observed in Fig. S1c and d, display distinctive lattice fringes with an interplanar spacing of 0.205 nm that aligns with the fcc Co (111) crystal planes [21]. Selected area electron diffraction (SAED) measurements produced two diffuse rings that can be assigned to Co(111) and Co(002), respectively (Fig. S1b). These results are consistent with the formation of carbon-encapsulated Co NPs.

A similar morphology can be observed with Co@Ru/CS (Fig. S2), but the average size of the NPs decreased to 17.1 ± 0.8 nm due to RuCl$_3$ etching (Fig. 1a), and the Co@Ru/CS sample displays a typical core–shell structure, most likely due to galvanic exchange reactions of Co with Ru(III). In fact, at higher resolutions (Fig. 1b-c), clear lattice fringes with a d-spacing of 0.204 nm can be observed with the core, consistent with the fcc Co(111) facets, whereas the shell featured lattice fringes with a d-spacing of 0.234 nm that coincided with the Ru(100) facets [22,23]. SAED measurements (Fig. 1c inset) further confirm the formation of Co and Ru in the sample, where the diffraction rings of Co(111), Ru(100) and C(002) can be readily resolved. The formation of a core–shell nanostructure is also manifested in the line-scan profiles based on energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), where Ru can be seen to be enriched on the surface of Co nanoparticles (Fig. 1d).

The corresponding elemental mapping analysis (Fig. 1e) reveals that the Co@Ru/CS is composed of C, N, O, Co, and Ru, at a content of 70.4, 2.6, 16.1, 9.7, and 1.2 at.%, respectively (Fig. S3). Notably, the C and O elements were enriched on the surface of Co nanoparticles (Fig. 1c), whereas the shell featured lattice fringes with a d-spacing of 0.234 nm that coincided with the Ru(100) facets [22,23]. The C and O elements were then evaluated by nitrogen sorption measurements. Both Co@Ru/CS and Co@Ru@CS display a type IV isotherm (Fig. S4a), from which the average size of the NPs decreased to 13.1 ± 0.8 nm due to RuCl$_3$ etching (Fig. S4b), suggesting the predominant formation of mesopores in the samples [24]. XRD measurements of Co@Ru/CS show three characteristic diffraction peaks at 2θ = 44.2°, 51.5°, and 75.9° (Fig. 2a), in accordance with the Co(111), Co(200), and Co(220) crystalline planes, respectively. Notably, no obvious diffraction patterns of Ru are observed, most likely due to the considerably lower content of Ru in the sample (Fig. S3). In Raman measurements (Fig. 2b), the D and G bands can be identified at 1342 and 1587 cm$^{-1}$ for both Co/CS and Co@Ru/CS, along with a close intensity ratio (I_D/I_G) of 1.02, indicating minimal impacts of Ru incorporation on the carbon substrate.

XPS studies were then conducted to elucidate the electronic structure of the materials. In Fig. 2c, the survey spectra for both Co/CS and Co@Ru/CS confirmed the existence of C (1s: 284 eV), N 1s (400 eV), O 1s (531 eV) and Co 2p (790 eV) electrons (the latter also possessed an additional peak at 462.5 eV for the Ru 3p electrons). Based on the integrated peak areas, the contents of C, N, O, Co, and Ru in Co@Ru/CS were estimated to be 73.0, 5.8, 11.1, 7.9, and 2.1 at.%, respectively. These values align well with those from the above EDS measurements (Fig. S3). In Fig. 2d, the N 1s spectra display four distinct peaks at 398.5 eV for pyridinic N, 399.5 eV for Co-N, 400.8 eV for pyrrolic N, and 401.7 eV for graphitic N (Table S1) [25]. Note that the pyridinic N, Co-N and graphitic N have been known to exhibit good electrocatalytic activity towards ORR [26].

Fig. 2e displays the Ru 3p spectrum of Co@Ru/CS, from which two doublets can be deconvoluted. The primary doublet at 461.9/484.0 eV can be attributed to the 3p$_{3/2}$/3p$_{1/2}$ electrons of metallic Ru, while the secondary one at 463.8/485.2 eV to those of Ru$^{3+}$ [27,28]. For the Co 2p spectra (Fig. 2f), deconvolution yielded three doublets at 779.1/777.0, 780.7/776.1 and 782.3/779.4 eV for Co/CS, whereas 778.8/774.8, 780.4/775.8 and 782.1/779.2 eV for Co@Ru/CS, in line with the Co$^0$, Co$^{3+}$ and Co$^{2+}$-2p$_{3/2}$/2p$_{1/2}$ electrons, respectively [29–31]. It is noteworthy that the peak area corresponding to Co$^0$ in Co@Ru/CS significantly decreased in comparison to that of Co/CS, consistent with surface etching of Co by RuCl$_3$. Furthermore, it is evident that the Co 2p binding energies of Co@Ru/CS were drastically lower than those of Co/CS, signifying electron transfer from Ru to Co in Co@Ru/CS [32].

