

Attentional Complements

Dániel Csaba

New York University

**The 2019 Sloan-Nomis Workshop on the
Cognitive Foundations of Economic Behavior**

February 22, 2019

INTRODUCTION

Object of study: demand system shaped by costly information acquisition.

- Information either about the available alternatives or about how we value them.

INTRODUCTION

Object of study: demand system shaped by costly information acquisition.

- Information either about the available alternatives or about how we value them.

Framework: static discrete choice rational inattention model under flexible information costs.

INTRODUCTION

Object of study: demand system shaped by costly information acquisition.

- Information either about the available alternatives or about how we value them.

Framework: static discrete choice rational inattention model under flexible information costs.

Questions:

- How are elasticity patterns with respect to a generic improvement in an alternative shaped by scarce attention?
- How can we estimate such a demand system from standard observational choice data?

IMPORTANCE AND CONTEXT

Most prevalent tool for demand estimation is the **random utility model**.

- By assumption decision maker has **perfect information** about both available alternatives and own preferences at the time of decision.
- Important implications for comparative statics serving **policy analysis**.

IMPORTANCE AND CONTEXT

Most prevalent tool for demand estimation is the **random utility model**.

- By assumption decision maker has **perfect information** about both available alternatives and own preferences at the time of decision.
- Important implications for comparative statics serving **policy analysis**.

Rational inattention model **endogenizes the stochastic structure** of choice relative to the choice set.

- **Reference dependent** choice [Woodford, 2012].
- Violation of **monotonicity** [Matějka and McKay, 2015].
- Endogenous formation of **consideration sets** [Caplin, Dean, Leahy, 2018].

PROBLEM AND NOTATION

Rational Inattention Problem

$$P^* \in \arg \max_{P \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)} \left\{ \sum_s \left(\max_{a \in A} u_a \cdot \gamma^s \right) P_\mu(s) - \sum_s \phi(\gamma^s) P_\mu(s) \right\}$$

- $P: \Omega \rightarrow \Delta(S)$ is an information structure, μ is a prior, γ^s is a posterior
- P_μ is the unconditional—prior weighted—probability over signals
- $u_a \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$ vector of payoffs under alternative a
- A a set of available alternatives
- ϕ is a convex function defining a posterior separable cost function

Optimal information structure P^* defines choice probabilities, $\rho^{\text{RI}}(a, A)$.

COMPARATIVE STATICS

Choice probabilities derived within the rational inattention model define individual level demand system.

COMPARATIVE STATICS

Choice probabilities derived within the rational inattention model define individual level demand system.

How does the unilateral improvement in an alternative affect the demand system?

- Change in demand for alternative b from choice set A as utility of a in state ω improves:

$$\frac{\partial \rho^{\text{RI}}(b, A)}{\partial u(a, \omega)}$$

COMPARATIVE STATICS

Choice probabilities derived within the rational inattention model define individual level demand system.

How does the unilateral improvement in an alternative affect the demand system?

- Change in demand for alternative b from choice set A as utility of a in state ω improves:

$$\frac{\partial \rho^{\text{RI}}(b, A)}{\partial u(a, \omega)}$$

Goods that are substitutes in utility can appear as complements in observed behavior.

$$\frac{\partial \rho^{\text{RI}}(a, A)}{\partial u(a, \omega)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \rho^{\text{RI}}(b, A)}{\partial u(a, \omega)} > 0$$

COMPARATIVE STATICS

Intuition:

- Information about the likelihood of an event is valuable in as much as available alternatives' **payoffs vary** over that event.
- Making the inferior alternative better can **render** previously useful **information useless** and hence changing the incentives for what to pay attention to.
- Paying the attention elsewhere implies detecting the occurrence of other events which can **increase the demand for other alternatives**.

COMPARATIVE STATICS

Intuition:

- Information about the likelihood of an event is valuable in as much as available alternatives' **payoffs vary** over that event.
- Making the inferior alternative better can **render** previously useful **information useless** and hence changing the incentives for what to pay attention to.
- Paying the attention elsewhere implies detecting the occurrence of other events which can **increase the demand for other alternatives**.

Experimental evidence supports complementarities arising due to endogenous attention allocation.

COMPARATIVE STATICS

Intuition:

- Information about the likelihood of an event is valuable in as much as available alternatives' **payoffs vary** over that event.
- Making the inferior alternative better can **render** previously useful **information useless** and hence changing the incentives for what to pay attention to.
- Paying the attention elsewhere implies detecting the occurrence of other events which can **increase the demand for other alternatives**.

Experimental evidence supports complementarities arising due to endogenous attention allocation.

Next steps: Characterize **conditions** on payoff matrix and information cost function such that increase in $u(a, \omega)$ leads to increase in $\rho^{\text{RI}}(b, A)$.

