Changes in Diffuse Optical Tomography Images During Early Stages of Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Correlate with Tumor Response in Different Breast Cancer Subtypes
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study’s primary objective was to evaluate the changes in optically derived parameters acquired with a diffuse optical tomography breast imaging system (DOTBIS) in the tumor volume of patients with breast carcinoma receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC).

Experimental Design: In this analysis of 105 patients with stage II–III breast cancer, normalized mean values of total hemoglobin (ctHHbN), oxyhemoglobin (ctO2HbN), deoxy-hemoglobin concentration (ctHHbN), water, and oxygen saturation (StO2N) percentages were collected at different timepoints during NAC and compared with baseline measurements. This report compared changes in these optical biomarkers measured in patients who did not achieve a pathologic complete response (non-pCR) and those with a pCR. Differences regarding molecular subtypes were included for hormone receptor-positive and HER2-negative, HER2-positive, and triple-negative breast cancer.

Introduction

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) has emerged as the standard treatment for patients with primary operable breast carcinoma, particularly in high-risk tumors, such as triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), HER2 positive (HER2+), and highly proliferative hormone receptor–positive (HR+/HER2-negative (HER2-)) breast tumors (1). The advantages include higher rate of breast-conserving surgery, downstaging disease within the breast and axilla, and long-term clinical benefit (2, 3). Pathologic response to NAC, such as residual cancer burden (RCB), reflects overall survival and disease-free survival (4–6). RCB scores are based on pathologic measurements of tumor bed size, percentage of carcinoma cellularity (discounted for in situ cellularity), and nodal metastases (number and size; ref. 7). A score of 0 (RCB 0) represents pathologic complete response (pCR) and scores 1–3 (RCB I, RCB II, and RCB III, also defined as non-pCR) represent increasingly greater extents of residual invasive carcinoma, and thus, associated with poorer disease-free rates and survival rates. Predicting pCR early in the therapy may allow clinicians the opportunity to optimize treatment with the ultimate goal of improving pCR rates, and ultimately better outcomes, as well as avoiding toxicity from ineffective therapies.

This study adds to the body of evidence reported for diffuse optical imaging methods applied to breast cancer treatment response. Our findings complement and extend previous smaller studies conducted from 2007 to 2018 (8–18) that have identified optical-based imaging markers correlated to pCR at different timepoints throughout NAC. In a meta-analysis study (19), Liu and colleagues demonstrated that diffuse optical tomography (DOT) has clinically acceptable predictive values. Their results show that the best single predictor of therapeutic response was ctHHb (83% sensitivity and 100% specificity) after 1 week posttreatment. In 2011, a multicenter study (20) showed changes from baseline to mid-therapy predict pCR in women undergoing NAC (n = 34). Later, a second publication (21), using the same patient cohort, found that tissue oxygen saturation measured within 10 days of NAC was a predictor of pCR. Therefore, our clinical study represents one of the larger studies (n = 89) of this topic to date and uses more modern criteria for pathologic response, both pCR status and RCB scores.

Results: At baseline, ctHHbN was higher for pCR tumors (3.97 ± 2.29) compared with non-pCR tumors (3.00 ± 1.72; P = 0.031). At the earliest imaging point after starting therapy, the mean change of ctHHbN compared with baseline (ΔctHHbN) was statistically significantly higher in non-pCR (1.23 ± 0.67) than in those with a pCR (0.87 ± 0.61; P < 0.0005), and significantly correlated to residual cancer burden classification (r = 0.448; P < 0.0005). ΔctHHbN combined with HER2 status was proposed as a two-predictor logistic model, with AUC = 0.891; P < 0.0005; and 95% confidence interval, 0.812–0.969.

Conclusions: This study demonstrates that DOTBIS measured features change over time according to tumor pCR status and may predict early in the NAC treatment course whether a patient is responding to NAC.
Translational Relevance

This study demonstrates that imaging features generated by a diffuse optical tomography breast imaging system may predict early in the neoadjuvant chemotherapy treatment course whether a breast carcinoma will achieve pathologic complete response, and the need to stratify chemotherapy response prediction according to tumor subtype.

