Drawdown Derivatives to a Hitting Time

Kevin Atteson and Peter Carr

May 30, 2022

Kevin Atteson and Peter Carr **[Drawdown Derivatives to a Hitting Time](#page-24-0)**

4 17 18

∢ 何 ▶ (ヨ ▶ (ヨ ▶

 299

∍

Drawdown Derivatives

Introduction

- We investigate derivatives on the drawdown of a process
- Prior work (e.g. [\[DSY00\]](#page-24-1), [\[MIAPAM04\]](#page-24-2), [\[CZH11\]](#page-24-3)) explores maximum drawdown derivatives which mature at a specified time
- We focus on maturity at the first time the process hits an upper barrier
- The value and hedge ratios of the derivatives have simpler forms and more general model-independence than fixed-maturity options
- An example of such a derivative is a binary option which pays if an asset draws down $d\%$ before reaching a value m

 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} . The set of \mathcal{B}

Why is Drawdown Important

Introduction

- The drawdown of a portfolio is the amount that the portfolio has lost from a prior peak
- Many investors place significant focus on drawdown as a measure of risk
- Studies have demonstrated natural cognitive biases:
	- Gain-loss asymmetry, e.g. most people would not enter a bet where they win \$150 or lose \$100 with equal probability
	- The endowment effect, e.g. most people place more value on something owned than the same thing not owned
- Some hedge funds have adopted rules by which portfolio managers are shut down if they hit a drawdown limit
- There are no such rules for missing out on draw ups

 QQ

∍

∢ロ ▶ ∢何 ▶ ∢ ヨ ▶ ∢ ヨ ▶

Drawdown

Definition

Running maximum:

$$
\overline{X}(t) = \sup_{s \leq t} X(s)
$$

Drawdown:

$$
X^{D}(t) = \overline{X}(t) - X(t)
$$

Drawdown for Geometric Brownian Motion

4日下 ∢母 \sim $\leftarrow \equiv$ $\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{z} \Rightarrow \mathbf{y}$ E

 299

Prior Work

Prior results

P

• [\[DSY00\]](#page-24-1) showed that for standard Brownian motion:

$$
\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\overline{B^D}(t) < d\right) &= \\ 1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-d}^{d} \left(e^{-\frac{(y+4kd)^2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{(y+2d+4kd)^2}{2}} \right) dy \end{aligned}
$$

• [\[MIAPAM04\]](#page-24-2) show a more complex result for Brownian motion with drift

 $\left\{ \left\vert \left\langle \left\langle \left\langle \mathbf{q} \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\rangle \right\vert \left\langle \mathbf{q} \right\rangle \left\langle \mathbf{q} \right\rangle \right\vert$

∍

 200

4 17 18

Prior Work

Prior results

[\[CZH11\]](#page-24-3) show:

- Model-free "semi-static" replication of maximum drawdown insurance using one-touch knockout options
- "Semi-static" here means that the hedge portfolio is rebalanced only when the maximum of the process changes
- Makes continuity and symmetry assumptions
- Hedging with an infinite series of one touch or even vanilla options using stronger assumptions
- Hedging relative drawdown (defined later)
- Hedging for geometric models

First Hitting Time

Definition

First Hitting Time: $\tau_m(X) = \inf \{ t : X(t) \ge m \}$ with $\inf \emptyset = \infty$

Kevin Atteson and Peter Carr **[Drawdown Derivatives to a Hitting Time](#page-0-0)**

Prior Work

Prior results

 $[SV07]$ show that for a standard Brownian motion B , drawdown at a hitting time is inverse exponentially distributed:

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\overline{B^D}(\tau_m(B)) < d\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{m}{d}\right)
$$

4 17 18

K 何 ▶ 【 三 ▶ 【

∍

 200

Binary Calls on Drawdown to a Hitting Time

Definition

- Let $C_{m,d}^D(t)$ denote the price at time t of a binary call on a drawdown of d to the first hitting time of m
- Let $\tau_{m,d}$ be the stopping time corresponding to hitting m or drawing down by d , whichever comes first, that is $\tau_{m,d} = \tau_m(X) \wedge \tau_d(X^D)$

 $C_{m,d}^D$ matures at $\tau_{m,d}$ with values:

$$
C_{m,d}^D(\tau_{m,d}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X^D(\tau_{m,d}) \ge d \\ 0 & \text{if } X^D(\tau_{m,d}) < d \end{cases}
$$

