



## GaAs metal-oxide-semiconductor capacitors using atomic layer deposition of Hf O 2 gate dielectric: Fabrication and characterization

D. Shahrjerdi, D. I. Garcia-Gutierrez, T. Akyol, S. R. Bank, E. Tutuc, J. C. Lee, and S. K. Banerjee

Citation: Applied Physics Letters **91**, 193503 (2007); doi: 10.1063/1.2806190 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2806190 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/apl/91/19?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Memory characteristics of metal-oxide-semiconductor capacitor with high density cobalt nanodots floating gate and HfO 2 blocking dielectric Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 033118 (2009); 10.1063/1.3189085

Fabrication and characterization of metal-oxide-semiconductor GaAs capacitors on Ge Si 1 x Ge x Si substrates with Al 2 O 3 gate dielectric J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **26**, 1182 (2008); 10.1116/1.2835061

Effects of surface treatments using O 3 and N H 3 on electrical properties and chemical structures of high- k Hf O 2 dielectric films on strained Si 1 x Ge x Si substrates J. Appl. Phys. **103**, 084117 (2008); 10.1063/1.2907869

Nucleation and growth study of atomic layer deposited HfO 2 gate dielectrics resulting in improved scaling and electron mobility

J. Appl. Phys. 99, 023508 (2006); 10.1063/1.2161819

Impact of titanium addition on film characteristics of Hf O 2 gate dielectrics deposited by atomic layer deposition J. Appl. Phys. **98**, 054104 (2005); 10.1063/1.2030407

## AIP Journal of Applied Physics



*Journal of Applied Physics* is pleased to announce André Anders as its new Editor-in-Chief

## GaAs metal-oxide-semiconductor capacitors using atomic layer deposition of HfO<sub>2</sub> gate dielectric: Fabrication and characterization

D. Shahrjerdi,<sup>a)</sup> D. I. Garcia-Gutierrez,<sup>b)</sup> T. Akyol, S. R. Bank, E. Tutuc, J. C. Lee, and S. K. Banerjee *Microelectronics Research Center, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas* 78758, USA

(Received 2 July 2007; accepted 16 October 2007; published online 5 November 2007)

In this letter, we have investigated the physical and electrical characteristics of atomic layer deposition of HfO<sub>2</sub> on GaAs substrates. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis revealed no significant reduction of arsenic oxides upon deposition of HfO<sub>2</sub> on GaAs using tetrakis(dimethyl-amino)hafnium [Hf(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] as the metallic precursor. However, XPS confirmed the absence of arsenic oxides at the interface of HfO<sub>2</sub> and sulfide-treated GaAs. High-resolution transmission electron microcopy analysis verified a smooth interface between HfO<sub>2</sub> and sulfur-passivated GaAs. In addition, frequency dispersion behavior of capacitors on *p*-type GaAs substrates was remarkably improved by employing an appropriate surface chemical treatment. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2806190]

Recently, there has been a tremendous research in identifying a new logic technology in order to continue the complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) roadmap beyond the 22 nm node. This has led to increased interest in exploring enhanced mobility channel materials such as strained Si, Ge, and III-V based structures. III-V materials, in general, possess higher electron mobility than Si, which makes them suitable for low-power and high-speed *n*-channel metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors (MOSFETs). However, poor interface quality between GaAs-based materials and conventional gate dielectrics has been an overriding challenge to realize inversion-type enhancement mode MOSFETs. As a result, tremendous effort has been made to identify appropriate dielectrics which unpin the Fermi level and also provide a thermodynamically stable interface with III-V channel materials. This includes utilizing a molecular-beam-epitaxy-grown Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> dielectric,<sup>1</sup> employing Si and Ge interfacial layers<sup>2-4</sup> and atomic layer deposition (ALD) of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> directly on GaAs.<sup>5,6</sup>

The advent of advanced high- $\kappa$  materials has opened up the possibility to evaluate high- $\kappa$  dielectrics on novel high mobility channel materials, including III-V, for future scaled MOS devices. However, in order to achieve an inversiontype III-V MOSFET, it is crucial to eliminate arsenic oxides at the high- $\kappa$ /III-V interface which could potentially lead to the Fermi level pinning.<sup>7–11</sup> Interestingly, the reduction and subsequent removal of arsenic oxides in ALD of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on GaAs and on In<sub>0.2</sub>Ga<sub>0.8</sub>As has been observed by several research groups using  $Al(CH_3)_3$  precursor.<sup>6,12</sup> In contrast to ALD-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, there has been limited work in evaluating and characterizing Hf-based high- $\kappa$  on GaAs substrates.<sup>12</sup> However, there have been a few reports on ALD-HfO2 on  $In_{0.2}Ga_{0.8}As/GaAs$  substrate.<sup>13,14</sup> In this work, we have investigated the material characteristics and interface properties of ALD-grown HfO<sub>2</sub> directly on GaAs substrates. In addition, capacitance-voltage (C-V) characteristics of MOS capacitors were studied on p- and n-type GaAs substrates.