In summary, the purpose of this study was to investigate the change of quantitative measurements of tissue functional components, such as hemoglobin concentration, measured with DOT breast imaging system (DOTBIS) in the tumor region of patients receiving NAC. We hypothesize that hemoglobin values are modifiable at different timepoints along with the NAC regimen, and their changes after 2–3 weeks are associated with pCR and are dependent on breast carcinoma subtype.

Materials and Methods

Study population

According to a study by Lim and colleagues (14) and Soliman and colleagues (10), a clinically significant effect of 50% or more in the hemoglobin levels between pCR and non-pCR would be of interest. Assuming a reduction in the pCR group of approximately 30% and a clinically significant percentage. A study population of the highest value point detected in the quadrant previously specified (TP1)–(TP5) was defined by Symmans and colleagues (7), was dichotomized, with pCR defined as complete absence of invasive carcinoma in the breast and lymph node(s) (ypT0/is ypN0 Mx) at the time of surgery. Menopausal status, age, and body mass index (BMI) were recorded in the patient’s electronic medical record and retrieved for this work. This study was compliant with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, and informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

DOTBIS measurements

We employed a steady-state DOTBIS to image the whole breast volume using near-infrared light (22–25). The data were used to calculate tissue concentration of oxyhemoglobin (ctO2Hb), deoxy-hemoglobin (ctHHb), total hemoglobin (ctHbT = ctO2Hb + ctHHb), and water percentage. Total measurement time varied between 6 and 8 minutes, according to breast size, in addition to 5 minutes required to set up the device. A PDE-constrained multispectral image reconstruction code (26) created 3D image maps of ctO2Hb, ctHHb, ctHbT, StO2, and water. For visualization purposes, only maximum intensity projections (MIP; ref. 27) were acquired from reconstructed data volume and translated into a view plane, which can be obtained by finding the voxels with maximum intensity along a chosen axis. An automated code was designed to select the highest value in the breast quadrant defined by the tumor’s distance from the nipple and the clock position entered by the user. Subsequently, a region-based image segmentation method was employed to examine neighboring pixels of the highest value point detected in the quadrant previously specified and to determine whether the proximate pixel should be added to the region considering a mask of 90%. Mean concentration values for each chromophore acquired from the tumor region were normalized by the mean values calculated from the nontumor volume, and they expressed the magnitude of tumor concentration values in respect to the nonaffected tissue. For notation purposes, the normalized feature is identified by the subscript letter N (i.e., ctHHbN). Also, the normalized features for each imaging timepoint acquired after NAC initialization were standardized by the normalized values acquired at baseline (TP0). This proposed ratio directly evaluates the percentage change to the baseline values and is represented by the symbol Δ. For instance, to represent the difference between the ctHHbN measured at TP1 compared with baseline, we write ΔTP1(CTHHbN).

