histogram 1/2 99/16 3/16 2/16 Problem 1: Part (a): N = 16  $N_0 = 2$   $N_1 = 9$   $N_2 = 3$   $N_3 = 2$ Part (b) mapping round (3x Zprob) sum of prop Values Prob round { \$ 416} = 0-2/16\_\_\_\_ 2/16--1-2 9/16 11/16 round (33/16] = 2 ١ 2-3 Yound ( +2/16 = 3 3/16\_\_\_\_\_14/16\_\_\_ . 2 3 → 3  $r_{ond}(3) = 3$   $port d: \frac{2716}{9} = \frac{9^{5/1}}{16} = \frac{576}{9}$ 3 2/16 16/16 part (); 23 Q б 33 2 2 3 2 2 2 3 2: 2 2

Problem 2: Part (a)  $H = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  $\Rightarrow$   $h_{\chi} = \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$   $h_{y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow it is separable.$ Part (b) filter [], is a difference filter => high pass filter Filter [1] is an averaging filter > low pacs filter the over all filter is taking derivative in I direction and direction => detects horizontal edges. average in -> Part (c)  $\frac{T}{t}\left\{ h_{2}\right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[-e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi u} - \frac{1}{2}\pi u} = -\frac{21}{\sqrt{2}}\sin(2\pi u) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin(2\pi u)$  $\frac{\pi \left\{h_{y}\right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[1 + 2\cos(2\pi\sqrt{3})\right] \Rightarrow H(u,v) = -\frac{2}{3}\sin(2\pi u) \left[1 + 2\cos(2\pi v)\right]}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + H(u,v)}$   $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[1 + 2\cos(2\pi\sqrt{3})\right] \Rightarrow H(u,v) = -\frac{2}{3} \sin(2\pi u) \left[1 + 2\cos(2\pi\sqrt{3})\right]$   $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[1 + 2\cos(2\pi\sqrt{3})\right] \Rightarrow H(u,v) = -\frac{2}{3} \sin(2\pi u) \left[1 + 2\cos(2\pi\sqrt{3})\right]$   $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[1 + 2\cos(2\pi\sqrt{3})\right] \Rightarrow H(u,v) = -\frac{2}{3} \sin(2\pi u) \left[1 + 2\cos(2\pi\sqrt{3})\right]$  $H(u, y_2) = 2 \int \sin(2\pi u) -y_2 = -y_2$   $H(u, y_2) = 0$   $H(u, y_2) = 0$  $\frac{1}{1_2}$   $\frac{1}{1_2}$   $\frac{1}{1_2}$   $\frac{1}{1_2}$   $\frac{1}{1_2}$ - 2 1H1 /2 NI H(1/2, V) = 0 part(d): filtur acts as a horizontal edge detertor.

Problem 3:  $F_{1} = \begin{array}{c} 5 & 4 & 3 \\ \hline 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\ \hline 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \text{ cufter coveraging } G_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ \hline 3 & 1 \\ \hline 3 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$  $\Rightarrow interpolating I_{1-} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 5 & 3 & 3 \\ 5 & 5 & 3 & 3 \\ 5 & 5 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ \end{bmatrix}$  Subtract  $L_{1-} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0$ pent level averaging on  $G_1 \implies G_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \end{bmatrix} \implies I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ for reconstruction we have: G = [3] interpolate  $I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$  add to  $L_2 \Rightarrow G_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$  $G = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{interpolate}} I_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 5 & 3 & 3 \\ 5 & 5 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 &$ 

Problem 4: X, ~> Observed gray values of N pixels. Part cay: min 1/X11. S.L. 11 Y'\_ MDX 11; <E this problem is not conveg since Lo-norm is not a contra norm and it is NP- Hard to solve. Part (b) = asing techniques in conven an equivalant problem is min  $|| Y' = MDx ||_2^2$ which also is equal to min 11Y-MDX112+1/11X1 Part (c): one relanation to get Convenity is changing LO-norm to  $L_1 - norm \implies min ||y' - MDX||_1^2 + \lambda ||X||_1$ Where LI-norm is sum of absolute value. part (d) when we calculate X by solving the problem We can calculate the missing pinels by ((I-M)) X<sup>opt</sup> and we can replace them by tent in image, assuming the observation is noisy we can have DX opt as butput to reduce the effect of noise too. Wrondion