INFERENCE AND ESTIMATION

Estimate model of RI from observational data to carry out comparative statics exercise.

INFERENCE AND ESTIMATION

Estimate model of RI from observational data to carry out comparative statics exercise.

Observables of the choice problem:

- X_{ita} – **alternative specific**, time/market t , individual i – X_{itA}
- Z_{it} – **individual specific**, e.g. demographic
- Y_{it} – observed choice

INFERENCE AND ESTIMATION

Estimate model of RI from observational data to carry out comparative statics exercise.

Observables of the choice problem:

- X_{ita} – **alternative specific**, time/market t , individual i – X_{itA}
- Z_{it} – **individual specific**, e.g. demographic
- Y_{it} – observed choice

What is the **source** of randomness? (linear utility)

$$X_{ita}(\omega)' \beta \quad \text{or} \quad X'_{ita} \beta(\omega)$$

INFERENCE AND ESTIMATION

Estimate model of RI from observational data to carry out comparative statics exercise.

Observables of the choice problem:

- X_{ita} – **alternative specific**, time/market t , individual i – X_{itA}
- Z_{it} – **individual specific**, e.g. demographic
- Y_{it} – observed choice

What is the **source** of randomness? (linear utility)

$$X_{ita}(\omega)' \beta \quad \text{or} \quad X'_{ita} \beta(\omega)$$

Conditional or unconditional choice probabilities:

- $X_{ita}(\omega)' \beta \implies$ analyst observes realization of ω
- $X'_{ita} \beta(\omega) \implies$ exact realization of ω is hidden also for analyst

Unconditional choice probabilities entail the **entire attention strategy**.

- Assume information acquisition targets **valuation** – $X'_{ita}\beta(\omega)$
- Individual covariates affect prior over **valuation types** – $\mu(Z_{it}, \alpha)(\omega)$

INFERENCE AND ESTIMATION

Unconditional choice probabilities entail the **entire attention strategy**.

- Assume information acquisition targets **valuation** – $X'_{ita}\beta(\omega)$
- Individual covariates affect prior over **valuation types** – $\mu(Z_{it}, \alpha)(\omega)$

Given observed covariates, the choices, Y_{it} , are distributed as

$$Y_{it} \mid Z_{it}, X_{itA} \sim \sum_{\omega} \mu(Z_{it}, \alpha)(\omega) P_{\omega}^* (Z_{it}, X_{itA}; \alpha, \beta)$$

INFERENCE AND ESTIMATION

Unconditional choice probabilities entail the **entire attention strategy**.

- Assume information acquisition targets **valuation** – $X'_{ita}\beta(\omega)$
- Individual covariates affect prior over **valuation types** – $\mu(Z_{it}, \alpha)(\omega)$

Given observed covariates, the choices, Y_{it} , are distributed as

$$Y_{it} \mid Z_{it}, X_{itA} \sim \sum_{\omega} \mu(Z_{it}, \alpha)(\omega) P_{\omega}^*(Z_{it}, X_{itA}; \alpha, \beta)$$

Latent class **mixture model** with mixture components given by **endogenous** information structure.

- Number of preference types determined by analyst – number of clusters.

Local identification of (α, β)

- fixed information cost function
- stability of individual level consideration sets
- continuity of unconditional choice probability in (α, β)

Local identification of (α, β)

- fixed information cost function
- stability of individual level consideration sets
- continuity of unconditional choice probability in (α, β)

Estimation strategy **robust** to endogenous formation of **consideration sets**.

- Estimation via sampling from quasi-posterior [Chernozhukov and Hong, 2013].

Local identification of (α, β)

- fixed information cost function
- stability of individual level consideration sets
- continuity of unconditional choice probability in (α, β)

Estimation strategy **robust** to endogenous formation of **consideration sets**.

- Estimation via sampling from quasi-posterior [Chernozhukov and Hong, 2013].

Next steps:

- Identification under **joint estimation** of utility, prior, and cost parameters.

CHALLENGES

Discrete choice demand estimation under endogenous information acquisition.

Prove **usefulness** of RI model for empirical purposes – empirical IO.

CHALLENGES

Discrete choice demand estimation under endogenous information acquisition.

Prove **usefulness** of RI model for empirical purposes – empirical IO.

Test whether information frictions play an important role in observational data.

- RUM and RI models are not nested.
- Develop version of **RI that nests RUM** under no information acquisition.

CHALLENGES

Discrete choice demand estimation under endogenous information acquisition.

Prove **usefulness** of RI model for empirical purposes – empirical IO.

Test whether information frictions play an important role in observational data.

- RUM and RI models are not nested.
- Develop version of **RI that nests RUM** under no information acquisition.

Empirical performance tests.

- Split dataset to estimate both models and run horse-race on **out-of-sample prediction**.

THANK YOU!