Imaging protocol

For patients (n = 69) receiving 12 cycles of weekly paclitaxel (T, with or without addition of carboplatin), followed by four cycles of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide (AC) given every 2 weeks with growth factor support [T × 12/AC × 4 or cytoxan plus taxotere (T/C) × 12/AC × 4], DOTBIS measurement was acquired at six different timepoints: before starting NAC regimen (baseline), after 2 weeks of taxane infusions (TP1), after 4 weeks of taxane (TP2), at the end of the taxane regimen and before starting AC cycles (TP3), after 2 cycles of AC (TP4), and at the end of NAC and before surgery (TP5). For other taxane-based NAC regimens without an anthracycline (n = 36), the patients were imaged only three times [baseline, 2–3 weeks after the first taxane infusion (TP1), and at the end of NAC and before surgery (TP5)], as illustrated in Fig. 1.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were computed using the statistical software program SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS). Significant difference in DOTBIS-measured levels between pCR and non-pCR groups, and RCB classes were assessed at baseline (TP0) and at the earliest timepoint after NAC (TP1). At TP0, to select the best possible subject of predictors from all the five DOTBIS features available (i.e., ctHHbN, ctO2HbN, ctHHbN, StO2N, and WaterN), we applied backward stepwise selection to carry out the choice of significant predictive variable by an automatic procedure. At TP1, a new set of predictors was available (i.e., ΔTP1(CTHHbN), ΔTP1(ctHHbN), ΔTP1(ctO2HbN), ΔTP1(StO2N), and ΔTP1(WaterN)). We also performed backward stepwise selection to identify the best predictor set for pCR and RCB classification at TP1. Independent sample t tests were conducted to determine whether there were differences in the selected DOTBIS feature values between pCR and non-pCR groups. One-way ANOVA was necessary for comparisons of more than two groups as demanded by RCB classification. To evaluate whether a two-way interaction exists, that is, between tumor response and molecular subtypes and DOTBIS measurement values, a two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD post hoc tests was carried out. Besides, Pearson product-moment correlation was used to assess the relationship between changes after 2–3 weeks of NAC and RCB score. For response prediction analyses, a binomial logistic regression was performed for all patients to ascertain the effects of changes in optical features after 2–3 weeks because taxane initiation (TP1) combined with tumor subtype information on the likelihood that tumor will not achieve pCR after NAC. We also extended our analysis to investigate how DOTBIS-measured values evolve across multiple imaging timepoints when we correct for pCR group classification. We used the nlmef package (28) available in R to perform longitudinal multilevel mixed-effects modeling analysis. Finally, survival analysis was focused on the nonoccurrence of disease progression.
or recurrence. Kaplan–Meier estimates were used to compare event-free survival (EFS) between RCB classification groups and DOTBIS optical-based imaging feature (i.e., $\Delta_{TP}(ctHB_b)$). Kaplan–Meier survival curves were compared with the log-rank test. Significance was assumed at a confidence interval of 95% ($\alpha = 0.05$).

**Results**

**Patient and tumor characteristics**

From a total of 105 patients, 17 were excluded from the final analysis (Supplementary Table S1). The main reasons for exclusion were: patient withdrew from the study ($n = 4$), baseline DOTBIS measurement not collected because of instrumentation failure ($n = 8$), patient under investigational and not FDA-approved drug regimen ($n = 1$), and machine operator error ($n = 4$). One patient had bilateral breast cancer, and both tumors were added to the analysis. Therefore, results include 88 patients and 89 tumors in total. RCB scores were not available for these two tumors and another four cases. Not all the patients had DOTBIS reconstruction available for all of their imaging timepoints due to patient declining imaging at that particular DOTBIS timepoint or machine malfunction. Overall, 82 (93%) patients had DOTBIS images successfully reconstructed at baseline (TP0), 46 (79%) had their DOTBIS reconstruction available for all of their imaging timepoints due to patient declining imaging at that particular DOTBIS timepoint or machine malfunction. In total, 36 did not have TP5 imaging available. The reasons for missing data were patient declined to be imaged (19/36), machine operator did not collect/save the data correctly (5/36), and data not collected because of machine under repair (12/36). Of the 58 patients who were under T \( \times 12/AC \times 4 \) regimen ($n = 54$) or T/C \( \times 12/AC \times 4 \) ($n = 4$), 46 (79%) had their data acquired and reconstructed at TP2, 43 (74%) at TP3, and 40 (69%) at TP4. Other regimens accounted for the remaining 30 patients. Twenty-two patients (25%) with HER2+ breast cancer received six cycles of docetaxel, carboplatin, and trastuzumab plus pertuzumab (TCHP). Six patients (6.8%) received six cycles of cytoxan plus taxotere (T/C \( \times 6 \)), and one patient (1.1%) received just four cycles of cytoxan plus taxotere (T/C \( \times 4 \)). Finally, one patient (1.1%) received paclitaxel, trastuzumab, and pertuzumab, followed by doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide (THP/AC). Patient and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. As summarized in Table 1, none of the patients enrolled in this study received an antiangiogenic agent (e.g., bevacizumab), and all breast cancer subtypes were eligible. A total of 36 tumors (40%) achieved pCR, whereas 53 (60%) were classified as non-pCR, that is, RCB > 0. Figure 2 provides the sagittal MIP of the tumor-bearing breast cTHb volumetric map of two 46-year-old patients (89 tumors) considered for analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient and clinical characteristics</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Age (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>BMI (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy and targeted therapies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T ( \times 12/AC \times 4 )</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>48.48 ± 11.52</td>
<td>29.89 ± 7.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCHP</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>54.00 ± 9.83</td>
<td>29.36 ± 9.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/C ( \times 6 )</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>59.67 ± 12.24</td>
<td>30.54 ± 3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/C ( \times 12/AC \times 4 )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>39.25 ± 8.65</td>
<td>30.05 ± 2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/C ( \times 4 )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THP/AC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tumor characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of tumors</th>
<th>Treatment response classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pCR</td>
<td>RCB0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-pCR</td>
<td>RCBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCBIIl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCBII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCB score not available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Molecular features