3.2. Electrocatalytic activity

Fig. 3a shows the ORR polarization curves collected with a RRDE, where the electrode potential was swept negatively, indicative of apparent ORR activity. The onset potential ($E_{onset}$), which was measured at the current density of 0.1 mA cm$^{-2}$, was estimated to be +0.945 V for Co/CS and +0.914 V for Co@Ru/CS, with the respective E$_{1/2,ORR}$ at +0.837 and +0.832 V, all very close to those of Pt/C ($E_{onset}$ = +0.981 V, E$_{1/2,ORR}$ = +0.840 V). CV measurements yielded similar results, as illustrated in Fig. S5. For Co/CS prepared with a different RuCl$_3$ feed, the ORR activity varied only slightly with the final Ru content in Co@Ru/CS (Fig. S6). This suggests that the Ru or Co nanoparticles were unlikely the ORR active sites, and the ORR activity most likely arose from the N-doped carbon and CoN$_x$ moieties. The Tafel plots are presented in Fig. 3b, where Co/CS exhibits a low Tafel slope of only 48 mV dec$^{-1}$, in comparison to 58 mV dec$^{-1}$ for Co@Ru/CS and 57 mV dec$^{-1}$ for Pt/C. Fig. 3c shows the number of electron transfer involved (n) and the H$_2$O$_2$ yield (%), where Co@Ru/CS demonstrate an n value greater than 3.83 within the wide potential range of 0 to +0.8 V, indicative of high selectivity towards the 4e$^-$ pathway for ORR, with the corresponding H$_2$O$_2$ yield under 3.12 %, which is highly comparable to that of Pt/C (n = 3.90, H$_2$O$_2$ yield 3.14 %).

Co@Ru/CS also possessed the highest OER activity among the series (Fig. 3d), featuring an overpotential ($\eta_{10,0RE}$) of only −180 mV to reach
the current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, much lower than that for Co/CS (+310 mV), Ru/NC (over +600 mV), and even commercial RuO$_2$ (+280 mV). From the Tafel plot in Fig. 3e, Co@Ru/CS showed a low Tafel slope of only 58 mV dec$^{-1}$, much lower than other samples in the series and RuO$_2$ (67 mV dec$^{-1}$). In fact, the OER performance of Co@Ru/CS is even better than leading results of relevant Ru-based electrocatalysts documented in the literature (Fig. 3f) [33–42].

To investigate the influence of Ru on the OER activities, the OER polarization curves of Co@Ru/CS prepared with a different RuCl$_3$ feed were also collected and compared. From Fig. S7, one can find that the OER activity initially rose and then declined with the increase of the RuCl$_3$ feed, indicating that both Ru and Co/CS contributed to the OER activity and the excellent OER performance most likely arose from the synergistic effect of Ru and Co/CS. Fig. 3g shows the corresponding
Nyquist plots, where Co@Ru/CS can be seen to exhibit a low charge-transfer resistance ($R_{CT}$) of only 10 $\Omega$ at +1.50 V, compared with 40 $\Omega$ for Co/CS and 32 $\Omega$ for RuO$_2$, indicating much enhanced electron-transfer kinetics on Co@Ru/CS. Moreover, the electrode double layer capacitance ($C_{DL}$) was evaluated by CV measurements in the non-Faradaic potential range (Fig. S8). One can see that Co@Ru/CS possessed a $C_{DL}$ of 68 mF cm$^{-1}$, drastically greater than that of Co@NC (31 mF cm$^{-1}$) (Fig. 4e), suggestive of improved accessibility of OER active sites in the former.

The ORR and OER stability were then tested by chronoamperometric (i-t) measurements and accelerated decay tests (ADT). Fig. S9a shows the ORR i-t curves of Pt/C and Co@Ru/CS at +0.70 V in an O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution at an electrode rotation rate of 900 rpm. After 20 h’s continuous operation, the ORR current of Co@Ru/CS diminished by only 10 % while Pt/C showed a loss of 42 %. Similar results were obtained in ADT (Fig. S9b). After 5000 CV cycles (within +1.20 to +1.60 V), the $E_{1/2}$ ORR of Co@Ru/CS shifted negatively by only 14 mV, much smaller than that of Pt/C (40 mV). Notably, after OER i-t tests at +1.45 V, a negative shift of only 36 mV was observed with the $E_{1/2}$ ORR of Co@Ru/CS (Fig. S9e), likely because of the robust structures of the N dopants and CoN$_x$ moieties.

