

Tongue Shape and Airstream Contrasts in N|uu Clicks: Predictable information is phonologically active

Amanda Miller  
Cornell University

I present quantitative ultrasound data on the production of 4 clicks in the [u] context by 3 female speakers of the endangered South African language N|uu. Data were recorded in the field using novel head and transducer support methodology. Two clicks contrast in anterior and posterior place: alveolo-uvular for [!], and post-alveolar-upper pharyngeal for [ʘ]. Neither has a velar posterior closure, as advocated by Beach (1938) and Ladefoged (1975). [!] is characterized by a convex mid tongue and concave posterior tongue shape, involving retraction of the mid tongue root. [ʘ] is characterized by high flat mid tongue shape, and advanced and raised upper tongue root similar to the high back vowel [u] (Esling 2003). Tongue shape differences in clicks are carried over into the following [u] vowel. High back vowels accommodate co-articulation in place, while high front vowels do not, resulting in co-occurrence constraints known as the Back Vowel Constraint (Traill 1985; Miller-Ockhuizen 2003; Miller 2007). Posterior tongue shape is predictable from anterior tongue shape, but is phonologically active.

[!ᵛ] and [ʘᵛ] were previously called uvular clicks, contrasting with velar clicks (Traill 1985; Ladefoged and Traill 1994; Bell and Collins 2001; Nakagawa 2006). N|uu [!ᵛ] and [ʘᵛ] clicks do not differ in posterior place from [!] and [ʘ]. Pairs show the same tongue shapes, and differ only in airstream. The [!ᵛ] and [ʘᵛ] clicks are linguo-pulmonic contour segments. Airstream is not predictable from place as claimed by Sagey (1986).

Overall, N|uu data show that phonetically predictable information is phonologically active.

#### References

- Beach, D. M. (1938) *The phonetics of the Hottentot language*. Cambridge: W. Heffer and Sons, Ltd.
- Bell, A. & Collins, C. (2001). ʘHoan and the typology of click accompaniments in Khoisan. *Cornell Working Papers in Linguistics* 18, 126-153.
- Esling, J. (2005) There Are No Back Vowels: The Laryngeal Articulator Model. *Canadian Journal of Linguistics* 50 (1/2/3/4), 13-44.
- Hess, S. (1998) *Pharyngeal articulations*. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University of California at Los Angeles.
- Ladefoged, P. (1975). *A Course in Phonetics, First Edition*, Orlando: Harcourt Brace.
- Ladefoged, P. and Traill, A. (1994) Clicks and their accompaniments. *Journal of Phonetics* 22, 33-64.

Miller, A. (2007) Place and Manner of articulation contrasts in N|uu clicks. MS. Cornell University.

Miller-Ockhuizen, A. (2003) The Phonetics and Phonology of Gutturals: A Case Study from Jul'hoansi. Horn, L. Ed., *Outstanding Dissertations in Linguistics*, New Haven: Routledge.

Nakagawa, H. (2006) Aspects of the phonetic and phonological structure of the Glui language. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

Sagey, E. (1986). *Representation of Features and Relations in Non-Linear Phonology*. Unpublished MIT Dissertation in Linguistics.

Traill, A. (1985) *Phonetic and phonological studies of !Xóõ Bushman (Quellen zur Khoisan-Forschung 1)*. Hamburg: Helmut Buske Verlag.