| HR+/HER2+        | 45                               |
| HER2+            | 27                               |
| TNBC             | 17                               |

Note: T \( \times 12/4 \) cycles of weekly paclitaxel followed by four cycles of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide given every 2 weeks with growth factor support; T/C \( \times 12/AC \times 4 \) cycles of weekly paclitaxel followed by four cycles of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide given every 2 weeks with growth factor support with an addition of carboplatin to paclitaxel; T/C \( \times 6 \), six cycles cytoxan plus taxotere; T/C \( \times 4 \), four cycles cytoxan plus taxotere.

Abbreviation: HR, hormone receptor.
women, one pCR and another non-pCR, respectively. A full 3D ctTHb map is also available for both patients (Supplementary Fig. S1).

**DOTBIS-measured values at baseline and tumor response to NAC**

$ctTHb_N$, $ctO2Hb_N$, $ctHHb_N$, $StO2_N$, and $Water_N$ values were available for all the 89 tumors. Backward stepwise selection results show that the best predictor for pCR and RCB classification at baseline was $ctTHb_N$. After computing an independent $t$ test, baseline $ctTHb_N$ levels (ratio between $ctTHb$ tumor values and surrounding nonaffected tissue volume) were higher for pCR tumors ($3.97 \pm 2.29$) compared with non-pCR ($3.00 \pm 1.72; P = 0.03$; Fig. 3, left). Additional analysis was extended to RCB score values instead of binary pCR status classification. ANOVA test results did not show a statistically significant difference in baseline $ctTHb_N$ levels between the four RCB classes ($P = 0.056$; Fig. 3, right).

![Figure 2. Sagittal MIP of the tumor-bearing breast ctTHb volumetric map of a 46-year-old woman (patient 1) who achieved pCR after NAC for HER2+ breast cancer (top) and a 46-year-old woman (patient 3) and HER2+ breast cancer who was classified as RCBIII (non-pCR; bottom). NAC drug regimen protocol includes 12 cycles of weekly paclitaxel (blue) followed by four cycles of AC given every 2 weeks (yellow). DOTBIS images corresponding to baseline, before the first taxane treatment (T1), before her third and fifth taxane cycle (T3 and T5), before her first and second AC cycles (AC1 and AC2), and presurgery (PS) are arranged from left to right. The dashed circle line identifies the nipple region.](http://www.cancer.org/...)
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A two-way ANOVA was conducted to examine the effects of pCR status (pCR and non-pCR) or RCB classification (RCB0, RCB1, RCBII, and RCBIII), and molecular subtype (HER2++, TNBC, HR+/HER2−) on baseline ctTHbN levels. Sample size and mean baseline ctTHbN for all three molecular subgroups according to pCR and RCB classification are provided in the Supplementary Data (Supplementary Table S2). There was a statistically significant interaction between the effects of pCR status and molecular subtype on ctTHbN levels at TP0, before starting NAC [F (2,77) = 3.450; P = 0.037]. Tukey HSD post hoc tests were carried out. There was no statistically significant difference in baseline ctTHbN values between pCR and non-pCR within the same molecular subgroup. The only significant difference was between baseline ctTHbN values for TNBC pCR tumors (5.15 ± 2.26) and HR+/HER2− non-pCR tumors (1.60 ± 0.75; P = 0.46). No statistical significance was reported when analyzing the effects of RCB classification and molecular subtype [F (4,67) = 1.242; P = 0.30].