Initially, we have probed the possibility of arsenic oxide removal upon ALD of HfO2 on GaAs using Hf(NMe2)4 and water precursors. It is believed that an appropriate choice of metallic precursor can drive the surface chemistry toward the in situ removal of native oxide of GaAs and other III-V materials.<sup>15</sup> In the earlier studies, the interfacial self-cleaning of GaAs and In<sub>0.2</sub>Ga<sub>0.8</sub>As has been explored in ALD of HfO<sub>2</sub> using  $HfCl_4$  and  $Hf(NCH_3C_2H_5)_4$  precursors.<sup>12,14</sup> In this work, to investigate this phenomenon, a 3 nm thick ALD-HfO<sub>2</sub> was deposited on a (100) GaAs substrate with no surface chemical treatment prior to high-k deposition. Figure 1(a) shows the obtained XPS As 3d and Ga  $2p_{3/2}$ spectra of the HfO<sub>2</sub>/GaAs interface. For analysis of the As 3d spectrum, we have considered doublets for different As bondings in this region. Furthermore, in order to obtain a valid fit, we have also taken into account that As doublet has a peak ratio of 3:2 with a separation of  $\sim 0.7$  eV. It is notable that the presence of arsenic oxides was evidenced by the peaks at the highest binding energies. In addition, it appears that the HfO<sub>2</sub>/GaAs interface contains elemental As confirmed by the presence of a peak at  $41.8(\pm 0.1)$  eV. The Ga



FIG. 1. XPS Ga  $2p_{3/2}$  and As 3*d* spectra of the HfO<sub>2</sub>/GaAs interface for (a) nontreated and (b) sulfide-treated samples.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: davood@mail.utexas.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b)</sup>Also at Advanced Technology Development Facility (ATDF-SEMATECH), Austin, Texas, 78747.

 $2p_{3/2}$  XPS spectrum also indicates the presence of Ga–O bonds at the interface. According to the XPS data, we deduce that no significant interfacial self-cleaning takes place in ALD of HfO<sub>2</sub> on GaAs using Hf(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub> metallic precursor. Therefore, a proper surface chemical treatment is essential to remove the native oxide and thereby render the interface properties appropriate for MOS applications.

We have investigated the impact of various chemical treatments on C-V characteristics of GaAs MOS capacitors prior to ALD of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> gate dielectric.<sup>16</sup> As a result, we have demonstrated that a combination of HF dip and sulfur passivation of GaAs surface in (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S gives rise to better electrical characteristics and interface properties as opposed to HF last and NH<sub>4</sub>OH-treated samples. Thus, in this paper the same chemical treatment method was adopted for GaAs substrates. The MOS capacitor fabrication was carried out on (100) p- and n-type GaAs substrates with a doping concentration of  $\sim (5-10) \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. The native oxide was removed in 1% HF solution. Then, samples were immediately dipped into  $(NH_4)_2S$  in order to passivate the GaAs surface with sulfur, thereby precluding regrowth of GaAs native oxide during the sample transfer to the ALD reactor. Subsequently, ALD of HfO<sub>2</sub> was performed at 200 °C.

Postdeposition annealing (PDA) was carried out in N<sub>2</sub> ambient at 500 °C for 5 min. Next, TaN metal gate was deposited using a dc magnetron sputtering system, followed by standard photolithography, and patterning in an CF<sub>4</sub> reactive ion etch. The process was finished by evaporation of Ti/Au and AuGe/Ni/Au alloys as the backside ohmic contact to pand *n*-type substrates, respectively, followed by annealing at 450 °C for 30 s. The As 3d XPS spectrum of the HfO<sub>2</sub> interface with sulfur-passivated GaAs indicates the absence of arsenic oxides, shown in Fig. 1(b). According to the Ga  $2p_{3/2}$ XPS region, Ga–O bonds are observed at the HfO<sub>2</sub>/GaAs interface. However, it is notable that the contribution of Ga-O peak is diminished for the sulfide-treated sample as compared to the non-treated sample. The XPS results also indicate the existence of Sulfur at the interface, primarily bonded to Ga atoms. The thermodynamics of sulfur bonding to GaAs have been previously studied by several research groups.<sup>17,18</sup> The studies indicate that the amount of Ga-S and As-S bonds varies by heating GaAs at different temperatures. According to these reports, sulfur is initially bonded to As atoms at room temperature. However, As-S bonds tend to transform to Ga-S bonds at the elevated temperatures which is consistent with our observation.