For OER (Fig. 3i), after 1000 CV cycles (+1.20 to +1.60 V), the OER LSV curve showed a positive potential shift of merely 15 mV at the current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, as compared to 50 mV for RuO$_2$. In addition, after continuous i-t test for 36 h (at +1.5 V), ca. 60.0 % of the current density was lost with commercial RuO$_2$, whereas only ca. 30 % for Co@Ru/CS. These results demonstrate that Co@Ru/CS possessed better stability towards ORR and OER than commercial Pt/C and RuO$_2$ in alkaline media.

### 3.3. Electro catalytic enhancement mechanism

It is worth noting that the electrocatalysts often undergo significant restructuring at high potentials during oxygen electrochemistry [43]. Thus, to accurately reveal the active and stability, the structure and chemical composition of Co@Ru/CS after i-t tests for ORR and OER were studied by XRD, TEM, XPS and Raman measurements. From the XRD patterns in Fig. S10a, the diffraction peaks of Co can still be found at +0.70 V in an O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution at an electrode rotation rate of 900 rpm. After 20 h’s continuous operation, the ORR current of Co@Ru/CS diminished by only 10 % while Pt/C showed a loss of 42 %. Similar results were obtained in ADT (Fig. S9b). After 5000 CV cycles (within +1.20 to +0.60 V), the $E_{1/2}$ ORR of Co@Ru/CS shifted negatively by only 14 mV, much smaller than that of Pt/C (40 mV). Notably, after OER i-t tests at +1.45 V, a negative shift of only 36 mV was observed with the $E_{1/2}$ ORR of Co@Ru/CS (Fig. S9e), likely because of the robust structures of the N dopants and CoN$_x$ moieties.

For OER (Fig. 3i), after 1000 CV cycles (+1.20 to +1.60 V), the OER LSV curve showed a positive potential shift of merely 15 mV at the current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, as compared to 50 mV for RuO$_2$. In addition, after continuous i-t test for 36 h (at +1.5 V), ca. 60.0 % of the current density was lost with commercial RuO$_2$, whereas only ca. 30 % for Co@Ru/CS. These results demonstrate that Co@Ru/CS possessed better stability towards ORR and OER than commercial Pt/C and RuO$_2$ in alkaline media.
CoOOH and RuO₂ can be readily resolved. In Raman measurements (Fig. S12), the \( I_D/I_G \) value can be found to increase only slightly to 1.21 and 1.14 after OER and ORR tests, respectively, suggesting a largely intact carbon scaffold during the electrochemical reactions. Yet, ICP-MS measurements showed that ca. 10% of the initial Ru was dissolved from \( \text{Co@Ru@CS} \) into the electrolyte during OER, whereas the dissolution was significantly reduced (<1%) during ORR (Table S2). In fact, the \( S \) number, which is the mole ratio of \( \text{O}_2 \) produced versus dissolved Ru (\( \frac{n_{\text{O}_2,\text{evolved}}}{n_{\text{Ru,\text{dissolved}}}} \)) [44,45], can be found to be rather consistent at \( 5.4 \times 10^4 \), \( 5.4 \times 10^4 \) and \( 5.1 \times 10^4 \) at 4, 8, and 12 h of OER, respectively (Fig. S13 and Table S3), further confirming the excellent OER performance of \( \text{Co@Ru@CS} \).

Moreover, XPS measurements of the C 1s and N 1s electrons after OER and ORR tests (Fig. S14a and b) also suggest that the carbon matrix possessed good stability, retaining the C\( = \)C, Co\( – \)N, pyridinic-N and graphitic-N species. In the Ru 3p spectra (Fig. S14c), the Ru\(^0\) species disappeared completely after OER and ORR tests. In the Co 2p spectra (Fig. S14d) after ORR test, the Co\(^0\) peak can be still observed, but shifted to a higher energy after OER, suggesting marked oxidation of the \( \text{Co@Ru@CS} \) surface. This is consistent with the formation of RuO₂ and CoOOH observed above. In addition, in situ Raman measurements were carried out to examine the surface structure of \( \text{Co@Ru@CS} \) during OER tests (the experimental setup is depicted in Fig. 4a). Fig. 4b shows the Raman spectra of \( \text{Co@Ru@CS} \) acquired at different applied potentials. No obvious peak appeared when the potential was under +1.3 V. Yet, at increasingly positive potentials, three vibrational bands emerged, which can be assigned to RuO₂ (684 cm\(^{-1}\)) and CoOOH (476 and 517 cm\(^{-1}\)), respectively [44,45], in alignment with the results from the above XPS, XRD, and HRTEM analyses. That is, the excellent OER activity of \( \text{Co@Ru@CS} \) most likely arose from the RuO₂/CoOOH species generated during electrochemical reactions [46].