DOTBIS-measured values at the earliest timepoint and tumor response to NAC

ΔTP1ctHHbN was the best predictor for pCR classification at the earliest timepoint (TP1) after performing a backward stepwise selection. ctHHbN levels after one dose of taxane for pCR tumors (0.87 ± 0.61) were significantly lowered compared with non-pCR (1.23 ± 0.67; P < 0.0005; Fig. 4, left). A one-way ANOVA was conducted to investigate significant differences in ΔTP1ctHHbN levels between the four RCB classes. There was a significant effect of RCB classification on the change of ctHHbN levels after 2–3 weeks under NAC (ΔTP1ctHHbN) at the P < 0.0005 level for the four RCB classes [F (3,62) = 10.29; P < 0.0005]. Post hoc comparisons using the Tukey HSD test indicated that the mean ΔTP1ctHHbN value for RCB0 tumors (0.782 ± 0.293) was significantly different than the RCBII tumors (1.18 ± 0.244; P = 0.017), RCBIII tumors (1.21 ± 0.290; P < 0.0005), and RCBIII tumors (1.22 ± 0.395; P = 0.002; Fig. 4, right).

A two-way ANOVA was conducted to investigate pCR (pCR and non-pCR) or RCB classification (RCB0, RCB1, RCBII, and RCBIII), and molecular subtype on the ratio of change in ctHHbN levels after 2–3 weeks under NAC (ΔTP1ctHHbN). Sample size and mean ΔTP1ctHHbN for all three molecular subgroups according to pCR and RCB classification are provided in the Supplementary Data (Supplementary Table S4). There was a statistically significant interaction between the effects of pCR status and molecular subtype on ΔTP1ctHHbN levels [F (2,63) = 6.381; P = 0.003]. Tukey HSD post hoc tests were carried out. For HR+/HER2− pCR tumors, ΔTP1ctHHbN levels were statistically significant lower (0.857 ± 0.309) than HR−/HER2− tumors.
HER2− non-pCR subgroup (2.33 ± 1.55; P < 0.0005). There was no other significant difference between pCR and non-pCR tumors within TNBC or HER2+ molecular subgroups. No statistical significance was reported when analyzing the effects of RCB classification and molecular subtype [F (4,56) = 1.100; P = 0.35]. Pearson product-moment correlation was run to assess the relationship between Δ\text{TP1}ctHHbN and RCB scores. There was a statistically significant moderate positive correlation between changes in ctHHbN after 2–3 weeks of NAC and RCB score after chemotherapy [\rho(66) = 0.448; P < 0.0005; Supplementary Fig. S2].

Finally, Δ\text{TP1}ctHHbN combined with HER2 status was used as a two-predictor logistic model. The logistic regression model was statistically significant [\chi^2 (2) = 35.510; P < 0.0005]. The model explained 55.1% (Nagelkerke R²) of the variance in pCR status and correctly classified 75.4% of cases. According to the model, the log of the odds of a patient not responding to NAC was positively related to Δ\text{TP1}ctHHbN (P = 0.001) and HER2 status (P = 0.024). In other words, the higher the Δ\text{TP1}ctHHbN ratio, the less likely it is that the patient will have a pCR. Given the same Δ\text{TP1}ctHHbN value, patients with HER2+ breast cancers were 4.8 times more likely to have a pCR than those with HER2− tumors. ROC of the proposed logistic regression model indicated an excellent level of discrimination employing the criteria defined by Hosmer and colleagues. Sensitivity was 95.5%, specificity was 64%, with a positive predictive value of 81.8% and a negative predictive value of 64%. The AUC was 0.891 (P < 0.0005), with 95% confidence interval (0.812–0.969).