Figure 2(a) represents the cross-sectional high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) micrograph of the gate stack after PDA at 500 °C in N<sub>2</sub>, demonstrating a smooth interface between HfO<sub>2</sub> and GaAs. A very thin interfacial layer of about 0.4 mm is noticeable at the HfO<sub>2</sub>/GaAs interface, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(a). The high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) image of the same region, superimposed with the corresponding electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) line scan is shown in Fig. 2(b). According to the EELS analysis, a relatively weak signal, corresponding to elemental sulfur, is evident at the interface.

Frequency dispersion behavior of MOS capacitors on p-type GaAs substrate with and without surface chemical treatment was monitored at various frequencies (Fig. 3). In contrast to capacitors with no surface chemical cleaning of GaAs prior to oxide deposition, capacitors on the sulfide-treated sample demonstrated small flatband voltage shift and



FIG. 2. (a) Cross-sectional HRTEM micrograph of the gate stack after PDA at 500 °C for 5 min. (b) HAADF image of the same region, overlaid on the corresponding EELS line scan. (c) A very thin interfacial of  $\sim$ 0.4 nm was observed at the HfO<sub>2</sub>/GaAs interface.

accumulation capacitance variation at different frequencies. This substantiates the crucial role of arsenic oxide removal and the subsequent surface passivation on Fermi level unpinning. The abrupt transition of high-frequency C-V curve from accumulation to depletion implies relatively good interface quality. The room temperature leakage current densityvoltage (J-V) curve of a MOS capacitor with a 115 Å thick  $HfO_2$  on the  $(NH_4)_2S$ -treated sample shows low leakage current density [the inset of Fig. 3(b)]. In order to determine the scalability of HfO<sub>2</sub>, the linear dependency of capacitance equivalent thickness (CET) on HfO<sub>2</sub> physical thickness was evaluated from the accumulation capacitance from 1 MHz high-frequency C-V curves, shown in Fig. 4(a). According to the cross-sectional HRTEM micrograph shown in Fig. 2(a),  $\sim$ 7 Å thick interfacial layer is discernible between HfO<sub>2</sub> and TaN metal gate. As a result, the presence of this undesirable interfacial layer tends to hinder the scalability of the current gate stack. Nonetheless, a CET of  $\sim 16$  Å was achieved for the current gate structure. The bidirectional 10 kHz C-V sweeps reveal a hysteresis of  $\sim$ 500 mV for the annealed sample at 500 °C in N<sub>2</sub>, as shown in the inset of Fig. 4(a). This was measured to be  $\sim$ 750 mV for the as-deposited sample. Nonetheless, PDA at different temperatures ranging from 500-600 °C and various ambient including N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>



FIG. 3. Frequency dispersion behavior of MOS capacitors on the nontreated and sulfur-passivated *p*-type GaAs substrates. The inset also demonstrates the *J*-*V* curve for the same sulfide-treated capacitor with 115 Å thick HfO<sub>2</sub>.



FIG. 4. (a) CET as a function of HfO<sub>2</sub> physical thickness. CET of ~1.6 nm was obtained for the device with 4.9 nm thick HfO<sub>2</sub>. The inset of (a) shows the bidirectional *C-V* sweep of the sulfide-treated sample with 115 Å thick HfO<sub>2</sub>. (b) Calculated and measured  $D_{\rm it}$  using the Terman and acconductance methods. The inset shows the frequency dispersion behavior of a capacitor on a sulfide-treated *n*-type GaAs substrate.

did not appear to significantly improve the bidirectional hysteresis. Although GaAs surface treatment with HF and ammonium sulfide significantly improve the *C*-*V* characteristics of MOS capacitors on *p*-type substrates, however, capacitors on *n*-GaAs substrates exhibit poor frequency dispersion behavior, as shown in the inset of Fig. 4(b). This *C*-*V* degradation could stem from a large density of interface traps near the conduction band. The existence of larger surface state density for *n*-type GaAs with reference to *p*-type GaAs has been previously observed.<sup>19</sup> Figure 4(b) demonstrates the calculated values of  $D_{it}$  from the 1 MHz *C*-*V* curves using the Terman method, indicating a nonuniform distribution of interface traps within the GaAs bandgap. In addition, midgap  $D_{it}$  was measured by ac-conductance technique, shown as solid squares in Fig. 4(b). It is notable that the midgap  $D_{\rm it}$  values obtained from these two methods are in the same range. The origin of the interface traps on *n*-type GaAs is not fully understood. Various surface chemical treatments using different combinations of HCl, HF, NH<sub>4</sub>OH, and (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S did not appear to significantly improve the *C-V* characteristics of capacitors on *n*-type substrates (data not shown). A systematic study is underway to understand this phenomenon.

