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## Geometry

## * 3D scanning

Solid


3D image


Medical applications


Vision - has depth info


Picture Credit: Dr. Wojciech Matusik@MIT
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## Geometry

## * 3D scanning



Solid


Art, fashion, person, etc.


3D content for 3D printer, replication

品 UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS.

## Geometry

* 3D scanning - range

Contact scanner

* Mechanical (CMM)
* Accurate but slow $(<\sim 100 \mathrm{~Hz})$


## 



Non-Contact Transmissive Scanner

* CT
* MRI



## Geometry

## * 3D scanning - Optical scan

Introduction
3D Scanning

## CAD

Curve

Surface

Solid


## Geometry

* 3D scanning - Optical scan


## Pros

* Non-contact
* Safe
* Usually inexpensive
* Usually fast


## Cons

- Sensitive to transparency
- Often confused by specularity \& inter-reflection
* Texture (sometimes helpful, sometime not)


## Geometry

## * 3D scanning - Optical scan - passive Shape from shading

* Given: image of surface with known, constant reflectance under known point light
* Estimate normals, integrate to find surface
* Problem: ambiguity



## Pros

* Single image
* No correspondences



## Cons

* Mathematically unstable
* Cant have texture

3D scanning - Optical scan - passive
Shape from focus/defocus
at which focus setting is a given image region sharpest

- how out-of-focus is each image region


Pros

* Single image
* No correspondences

Cons

* Mathematically unstable
* Inaccurate


## Geometry

## - 3D scanning - Optical scan - passive

## Stereo

* Two cameras - like eyes


Pros

* Passive
* Cheap hardware (2 cameras)
- Easy to accommodate motion
* Intuitive (similar to human vision)

Cons

* Need features for correspondence
* Noisy data (inaccurate)
* Bad around silhouettes


## Geometry

## * 3D scanning - Optical scan - passive

Stereo
Picture Credit: Dr. Wojciech Matusik@MIT


## Geometry

## * 3D scanning - Optical scan - active

Pros

* Can get dense data
* Much more robust and accurate than passive

Cons

* Introduce light into scene (distracting, etc.) * More expensive

品

## Geometry

## * 3D scanning - Optical scan - active

## Stereo with projected texture



Slide Credit: Dr. Wojciech Matusik@MIT

## Geometry

## * 3D scanning - Optical scan - active Pulsed time of flight

* Send out pulses of light and time how long to return

Curve

Surface


## Pros

* Large working volume

Cons

* Inaccurate (at best ~5 mm)
* Need timing < 30 ps
* Typically used for scanning buildings, archeological sites


## Geometry

## * 3D scanning - Optical scan - active Triangulation

- process of determining the location of a point by measuring angles to it from known points at either end of a fixed baseline

Curve

Surface

Solid

## * 3D scanning - Optical scan - active

 Triangulation - Light strip scanning* Project a light stripe of laser light
* Scan across surface
* Very precise, but need many images


Multi-stripe laser triangulation

## Geometry

## * 3D scanning - Optical scan - active

Triangulation scanner issues

- Accuracy proportional to working volume (typically 1000:1)
* Can scale down to small working volume, but doesn't scale up
* Shadowing issue

Triangulation angle: non-uniform resolution (useful angle range $15^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$ J

* Material properties (dark, specular, etc)
* Subsurface scattering
* Laser speckle
* Edge curl
* Texture embossing
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## Geometry

## * 3D scanning - Optical scan - active

Triangulation - Multi-stripe

* Go faster;
* Need to determine which strip is which: color or time-coded



## Time



Space

$\sqrt{a}$ UNiVERSITYOF
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Curve

Surface

Solid


* Algebraic geometry


## Geometry

* CAD - Curves [1D]
$y=f(x)=a x+b ;$
Explicit
$x(\mathrm{t})=\sin (\mathrm{t})$;
$y(t)=\cos (t)$
Parametric
- Shape independent of position
- Invariant under rotation or translation
- Enable user control

$$
f(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}=1
$$

## Implicit



Piecewise

* Discrete
* Flexible
* Each piece can be explicit, implicit, or parametric
* continuity ${ }^{\text {( }}{ }^{\text {th }}$ order, $1^{\text {st }}$ order, $2^{\text {nd }}$ order, etc.)