\textbf{DOTBIS-ctHHbN across NAC: three- and six-timepoint studies}

Given that ctHHbN was the best predictor after one cycle of taxane, we also evaluated the time evolution of this feature across other NAC imaging time points.

\textbf{Three-timepoint imaging cohort}

Thirty-four tumors were under a three-timepoint imaging schedule, 17 pCR and 17 non-pCR. By applying multilevel modeling and setting up ctHHbN, as the dependent variable, and pCR classification and molecular subtype as predictors in the model, we evaluated the potential difference in time evolution between the pCR groups. The difference in changes from baseline was significant between pCR and the non-pCR groups at both TP1 (4.25 ± 1.53; = 0.0085) and TP5 (6.83 ± 2.10; = 0.0024). This indicates that the mean ctHHbN over time differed significantly between pCR groups. Mean ctHHbN value was different between molecular subtype groups at baseline ( = 0.0451), but ctHHbN changes across time were not statistically significantly different according to the molecular subgroup.

\textbf{Six-timepoint imaging cohort}

For the six-timepoints longitudinal cohort, 55 breast cancer tumors were included, 20 had pCR and 35 were classified as non-pCR. From multilevel modeling results, changes in ctHHbN levels compared with baseline (TP0) values were statistically significantly different between pCR and non-pCR at TP1 (1.93 ± 0.40; < 0.0005), TP2 (2.05 ± 0.82; = 0.0132), TP4 (2.72 ± 0.86; = 0.0019), and TP5 (2.64 ± 0.89; = 0.0036). No significant changes over time were identified between molecular subtype groups.

\textbf{EFS analysis}

Recurrence and disease progression were observed in 20.4% (n = 18) of the patients, including 13 patients presented with distant metastasis. The most common metastasis sites included lungs (n = 4), bone (n = 2), and brain (n = 2). Five patients (5.7%) did not survive the 5-year follow-up period, and all of them had disease progression or recurrence before death. Kaplan–Meier survival curve analysis was performed (Fig. 5, top), and RCB classification was associated with worse EFS (log-rank test, = 0.0015). Also, we observed worse EFS in patients with an increase in ctHHbN levels after 2–3 weeks NAC compared with baseline values (Fig. 5, bottom), but the difference was not significant (log-rank test, = 0.11).

\textbf{Discussion}

Predicting pCR early in the course of therapy is of great clinical interest in order to avoid ineffective treatment. In addition, the neoadjuvant setting is promising for subtype- and response-tailored trials. To identify different treatment regimens that may be more effective to be pursued, there is a clinical need of imaging markers that enable noninvasive prediction of pCR. In this study, we demonstrated that DOTBIS measured features change in accordance with pCR status after 2–3 weeks under NAC, and when combined to HER2 status could be a predictive factor for pCR. Our findings complement and extend previous studies conducted from 2007 to 2018 (8, 9, 11–18, 20, 21). Giving our larger sample size (n = 89), and multiple imaging timepoints, we were also able to detect differences within the breast tumor subtypes. Because of sample size restriction, differences between pCR status stratified by molecular subgroups were only noticed within the HR+/HER2− subgroup (pCR, n = 10 and non-pCR, n = 35). Overall, the data show a significant decrease in normalized values of ctHHb for patients with pCR compared with non-pCR, and such changes were noticed at the earliest imaging timepoint (TP1). The changes in ctHHb levels at TP1 were also moderately positively correlated to RCB scores. In addition, from the longitudinal multilevel mixed-effects results, we observed that in both three- or six-timepoint imaging studies, ctHHb change differed over time between pCR and non-pCR groups. When looking at the biological point of view, ctHHb can be attributed to tumor tissue oxygen consumption, and it is sensitive to cellular metabolism. Therefore, reduction in ctHHb levels represents the chemotherapeutic-induced changes in the tumor microvasculature: lower ctHHb values associate with the reduction in tumor cell proliferation, and consequently in oxygen consumption, and might provide tumor response prediction to NAC. However, different from previous publications (15, 29), our data did not show any significant differences in changes of ctO2HbN levels according to pCR status. It is possible that ctO2HbN, which is more representative of vascular supply and oxygen delivery, could be less variable to meet the demands of diminished cellularity because of cell death of the tumor tissue. However, a larger study is necessary to confirm the actual biochemical significance of ctO2HbN variation across NAC in comparison with ctHHb.