∢ 何 ≯ ∢ 目 ≯ ∢ 目

Binary Calls on Drawdown to a Hitting Time for Brownian **Motion**

Lemma

Using the result of [\[SV07\]](#page-25-0), under standard Brownian motion, we have that $\tau_m(X) < \infty$ so that:

$$
C_{m,d}^D(0) = \mathbb{P}\big(X^D(\tau_{m,d}) \ge d\big) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{m}{d}\right)
$$

Remark

- This formula holds for all continuous local martingales for which $\mathbb{Q}(\tau_{m,d}<\infty)=1$
- From the fundamental theorem of asset pricing, every continuous arbitrage-free process is a continuous local martingale under the risk-neutral measure

 QQ

Binary Calls on Drawdown to a Hitting Time

Theorem

For any continuous arbitrage-free process X such that $X(0) = 0$ and which hits m or draws down d in finite time with probability 1:

 $\mathbb{O}(\tau_{m,d}<\infty)=1$

the initial price of a binary call on a drawdown of d to the first hitting time of m is given by:

$$
C_{m,d}^D(0) = \mathbb{Q}\big(X^D(\tau_{m,d}) \ge d\big) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{m}{d}\right)
$$

where $\mathbb Q$ is any equivalent local martingale measure.

 Ω

Unexpired Binary Calls on Drawdown to a Hitting Time

Corollary

For a process X with:

$$
\mathbb{Q}(\tau_{m,d} < \infty) = 1
$$

the value of an unexpired option at time t is given by:

$$
C_{m,d}^{D}(t) = 1 - \frac{d - (\overline{X}(t) - X(t))}{d} \exp\left(-\frac{m - \overline{X}(t)}{d}\right)
$$

The option can be hedged by shorting $\exp\left(-\frac{m-\overline{X}(t)}{d}\right)$ $\frac{d}{d}$ shares of the underlier and the keeping the remainder in the bank account.

A . . 3 . . 3

ഹൈ

Example Processes

Remark

Examples of processes that either hit m or drawdown by d with probability 1:

- Brownian motion when $0 < d$ and $X(0) < m$
- Geometric Brownian motion when $0 < d < X(0) < m$:
	- Geometric Brownian motion goes to 0 in the risk-neutral measure
	- Geometric Brownian motion need not hit a level $m > X(0)$
- Any process with (stochastic) volatility $\sigma(t)$ such that $\sigma(t) > a > 0$ whenever $\overline{X}(t) < m$ and $X^{D}(t) > d$

∢ 何 ≯ ∢ 目 ≯ ∢ 目

Time Invariance

Remark

Let $T : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing time change with $T(0) = 0$ and let $Y(t) = X(T(t))$:

$$
Y^{D}(t) = (\overline{Y} - Y)(t) = (\overline{X} - X)(T(t)) = X^{D}(T(t))
$$

\n
$$
\tau_{m}(Y) = \inf \{ t : Y(t) = m \} = \inf \{ t : X(T(t)) = m \}
$$

\n
$$
= \inf \{ T^{-1}(t) : X(t) = m \} = T^{-1}(\tau_{m}(X))
$$

so that:

$$
Y^{D}(\tau_{m}(Y) \wedge \tau_{d}(Y^{D}))
$$

= $X^{D}(T(T^{-1}(\tau_{m}(X)) \wedge T^{-1}(\tau_{d}(X^{D}))))$
= $X^{D}(\tau_{m}(X) \wedge \tau_{d}(X^{D}))$

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

E

 299

Consequences of Time Invariance

Remark

- Any time change leaves the distribution of the random variable $X^{D}(\tau_{m,d})$ invariant
- The Dambis-Dubins-Schwartz theorem ([\[RY99\]](#page-24-4)) shows that every continuous local martingale is a time change of a standard Brownian motion
- Any derivative whose terminal value is invariant to time changes will have the same value and hedges for any measure under which:
	- The underlier is continuous and arbitrage-free
	- The derivative matures at a finite time with probability 1

∢ 何 ▶ (○ ▶ (○ ○

Comparison with Vanilla Options

Remark

- Advantages compared with vanilla options:
	- The hedges for these options have significant model-independence and so are more robust
	- The hedges are "semi-static" in that they only change when a new maximum is hit which happens in a time set of measure 0
	- The underlier is "bought back" at a new maximum rather than sold as the underlier moves down
- Disdvantage compared with vanilla options:
	- Maturity date can be arbitrarily large
	- This can be fixed by setting a finite maturity date and settling at the value at maturity:
		- The market maker can settle the hedges
		- The buyer of the option can reinvest in another option