## (c) 0 )

## * CAD - Curves [1D] - Parametric

Cubic curve - polynomial
$x(u)=a_{3 x} u^{3}+a_{2 x} u^{2}+a_{1 x} u+a_{0 x}$
$y(u)=a_{3 y} u^{3}+a_{2 y} u^{2}+a_{1 y} u+a_{0 y}$
$z(u)=a_{3 z} u^{3}+a_{2 z} u^{2}+a_{1 z} u+a_{0 z}$
Cubic curve - vector form

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(u) & =a_{3} u^{3}+a_{2} u^{2}+a_{1} u+a_{0} \\
& =\left[u^{3} u^{2} u 1\right]\left[a_{3} a_{2} a_{1} a_{0}\right]^{\top}=U A
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hermite curve


$u=0$

end points: $p(0), p(1)$ end tangents: $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{u}}(0), \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{u}}(1)$

## Derivative

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{u}(u)=d x(u) / d u \\
& y^{u}(u)=d y(u) / d u \\
& z^{u}(u)=d z(u) / d u
\end{aligned}
$$

Bezier curve - Pierre Bezier in 1960 s

$$
3(1-u) u^{2} p_{2}+u^{3} p_{3}
$$

* Characteristic Polygon: Curves interpolate first and last CV.
* Intermediate CV's shape the curve.
* Changes tend to be localized.
* Curve is tangent to first pair of CV's and last pair of CV's.

$$
22
$$

* Invariant under rotations and translations.


## Geometry

## * CAD - Surfaces [2D]

$$
z(x, y)=3 x^{2}+4 y^{2}+2 x y \quad f(x, y, z)=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=1
$$ Explicit

Implicit
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{w})$;
$y=y(u, w)$;
Z=z(u,w);
Parametric


Piecewise (surface patches): consider continuity


Surface lofting (create surface from curves)

## Geometry

## * CAD - Surfaces (2D) - Parametric

Introduction

3D Scanning
CAD

Curve

Surface

Solid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{p}(u, w)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j} \\
& \mathbf{p}(u, w)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & u & u^{2} & u^{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[a_{i j}\right] \\
& \mathbf{p}(u, w)=\mathbf{U A W}
\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
w \\
w^{2} \\
w^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Hermite form

* 4 End Points: $p(0,0)$. $p(1,0), p(0,1), p(1,1)$
* 8 Tangents at end points, pu(u,w). pw(u,w)
* 4 Twist Vectors at end points: puw(u,w)
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## (c) 0 ?

## * CAD - Surfaces (2D) - Parametric

Curve

Surface

Solid


Bezier surface

* 4 corner points: poo. p03, p30, p33.
* 4 intermediate points: p11, p22, p12, p21 control cross slopes in same manner as twist vectors.
* 8 other points control boundary curves.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{p}(u, w)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
(1-u)^{3} & 3 u(1-u)^{2} & 3 u^{2}(1-u) & u^{3}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{P}\left[\begin{array}{c}
(1-w)^{3} \\
3 w(1-w)^{2} \\
3 w^{2}(1-w) \\
w^{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{P}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
p_{00} & p_{01} & p_{02} & p_{03} \\
p_{10} & p_{11} & p_{12} & p_{13} \\
p_{20} & p_{21} & p_{22} & p_{23} \\
p_{30} & p_{31} & p_{32} & p_{33}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Geometry