In addition, at baseline, normalized ctHHb levels (ctHHbN), calculated from both the tumor volume and nonaffected tissue, differentiate pCR status. Tumors that achieved pCR were characterized by higher ctHHbN levels, which represent tumor volume values almost four times higher than the surrounding healthy tissue. In contrast, ctHHbN levels were lower for non-pCR tumors. DCE-MRI findings (30–34) have also observed a consistent pretreatment differentiation between pCR and non-pCR radiomics. Braman and colleagues demonstrated that pCR tumors were characterized by increased homogeneity features within the tumor and decreased expression of gradient entropy features at the perimeter compared with non-pCR tumors (31). For that study, the authors hypothesize that these specific
Figure 5.
Kaplan-Meier survival curves according to RCB classification (top) and change in ctHHb levels (bottom) after 2-3 weeks under NAC (ΔctHHb).
radiomics expressions could be correlated to the fact that non-pCR tumors are known for containing intermingled tumor cells, necrosis, and sclerosis besides a high angiogenic activity within and surrounding the non-pCR tumors. On the other hand, pCR tumors are characterized by intratumoral volume containing densely packed stromal tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL). High levels of TILs have been associated with improved outcome and increased response to NAC in both HER2+ and TNBC (34, 35).

To our knowledge, this is the first work to investigate the effect on a 5-year window prognosis by comparing patients that had a decrease in hemoglobin tumor levels after 2–3 weeks under NAC with those in whom it increased. Although we observed a tendency toward a correlation of ΔtHb/ΔHHb with EFS, we believe that due to the sample size limitation and the maximum follow-up of 5 years (mean follow-up of 3.4 years), we could not obtain statistical power to detect clinically relevant differences based on DOTBIS features. Further studies with a larger sample size and a longer follow-up period are warranted to assess the prognostic factors of disease recurrence in patients receiving NAC using DOTBIS optical imaging features.

Our study has limitations. Patients’ menstrual cycles at baseline were not uniformly reported, and, therefore, were not accounted for analyses, which could cause variation in the baseline hemoglobin measures. Tumor location selection was based on distance from the nipple and quadrant information available from the patient’s radiology report. The same tumor position was assumed for all the imaging time points. Tumor values were normalized by the non-tumor tissue, so any variability from inter- and intrapatient analyses could be diminished. But no additional work was done to consider the variations from different breast density groups. In addition, a larger study population may enable researchers to investigate the impact of different chemotherapeutic regimens and draw better conclusions regarding molecular subgroups. Finally, no data were reported for tumor sizes smaller than 1 cm, and there is no support that such technology could be used to assess changes in small tumor volumes.

In summary, we have evaluated longitudinal changes in hemoglobin values across NAC between pCR and non-pCR tumors, as well as according to RCB classification. At baseline, normalized ΔtHb/ΔHHb total hemoglobin levels were statistically different between responders and nonresponder. Also, changes in normalized deoxy-hemoglobin values after 2–3 weeks under NAC were associated with pCR and were dependent on breast carcinoma subtype. These results confirm the potential of DOTBIS for predicting patients that would most benefit from personalized therapeutic approaches and the need to investigate chemotherapy response prediction according to tumor phenotype. If further validated on a larger set, these data could potentially be used to optimize response to NAC.
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