DFT calculations were then carried out to further analyze the OER mechanism. The OER process on the surface of CoOOH/RuO₂ is a typical 4-electron transfer reaction involving multiple oxygen-containing...
intermediates, such as *OH, *O, *OOH, and O2 [47], and the main sites for oxygen-containing intermediates in the CoOOH/RuO2 heterojunction are the Ru centers (Fig. 4e). Fig. 4d shows the density of states (DOS), where the d-band center (−2.42 eV) of Ru in CoOOH/RuO2 is close to the Fermi level, as compared to pristine RuO2 (−3.14 eV), which can boost the binding of reaction intermediates and hence charge transfer during the OER process, leading to an improved electrocatalytic performance [48]. For OER catalysts, the performance depends mainly on the Gibbs free energy change of the rate-determining step (RDS) [49]. As shown in Fig. 4e, the RDS is the coupling of O* to OOH*, where Co@Ru/CS displays a lowest ΔG of only 1.98 eV, as compared to 2.26 eV for RuO2 and 2.92 eV for CoOOH, in agreement with experimental results.

Further mechanistic insights were obtained by analysis of the materials electronic configurations using molecular orbital theory. Considering the electronic configurations of Co0 (3d7: εg2 2g1) and Ru0 (4d6: εg2 2g1) in the Ru-Co NPs (Fig. 4f), the fully occupied π symmetry (εg2) 3d orbitals of Co0 and 4d orbitals of Ru0 in low spin state interact with bridging O2 through strong e−e donation. After reconstruction, there are two unpaired electrons in the π symmetry (εg2) 4d orbitals of Ru4+ (4d4: εg2 εg2) which interact with the bridging O2 through π donation and weaken the e−e repulsion between the bridging O2 and Co3+ (3d6: εg2 εg2), trigger partial electron transfer from Co4+ to Ru4+, and thus promote electron transfer at the interface [50,51].

The partial density of states (PDOS) is shown in Fig. 5a, which confirms the changes in the spin configuration after reconstruction. One can see that the total occupancy of the t2g orbitals is very close at 3.93 for Co@Ru/CS and 3.94 for RuO2. Yet, the total occupancy of the eg orbitals is only 2.0 for Ru in Co@Ru/CS and 1.8 for RuO2. The decrease of the eg orbital occupancy after reconstruction can be ascribed to the interactions between the Co 3d, O 2p and Ru 4d electrons, due to reduced spin of Ru after transformation into RuO2.

During the OER process, the reaction intermediates (*OH, *O, *OOH and *OO) would adsorb on the active sites and finally evolve into O2 (Fig. 5b). During the adsorption process, due to symmetry conservation, the Ru dx2−y2 and dyz orbitals are not considered. The Ru dx2 and dyz orbitals are axial-symmetric with O pσ orbitals and would form σ/π molecular orbitals while the Ru dz2 and dpz are mirror-symmetric with O pπ/px and would form π/π* molecular orbitals [52]. Meanwhile, the bond orders (BO) are used to evaluate the adsorption of the reaction intermediates by the following equation, $BO = \frac{n_{\text{bonding}} - n_{\text{anti-bonding}}}{2}$, where $n_{\text{bonding}}$ and $n_{\text{anti-bonding}}$ represent the number of electrons in the bonding and anti-bonding states, respectively. The higher the BO, the stronger the adsorption. Fig. 5c shows the spin configurations of Ru4+. For high-spin Ru4+ species, the adsorption of all oxygen intermediates is too strong (Fig. 5d). Low-spin Ru4+ entails a strong adsorption of *OH (Fig. 5e), with a BO of 2, which is beneficial to capture the reactant and start the OER cycle, whereas medium adsorption is observed with *O, *OOH and *OO intermediates, which is conducive to the transformation of reaction intermediates during the OER process. This suggests that the spin configurations of cations determine their interactions with reaction intermediates, rendering the lower-spin Ru4+ more favorable for the OER process.