## * CAD - Surfaces (2D) - Loft



Linear Loft $\mathbf{p}(u, w)=(1-w) \mathbf{p}_{1}(u)+w \mathbf{p}_{2}(u)$

## Bilinear Loft

Linear loft from $p_{1}(u)$ to $p_{3}(u)$
$\mathbf{p}(u, w)=(1-w) \mathbf{p}_{1}(u)+w \mathbf{p}_{3}(u)$
Linear loft from $p_{2}(u)$ to $p_{4}(u)$
$\mathbf{p}(u, w)=(1-u) \mathbf{p}_{2}(w)+u \mathbf{p}_{4}(w)$


Combine

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{q}(u, w)= & (1-w) \mathbf{p}_{1}(u, 0)+w \mathbf{p}_{3}(u, 1)+(1-u) \mathbf{p}_{2}(0, w)+u \mathbf{p}_{4}(1, w) \\
& -\mathbf{p}_{00}(1-u)(1-w)-\mathbf{p}_{01}(1-u) w-\mathbf{p}_{10} u(1-w)-\mathbf{p}_{11} u w
\end{aligned}
$$

Geometry

## * CAD - Solid (3D)



## Solid model

* 3D point sets
- Inside \& outside
* Physical properties: mass, volume, moment of inertia, stress, strain, etc. EMPro Platform

Emphasis on physical fidelity
Motivation


FEM Simulator Finite Element Method
Physically based
CAD/CAM need solid info simulations (e.g., FEM)
CT scan (generate solid data)

## Geometry

## * CAD - Solid (3D)

## General requirements

* Expressive power: have adequate info to answer any geometric questions
* Validity: manufacturability and realizability
* Unambiguity: unique representation
- Easy for transformation and Boolean operations
* Conciseness: storage requirement
* Computational ease: easy to write algorithm for
- Efficient display


## General approaches

* Edges: wireframe model
* Surfaces: surface boundary
* Volume: entire volume


## (c) 0 ?

## * CAD - Solid(3D)

## Validity of 3D models

(Definition: A solid is a bounded, closed subset of $E^{3}$ (Euclidean Space)

* Bounded: finite extent; Closed: has a boundary


## 2-manifold

- A 2-manifold $M$ is a topological space where every point has a neighborhood topologically equivalent to an open disk of $E^{2}$.


No isolated/embedded
Neighborhoods points or line segments around points

Non-manifold


Shared vertex Edge embedded in face Dangling face

## Geometry

## - CAD - Solid(3D)

Not all 2-manifold are realizable * Sufficient condition: orientability

Orient a pyramid: all polygons oriented clockwise as seen from outside (consistently oriented).


Curve

Surface

Solid

## Euler Characteristic

* $\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{2 ( s}-\mathbf{h}$ ); $\mathbf{s}=$ \# shells, $\mathbf{h}=$ \# holes
$v=5, f=5, e=8$
v-e + f = +2
2(s - h) = +2
+2 = Euler Characteristic for all objects topologically equivalent to sphere
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## Geometry

## * CAD - Solid(3D)

## Wireframe modeling - Problem: ambiguity



## Geometry

## * CAD - Solid (3D) - Implicit representation

Common quadratic shapes

* Sphere

| Torus |
| :--- |
| Ellipsoid |
| Paraboloid |$\left(\frac{x}{r_{x}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{y}{r_{y}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{z}{r_{z}}\right)^{2}-1=0$

* Hyperboloid

Pros

* Very concise
* Guaranteed validity
* Easy to test if points are on surface or inside
* Easy to intersect two shapes


## Cons

* Hard to describe complex shapes
* Hard to draw (interact with users)


## Geometry

## - CAD - Solid(3D) - Parametric representation

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P(u, v, w)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
x & y & z
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x(u, v, w) \quad y(u, v, w) \quad z(u, v, w)
\end{array}\right] \\
u_{\min } \leq u \leq u_{\max } ; v_{\min } \leq v \leq v_{\max } ; w_{\min } \leq w \leq w_{\max }
\end{array}
$$