In summary, we have investigated the physical and electrical characteristics of ALD-grown HfO<sub>2</sub> on GaAs substrates. According to XPS data, no significant reduction of arsenic oxides was observed upon deposition of HfO<sub>2</sub> on GaAs without chemical treatments. However, in the As 3*d* XPS spectrum of the (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S-treated sample, no evidence of arsenic oxides was observed. Cross-sectional HRTEM study further confirms a smooth interface between HfO<sub>2</sub> and sulfide-treated GaAs. Although performing chemical treatment improved frequency dispersion behavior of MOS capacitors on *p*-type substrates, it does not appear to be very effective on *n*-type substrates.

This work has been supported in part by DARPA, NSF-IGERT, and the Micron Foundation.

- <sup>1</sup>M. Passlack, R. Droopad, K. Rajagopalan, J. Abrokwah, R. Gregory, and D. Nguyen, IEEE Electron Device Lett. **26**, 713 (2005).
- <sup>2</sup>S. Koveshnikov, W. Tsai, I. Ok, J. C. Lee, V. Torkanov, M. Yakimov, and S. Oktyabrsky, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 022106 (2006).
- <sup>3</sup>D. Shahrjerdi, M. M. Oye, A. L. Holmes, Jr., and S. K. Banerjee, Appl. Phys. Lett. **89**, 043501 (2006).
- <sup>4</sup>I. Ok, H. Kim, M. Zhang, C. Kang, S. J. Rhee, C. Choi, S. A. Krishnan, T. Lee, F. Zhu, G. Thareja, and J. C. Lee, IEEE Electron Device Lett. 27, 145 (2006).
- <sup>5</sup>Y. Xuan, H. C. Lin, P. D. Ye, and G. D. Wilk, Appl. Phys. Lett. **88**, 263518 (2006).
- <sup>6</sup>M. L. Huang, Y. C. Chang, C. H. Chang, Y. J. Lee, P. Chang, J. Kwo, T. B. Wu, and M. Hong, Appl. Phys. Lett. **87**, 252104 (2005).
- <sup>7</sup>C. D. Thurmond, G. P. Schwartz, G. W. Kammlotot, and B. Schwartz, J. Electrochem. Soc. **127**, 1366 (1980).
- <sup>8</sup>W. E. Spicer, P. W. Chye, P. R. Skeath, C. Y. Su, and I. Lindau, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **16**, 1422 (1979).
- <sup>9</sup>J. G. Ping and H. E. Ruda, J. Appl. Phys. 83, 5880 (1998).
- <sup>10</sup>E. R. Weber, H. Ennen, V. Kaufmann, J. Windscheif, J. Schneider, and T. Wosinski, J. Appl. Phys. **53**, 6140 (1982); E. R. Weber and J. Schneider, Physica B & C **116**, 398 (1983).
- <sup>11</sup>S. I. Yi, P. Kruse, M. Hale, and A. C. Kummel, J. Chem. Phys. **114**, 3215 (2001).
- <sup>12</sup>M. M. Frank, G. D. Wilk, D. Starodub, T. Gustafsson, E. Garfunkel, Y. J. Chabal, J. Grazul, and D. A. Muller, Appl. Phys. Lett. **86**, 152904 (2005).
- <sup>13</sup>N. Goel, P. Majhi, C. O. Chui, W. Tsai, D. Choi, and J. S. Harris, Appl. Phys. Lett. **89**, 163517 (2006).
- <sup>14</sup>C.-H. Chang, Y.-K. Chiou, Y.-C. Chang, K.-Y. Lee, T.-D. Lin, T.-B. Wu, M. Hong, and J. Kwo, Appl. Phys. Lett. **89**, 242911 (2006).
- <sup>15</sup>D. K. Dileep, L. K. Krannich, and C. L. Watkins, Inorg. Chem. **29**, 3502 (1990).
- <sup>16</sup>D. Shahrjerdi, E. Tutuc, and S. K. Banerjee, Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 063501 (2007).
- <sup>17</sup>J. Shin, K. M. Geib, and C. W. Wilmsen, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 9, 2337 (1991).
- <sup>18</sup>A. M. Green and W. E. Spicer, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A **11**, 1061 (1993).
  <sup>19</sup>F. H. Pollak, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **11**, 1710 (1993).