Problem 5: Part (a); I(m,n) - I(m,n-1) $I_{\chi}(m,n) =$ outside is 0 assumning ΜĹ m Q ٥ 0 ŝ 0 ΰ magin l l 6 ١ \ 0 O δ  $\odot$ ١. 0 ļ Q ຄ Õ Q ١ Ο 6  $\diamond$ 0  $\mathcal{O}$ 0  $\bar{\mathbb{I}}_{u}$ In Ø ٥ \* 0 0  $\theta = \tan^{-1}$ 90 90 45  $\mathcal{D}$ 0 / 945 90 45 0 *-*0-Should be given special 0 0 03 this is created due to the fact that HoG the deft. padded 45 90 o Part (b): if we normalize (and (Threshold in the sift algorithm) then the HoG is invariant to light change and intensity change. this histogram is not rotation invariant since we did not Kircularly shift the histogram to get the main dominant direction in the first bin. but in the actual SIFT discriptor we actuly calculate the dominant direction of the putch and shift the Mistograms of sub-patches in the first bin to get rotation invariant result.

Problem 6°  $\frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 v}{1 + \alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 v} \Rightarrow \frac{\chi + \alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 v}{1 + \alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 v}$  $\rightarrow (\chi = \alpha_0 + \sigma_1 u + \alpha_2 v - \dot{c}_1 \dot{\alpha} u - c_2 u v$ y- bo+b, u+bv - c, yu - c, yv ao a Q<sub>Z</sub> 1 Un Vn O O O - Xn Un - Nn Vn Xn 601 0 0 0 1 un Un -ynun -yn Vn b 3n b<u>z</u> <,.... دي' ā N A we want to have Aa=x if N=8= a=Ax if NS& which is usually the case we solve least squares problem:  $N>8 \Rightarrow over determinde \Rightarrow min || Aa - n ||_2^2$  $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \| x - A \alpha \|_{2}^{2} = -2A^{T}x + 2A^{T}A \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha^{opt} = (A^{T}A)^{-1}A^{T}x$ one other method is DLT: ٨ر ba bz Ca => Aa=o least square => "I Aall" = aTATAq solution a eigen vector of ATA with the minimal evalue.

Problem 7:

For this problem we choose to use harris corners in taplacion as Feature points and sift as descriptor. step 1: Find the Laplacian scale images for both Im1 and Im2 this is done using filters on = or un and down sampling and then subtracting adjacont images. stepz: extract Harris feature points in multiple scales and for each detect the charactristic scale. step 3: For each feature point in Images create the SIFT descriptor. and save all feature points and their descriptor. step 43 try to find corresponding points between Image 1 and Image 2. this is achived by companing the descriptors and taking the one that has the nearest distance. Since There might be ambigaity we have: digdz if digTi, dig BTi => keep di as match else delet step 5: after finding the matching puints use Least squares or RANSAC to find the homography or affine mapping that best describes the mapping between two images. step 6: use the mapping to warp one Image to other cordinate (this is done with inverse mapping and interpolation) step 7; stich two images to get the result. This can be done Using pyramid Blending. some smoothing might be required.