Hyperpatch

Pictures credit: Dr Shriram Hegde@IITD

## * CAD - Solid(3D) - Boundary representation (B-rep)

B-rep (Baumgart 1970) - Explicit representation of:

* Boundary of an object
* Connectivity among faces, edges, and vertices
- Geometric and topological information


Winged-Edge Tables

| Edge | Vstart | Vend | fcw | fccw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e1 | v1 | v2 | fl | f 2 |
| e2 | v 2 | v 3 | f 1 | f 3 |
| e3 | v 3 | v 4 | fl | f 4 |
| e4 | v 4 | v 1 | fl | $\mathrm{f5}$ |
| e5 | v 1 | v 5 | f 2 | f 5 |

## Geometry

## * CAD - Solid (3D) - Boundary representation (B-rep)

## Winged-Edge Tables

Curve

Surface

Solid

V

| v1 | $x 1$ yd z1 | el |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v2 | $x 2$ yR z2 | e2 |

v3
e3
v4
.....
e4
v5
v6
v7
v8

| EDGE | NW | PCW | NCCW | PCCW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| el | e2 | e4 | e5 | e6 |
| e2 | e3 | el | e6 | e7 |
| e3 | e4 | e2 | e7 | e8 |
| e4 | el | e3 | e8 | e5 |
| e5 | e9 | el | e4 | e12 |
| e6 | e10 | e2 | el | e9 |
| e7 | e11 | e3 | e2 | e10 |
| e8 | e12 | e4 | e3 | e11 |
| e9 | e6 | e5 | e12 | e10 |
| e10 | e7 | e6 | e9 | e11 |

ell
e 12
e8 e
e7
es
es
e 10
e 12
ell
e9

| Face | First Edge |
| :---: | :---: |
| fl | el |
| f2 | e9 |
| f3 | e6 |
| f4 | e7 |
| f5 | e12 |

ff


* Explicit topological info
* Easy to render

Cons

* Hard to check validity * Hard for Boolean operation University of of
ARKANSAS


## Geometry

## - CAD - Solid (3D) - Sweep representation

Linear Sweep

Pros

* Simple representation

i Circular sweep
* Good for uniform extruded * No formal theory objects or rotational geometry


## Cons

Procedural Modeling: Describe 3D models using algorithms

* Limited domain
* Validation schemes unknown


Invalid Sweep


Invalid 2-D profile for sweep, nested more than 1 level

## Geometry

## * CAD - Solid(3D) - Spatial Occupancy Enumeration

Partition space into a uniform grid

* Grid cells are called voxels (volume element, like pixels)

Curve

Surface

Solid


Engine Block Stanford University

Store properties of solid object with each voxel

* Occupancy
- Color
- Density


Visible Human
(National Library of Medicine)

* Temperature
* etc.


## - CAD - Solid(3D) - Spatial Occupancy Enumeration

## Voxel storage

* $O\left[n^{3}\right.$ ) storage fo $n \times n \times n$ grid (1 Billion voxels for $1000 \times 1000 \times 1000$ )
* Processing just like image processing


Boolean operations

* Compare objects voxel by voxel * Trivial



## Geometry

## - CAD - Solid (3D) - Spatial Occupancy Enumeration

## Voxel display

* Isosurface rendering: Render surfaces bounding volumetric regions of constant value (e.g., density)


Slicing

* Draw
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Isosurface visualization (Princeton University)


Visible Human (National
Library of Medicine)

## Geometry

## * CAD - Solid (3D) - Spatial Occupancy Enumeration

## Voxel display

* Isosurface rendering: Render surfaces bounding volumetric regions of constant value (e.g., density)


Isosurface visualization (Princeton University)