### 3.4. Performance of r-ZABs

Results from the above studies show that Co@Ru/CS can serve as an effective bifunctional oxygen electrocatalyst (Fig. 6a), where the pyridinic-N, graphitic-N, and Co-N contributed collectively to the ORR activity, and the CoOOH/RuO2 heterojunctions derived from surface reconstruction were responsible for OER. In electrochemical measurements (Fig. 6b), Co@Ru/CS exhibited a small ΔE of only 0.69 V, markedly lower than that of commercial benchmarks of Pt/C + RuO2 (0.76 V). In fact, the bifunctional activity of Co@Ru/CS was even competitive to leading results of relevant catalysts reported in the literature (Fig. S15) [10,13,15,17,53-57].

The excellent overall oxygen catalytic performance made Co@Ru/CS a viable air cathode catalyst for r-ZABs. In Fig. 6c, a r-ZAB was fabricated by using a Zn foil as the anode and a piece of carbon cloth coated with Co@Ru/CS as the air electrode, along with 6.0 M KOH + 0.2 M Zn (Ac)2 as the electrolyte (Fig. S16a). First, the specific capacity was tested at a discharge current density of 10.0 mA cm−2 (Fig. 6d), which was 781 mAh g−1 for Co@Ru/CS, higher than that for Pt/C + RuO2 (760.56 mAh g−1). Moreover, from Fig. 6e, one can find that the discharge-charge overpotential of Co@Ru/CS was slightly lower than that of Pt/C + RuO2, where Co@Ru/CS-based r-ZAB delivered a low voltage difference of 0.99 V at 50.0 mA cm−2, as compared to 1.06 V for Pt/C + RuO2. In the discharge mode (Fig. 6f), the Co@Ru/CS-based r-ZAB exhibited a current density of 109.2 mA cm−2 at 1.0 V and a maximum power density of 115 mW cm−2 at 0.83 V, while the maximum power density of the Pt/C

---

**Fig. 5.** (a) PDOS plots of Co@Ru/CS and RuO2. (b) Spin configurations of Ru4+. (c) Reaction intermediates of *OH, *O, *OOH and *OO adsorbed on the Ru sites. Coupling with oxygen-related intermediates for (d) high-spin state of Ru4+ species and (e) low-spin state of Ru4+. 

*Note: The image contains diagrams and plots illustrating the electronic configurations and interactions of various species involved in the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) processes.*
RuO$_2$ based device was markedly lower at 91 mW cm$^{-2}$, further confirming the excellent reversible oxygen catalytic activity of Co@Ru/CS. Co@Ru/CS-based r-ZAB also displayed a higher open circuit voltage of 1.447 V, as compared to 1.412 V for Pt/C + RuO$_2$ (Fig. S16b). Notably, a light-emitting diode could be powered by two r-ZABs and run for several hours (Fig. S16b inset). These indicate excellent performance of the Co@Ru/CS-based r-ZAB. Fig. 6g shows the long-term cycling curves at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ of the r-ZAB, where the charge–discharge voltage difference of Co@Ru/CS and Pt/C + RuO$_2$ remained at 0.72 V and 0.80 V, respectively. During continuous operation for 40 h, it increased to 0.86 V with the Pt/C + RuO$_2$-based r-ZAB, but remained at 0.76 V for the Co@Ru/CS-based counterpart. More importantly, after 96 h’s continuous operation, the Co@Ru/CS-based r-ZAB remained stable. These results highlight the remarkable performance of Co@Ru/CS as the oxygen catalysts for r-ZAB.

4. Conclusion

In summary, nanocomposites based on Co@Ru core@shell nanoparticles embedded within N-doped carbon (Co@Ru/CS) were prepared via facile galvanic exchange reactions between RuCl$_3$ and Co/CS and exhibited apparent bifunctional electrocatalytic activity towards both OER and ORR. Electrochemical tests showed that the Co@Ru/CS composite exhibited a low $\eta_{10,OER}$ of +180 mV and a high $E_{1/2,ORR}$ of +0.832 V, an excellent activity remarkably outperforming the monometallic Ru/NC and Co/CS counterparts. In conjunction with computational studies, the OER activity of Co@Ru/CS was found to be mainly due to RuO$_2$/CoOOH species produced at high electrode potentials of OER, whereas ORR was most likely due to the N-doped carbon and CoN$_x$ moieties. With Co@Ru/CS as the air cathode catalyst, the r-ZAB displayed a higher specific capacity (781 mAh g$^{-1}$), larger power density (115 mW cm$^{-2}$) and better long-term cycling stability than that based on commercial Pt/C + RuO$_2$. These results offer a unique strategy of structural engineering, contributing to the design of efficient bifunctional catalysts for r-ZABs.
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