

Topic 2: Part 3

Introducing Heterogeneity and Borrowing Constraints: Implications for Output Dynamics and the Liquidity Trap

Mark Gertler NYU

Spring 2019

Debt, Deleveraging and the Liquidity Trap (Eggertsson/Krugman)

- Objective: introduce heterogeneity and lending and borrowing in simple NK model
 - Allow for financial constraints that impede credit flow
 - Illustrate how tightening of financial constraints may reduce aggregate demand,
 - By doing, may reduce the natural rate of interest, possibly moving the economy into a liquidity trap and recession
 - Illustrate how the deleveraging process (drawing down of debt) can cause the downturn to persist.
- Motivation: tightening of borrowing constraints on households played an important role in Great Recession
 - Decline housing prices limited ability to obtain credit
 - Financial institutions that experienced losses also tightened lending terms.

Setup

- Baseline: NK model with consumption goods only
- Two types of agents:
 - Saver: consumes C_t^s and lends the amount D_t in capital market.
 - * Discount factor of β
 - Borrower: consumes C_t^b and borrows D_t
 - * Discount factor of $\gamma < \beta$ (motive for borrowing)
 - * Faces borrowing constraint $R_{t+1}D_t \leq \bar{D}_t$
- For simplicity we assume borrowers get the fraction ν of output Y_t and savers the fraction $1 - \nu$
 - Goal is to derive IS curve, not complete model
- We also restrict attention to real debt, but discuss the implications of nominal debt and deflation (which raises real debt burdens).

Borrower Behavior

No uncertainty, abstract from labor supply - deterministic problem

- Objective

$$\max_{C_t^b, D_t} E_t \sum_{i=0} \gamma^i \log C_{t+i}^b$$

- Budget constraint

$$C_t^b = vY_t - R_t D_{t-1} + D_t$$

- Borrowing constraint

$$R_{t+1} D_t \leq \bar{D}_t$$

Borrower's Decision Problem

- Bellman equation

$$V_t(R_t D_{t-1}) = \max_{C_t, D_t} (\log C_t + E_t\{\beta V(R_{t+1} D_t)\})$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} C_t^b &= v Y_t - R_t D_{t-1} + D_t \\ R_{t+1} D_t &\leq \bar{D}_t \end{aligned}$$

$\Omega_t \equiv$ Lagrange multiplier on borrowing constraint (i.e. the shadow value of increasing the debt limit)

- First order necessary condition for consumption/saving

$$\frac{1}{C_t^b} = R_{t+1} [E_t\{\gamma \frac{1}{C_{t+1}^b}\} + \Omega_t]$$

Solution

- If borrowing constraint does not bind (i.e. $\Omega_t = 0$)

$$\frac{1}{C_t^b} = R_{t+1} E_t \left\{ \gamma \frac{1}{C_{t+1}^b} \right\}$$

- If constraint binds (i.e. $\Omega_t > 0$)

$$C_t^b = vY_t - \bar{D}_{t-1} + \bar{D}_t / R_{t+1}$$

- Note:
 - Constraint more likely to bind, the lower the discount factor γ
 - Tightening the borrowing limit \bar{D}_t reduces C_t^b
 - Conversely, lower inherited debt \bar{D}_{t-1} raises C_t^b .

Saver Behavior

- Objective

$$\max_{C_t^s, D_t} E_t \sum_{i=0} \beta^i \log C_{t+i}^s$$

- Budget constraint

$$C_t^b = (1 - v)Y_t + R_t D_{t-1} - D_t$$

→

First order necessary condition

$$\frac{1}{C_t^b} = R_{t+1} E_t \left\{ \beta \frac{1}{C_{t+1}^b} \right\}$$

Note $\beta > \gamma \rightarrow$ stronger incentive to save than for borrower

Equilibrium (taking output as given for now)

- Resource constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= C_t \\ &= C_t^s + C_t^b \end{aligned}$$

- Saver behavior

$$\frac{1}{C_t^s} = R_{t+1} E_t \left\{ \beta \frac{1}{C_{t+1}^s} \right\}$$

- Borrower behavior (assuming borrowing constraint is binding)

$$C_t^b = vY_t - \bar{D}_{t-1} + \bar{D}_t / R_{t+1}$$

Deterministic Steady State

- From saver behavior

$$\frac{1}{C^b} = R\beta \frac{1}{C^b} \rightarrow$$
$$1 = R\beta$$

- From borrower

$$C^b = vY - \bar{D} + \bar{D}/R \rightarrow$$
$$C^b = vY - \frac{R-1}{R}\bar{D}$$

- From resource constraint and borrower

$$C^s = Y - C^b$$
$$= (1 - \nu)Y + \frac{R-1}{R}\bar{D}$$

Given Y , C^b varies inversely with \bar{D} and C^s positively.