* Ray casting
* Integrate RGB, opacity. etc. for rendering



## Geometry

## - CAD - Solid(3D] - Spatial Occupancy Enumeration

## Polygon generation



Solid


3D


## - CAD - Solid(3D] - Spatial Occupancy Enumeration

## Slicing

* Draw 2D image from intersecting voxels with a plane



## Pros

- Simple, intuitive, unambiguous
* Same complexity for all objects
* Natural acquisition for some apps.
* Trivial Boolean operations

Cons

* Approximate
* Not invariant for affine transformations
* Large storage requirements
* Expensive display


## Geometry

## * CAD - Solid(3D) - Spatial Occupancy Enumeration

Quadtrees \& Octrees

* Refine resolution of voxels hierarchically
* Encoded using a standard tree data structure

$A \cup B$

$A \cap B$


## - CAD - Solid(3D] - Spatial Occupancy Enumeration

 Binary space partitions (BSPs)* Recursive partition of space by planes
* Make leaf cells as inside or outside object


Object



* Regions decrease in size along tree depth and converge to the surface


1st level Approximation


2nd level Approximation

## (CAD - Solid(3D) - Constructive Solid Geometry [CSG]

## CSG: 1974 by Ian Braid

* Build complex objects from simple parts using Boolean operations
* Intuitive
* Represent solid object as hierarchy of Boolean operations
* Boolean operations are not evaluated
* Objects are represented implicitly with a tree structure

Simple shapes

* Cuboids
* Cylinders
* Prisms
* Pyramids
* Spheres
* Cones
* Extrusions/Sweepings



## (c) 0 ?

Introduction

3D Scanning

Curve

Surface

Solid

## * CAD - Solid(3D) - Constructive Solid Geometry (CSG)

## Boolean operations

* Union
* Intersection
* Difference


Subtraction


## Affine transformations



Reflect about origin
Reflect about x-axis
Reflect about y-axis

$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$


## Algorithm: Ray tracing

- INPUT: Assume that we have a ray $R$ and a CSG tree T
- If T is a solid,
- compute all intersections of $R$ with $T$
- return parameter values and normals
- If T is a transformation
- apply inverse transformation to R and recursion
- apply inverse transpose of transformation to normals
- return parameter values
- Otherwise T is a Boolean operation
- recursion on two children to obtain two sets of intervals
- apply operation in T to intervals
- return parameter values.
- OUTPUT: Display closest intersection points


## (c) 0 ?

## * CAD - Solid(3D) - Constructive Solid Geometry (CSG)

## Algorithm: Inside/Outside Test

- Given a point $p$ and a tree $T$, determine if $p$ is inside/outside the solid defined by $T$
- If $T$ is a solid
- Determine if $p$ is inside $T$ and return
- If $T$ is a transformation
- Apply the inverse transformation to $p$ and recursion
- Otherwise $T$ is a Boolean operation
- Recursion to determine inside/outside of left/right children
- If T is Union
- If either child is inside, return inside, else outside
- If T is Intersection
- If both children are inside, return inside, else outside
- If T is Subtraction
- If $p$ is inside left child and outside right child, return inside, else outside


## Algorithm: Calculate volume

Put bounding box around object

- Pick n random points inside the box
- Determine if each point is inside/outside the CSG Tree
- Volume = \#inside/n


## Geometry

## - CAD - Solid(3D) - Summary

## Introduction

3D Scanning

CAD

Curve

Surface

Solid

|  | Implicit/ <br> Parametric | B-rep | Voxel | Octree | BSP | CSG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accurate | Yes | Yes | No | No | Some | Some |
| Concise | Yes | Some | No | No | No | Yes |
| Affine <br> invariant | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Easy <br> acquisition | No | No | Some | Some | No | Some |
| Guaranteed <br> validity | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Efficient <br> Boolean <br> operations | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Efficient <br> display | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | No |
| Expressive <br> power | Very <br> Limited | Good | Excellent | Excellent | Excellent | Excellent |

Introductio
3D Scannin
CAD
Curve

Surface

Solid

## THANK Y®U!
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