Problem 8: Part (a); => optical flow eq: DF dn + DF dy + F(my) - G(my) = 0  $\begin{bmatrix} dx_{1}a \\ d(y_{1}a) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a + a_{1}x + a_{2}y \\ b + b_{1}x + b_{2}y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{1} & y_{1} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ a_{2} \\ b_{3} \\ b_{4} \end{bmatrix}$  simple case Affine: $<math display="block"> dn = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{1} & y_{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{0} \\ a_{1} \end{bmatrix} = dn = Aa \implies \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = A(x_{1}y_{1})a + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = A(x_{2}y_{3})b + F(x_{3}y_{3}) = G(x_{3}y_{3}) = c$   $dn = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{1} & y_{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{0} \\ a_{1} \end{bmatrix} = dn = Aa \implies \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = A(x_{3}y_{3})a + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = A(x_{3}y_{3})b + F(x_{3}y_{3}) = G(x_{3}y_{3}) = c$   $dn = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{1} & y_{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{0} \\ a_{1} \end{bmatrix} = dn = Aa \implies \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = A(x_{3}y_{3})a + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = A(x_{3}y_{3})b + F(x_{3}y_{3}) = G(x_{3}y_{3}) = c$   $dn = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{1} & y_{2} \end{bmatrix} = Ab \qquad (x_{1} + x_{2}) = Ab \qquad (x_{2} + x_{3}) = Ab \qquad (x_{2} + x_{3}) = Ab \qquad (x_{3} + x_{3}) = Ab \qquad (x_{3}$ simple case Affin Least squares problem: E = 1 5 | 2F Acmya + 2F Acmyb + Fing) - Ging we try to minimize  $E_{OF} \implies \frac{\partial E_{OF}}{\partial a} = 0$   $\frac{\partial E_{OF}}{\partial b} = 0$ and we get the following equation.  $\begin{bmatrix} \sum \frac{\partial F}{\partial n^2} & A^T A & \sum \frac{\partial F}{\partial n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & A^T A \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial n^2} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & A^T A \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial n^2} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & A^T A \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial n^2} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^2} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^2} & A^T A \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial n^2} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^2} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{EB}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - F(n,y) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial F} & A^T \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{EB}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - F(n,y) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial F} & A^T \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{EB}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - F(n,y) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{EB}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - F(n,y) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{EB}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{EB}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - F(n,y) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{EB}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - F(n,y) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{EB}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - F(n,y) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{EB}} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - F(n,y) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial$ => Sa=t => a= 5t in order to find the moving object we can compensate for Camera motion based on the mapping we found and use other motion estimation methods like EBMA to find the moving object based on worped image and image 1 you just need to detect pixels with lage error after global motion compensation.

Problem 9: Part cas: when we have corresponding point in right image we find disparity and Then we have.  $\frac{X_{e} = X + B_{12}}{Z} \xrightarrow{X_{r} = X - B_{12}} \Rightarrow \chi_{e} = F \frac{X + B_{12}}{Z} \qquad \chi_{r} = F \frac{X - B_{12}}{Z}$  $\Rightarrow d = \chi_{\ell} \cdot \chi_{r} \cdot FB \implies F = d \implies Z = B \Rightarrow Z = FB$  $\frac{\sqrt{-y^2} - y^3}{F} = \frac{\chi_{e+\chi_r}}{d} = \frac{\chi_{e+\chi_r}}{Z} = \frac{\chi_{e+\chi_r}}{Z} = \frac{\chi_{e+\chi_r}}{Z}$ Part(b): first we find the mapping bet when Iert and Irit to find the corresponding Points using these corresponding puint and result of Part (a) we can find Zt, Xt, YE position 3D at time to using the same method we can find the corresponding points on I total, Intal and recover  $z^{t-1}$ ,  $\chi^{t-1}$ ,  $\chi^{t-1}$  =)  $\Delta z = \overline{z}^{t} - \overline{z}^{t-1}$  $\Delta X = X^{t} - X^{t-1}$  $\Delta Y = Y^{t} - Y^{t-1}$ Meed to do motion estimation to track find the corresponding pix-el on Frame t for a point in Frame t-1, in Say Ir (+) Say Ir, t-1

10. (10pt) The figure below shows 4 samples over a 2D plane (think of them as 4 pixels where each pixel is described by a feature vector of dimension 2). We would like to cluster them into two groups using the K-means method. Starting with the initial centroids illustrated in the top-left figure, show the results of several iterations of K-means in the figures provided until the iteration converges. You can use a big circle to include all samples in the same cluster in each iteration, and use triangles to indicate the cluster centroids. (Note that the lines in the figure are there to help you gauge the relative positions of the samples and initial centroids.





3

red