The Short Run, Deleveraging and the Liquidity Trap

- Derive IS curve (a relation for Y conditional on R) from saver's Euler equation

$$C_t^s = (\beta R_{t+1})^{-1} E_t C_{t+1}^s \rightarrow$$

$$Y_t - C_t^b = (\beta R_{t+1})^{-1} E_t (Y_{t+1} - C_{t+1}^b) \rightarrow$$

$$Y_t = (\beta R_{t+1})^{-1} E_t Y_{t+1} + C_t^b - (\beta R_{t+1})^{-1} C_{t+1}^b$$

$$Y_t = (\beta R_{t+1})^{-1} E_t Y_{t+1} + \nu Y_t - \bar{D}_{t-1} + \bar{D}_t / R_{t+1} - (\beta R_{t+1})^{-1} E_t (\nu Y_{t+1} - \bar{D}_t + \bar{D}_{t+1} / R_{t+2})$$

$$Y_t = (\beta R_{t+1})^{-1} E_t Y_{t+1} + \frac{1}{1 - \nu} [-\bar{D}_{t-1} + (1 + \beta^{-1}) \bar{D}_t / R_{t+1} - (\beta R_{t+1})^{-1} E_t (\bar{D}_{t+1} / R_{t+2})]$$

IS Curve with Debt Constraints

$$Y_t = (\beta R_{t+1})^{-1} E_t Y_{t+1} + \frac{1}{1-\nu} [-\bar{D}_{t-1} + (1 + \beta^{-1}) \bar{D}_t / R_{t+1} - (\beta R_{t+1})^{-1} E_t (\bar{D}_{t+1} / R_{t+2})]$$

$\frac{1}{1-\nu}$ is multiplier arises because C_t^b depends on Y_t

- Debt constraint affects position of IS curve. Given R_{t+1}, R_{t+2}
 - Increased debt overhang reduces output $\bar{D}_{t-1} \uparrow \rightarrow C_t^b \downarrow \rightarrow Y_t \downarrow$ ($\frac{1}{1-\nu}$ is multiplier effect due to effect of Y_t on C_t^b).
 - Tightening of borrower limit reduces output $\bar{D}_t \downarrow \rightarrow C_t^b \downarrow$ and $C_t^s \downarrow$ (the latter because $C_{t+1}^s \downarrow \rightarrow Y_t \downarrow$)

"Deleveraging" Shock and the Liquidity Trap

- Determination of natural rate of interest R_{t+1}^* :

$$Y_t^* = (\beta R_{t+1}^*)^{-1} E_t Y_{t+1}^* + \frac{1}{1-\nu} [-\bar{D}_{t-1} + (1+\beta^{-1})\bar{D}_t / R_{t+1}^* - \bar{D}_{t+1} / \beta R_{t+1}^* R_{t+2}^*]$$

where $Y_t^* \equiv$ natural rate of output

- Deleveraging shock \equiv tightening of borrowing limit which forces a reduction in leverage: \rightarrow drop in \bar{D}_t
- Drop in \bar{D}_t induces drop in R_{t+1}^* .
 - Intuitively: $\bar{D}_t \downarrow$ induces drop in spending. R_{t+1}^* must fall to induce an increase in saver spending to make $Y_t = Y_t^*$.
- If the drop is large enough, R_{t+1}^* goes below unity \rightarrow *ZLB* binds.
- With nominal debt, a fall in the price level raises the inherited real debt burden $\bar{D}_{t-1} \rightarrow$ spiral of output contraction and deflation

Some Issues

- Borrowing constraint exogenous
- Debt and debt dynamics exogenous (driven by exogenous variation in debt constraint).
 - Except when debt is in nominal terms, i.e., $D_t = \frac{D_t^n}{P_t}$ where D_t^n is the nominal value of the debt. As the economy weakens, the price level falls, raising real debt burdens. This induces a further decline in output, and so on.
- An MPC of unity for constrained borrowers seems unrealistic. Borrowers may use some of extra income to pay the down debt.
 - Will happen in an environment with uncertainty as to whether the constraint will be binding.
 - Can have "precautionary" saving (i.e. building up buffer of liquid assets) to limit impact of constraint if it becomes binding. (Will also have precautionary saving with transitory income uncertainty).

- If alternative saving vehicles are available, tightening of household borrower constraints will not push natural rate to zero
 - With borrowers constrained, savers will substitute to these alternative assets with modest declines in real rates.
 - Unless there are frictions in supplying funds to these sectors.