Relative Drawdown Binary Calls to a Hitting Time

Definition

• The relative drawdown, X^R is defined as:

$$
X^{R}(t) = \frac{X^{D}(t)}{\overline{X}(t)}
$$

• Let
$$
\tau_{m,r} = \tau_m(X) \wedge \tau_r(X^R)
$$

We write $C_{m,r}^R(t)$ for the value at time t of a option which matures at $\tau_{m,r}$ and pays:

$$
C_{m,r}^R(\tau_{m,r})=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} X^D(\tau_{m,r}) & \text{if } X^R(\tau_{m,r})\geq r\\ 0 & \text{if } X^R(\tau_{m,r})< r \end{array}\right.
$$

Price of Relative Drawdown Binary Calls to a Hitting Time

Theorem

For any continuous arbitrage-free process X such that:

 $\mathbb{Q}(\tau_{m,r}<\infty)=1$

the initial of a binary call on a relative drawdown of r to the first time to hit m is given by:

$$
C_{m,r}^{R}(0) = \frac{r}{1-r}X(0)\left(1 - \left(\frac{X(0)}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}-1}\right)
$$

Unexpired Relative Drawdown Binary Calls to a Hitting $Time$

Corollary

For a process X as above, the value of the option at time t is given by:

$$
C_{m,d}^{R}(t) = \frac{rX(t)}{1-r} - \frac{X(t) - \overline{X}(t)(1-r)}{1-r} \left(\frac{\overline{X}(t)}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}-1}
$$

The option is hedged by shorting $\frac{1}{1-r}\left(\left(\frac{\overline{X}(t)}{m}\right)^{1-r}\right)$ $\left(\frac{\bar{\zeta}(t)}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}-1}-r\bigg)$ shares of the underlier and the keeping the remainder in the bank account.

∢ 何 ≯ ∢ 目 ≯ ∢ 目

 Ω

Maximum Drawdown Call Spreads at a Hitting Point

Definition

• Let
$$
\tau_{m,K_1} = \tau_m(X) \wedge \tau_{K_1}(X^D)
$$

• Let X be a continuous, arbitrage-free process which has a relative drawdown K_1 or hits m with probability 1:

$$
\mathbb{Q}(\tau_{m,K_1} < \infty) = 1
$$

• A maximum drawdown call spread between strikes K_1 and K_2 at hitting point m is the option which matures at τ_{m,K_1} with value:

$$
C_{m,K_1,K_2}^{S}(\tau_{m,K_1})
$$

= $\left(\overline{X^D}(\tau_{m,K_1}) - K_1\right)^+ - \left(\overline{X^D}(\tau_{m,K_1}) - K_2\right)^+$

≮ロト ⊀何ト ⊀ ヨト ⊀ ヨト

E

 QQ

Maximum Drawdown Call Spreads to a Hitting Point

Theorem

For a continuous arbitrage-free process X as above, the price of a

$$
C_{m,K_1,K_2}^S(\tau_{m,K_1})
$$

= $K_2 - K_1 + m\left(\Gamma\left(-1, \frac{m}{K_2}\right) - \Gamma\left(-1, \frac{m}{K_1}\right)\right)$

where $\Gamma(s, x)$ is the incomplete gamma function:

$$
\Gamma(s, x) = \int_x^{\infty} y^{s-1} \exp(-y) \, dy
$$

The Proof for Maximum Drawdown Call Spreads

Proof.

$$
E_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\left(\overline{X^{D}}(\tau_{m,K_{1}})-K_{1}\right)^{+}-\left(\overline{X^{D}}(\tau_{m,K_{1}})-K_{2}\right)^{+}\right]
$$

\n
$$
= E_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\int_{K_{1}}^{K_{2}} 1\left\{\overline{X^{D}}(\tau_{m,K_{1}}) \geq k\right\} dk\right]
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{K_{1}}^{K_{2}} E_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\overline{X^{D}}(\tau_{m,K_{1}}) \geq k\right] dk
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{K_{1}}^{K_{2}} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{m}{k}\right)\right) dk
$$

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

E

The Integral

Proof.

Using the transformation $u = \frac{m}{k}$ $\frac{m}{k}$:

$$
\int_{K_1}^{K_2} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{m}{k} \right) \right) dk
$$

= $K_2 - K_1 + \int_{\frac{m}{K_1}}^{\frac{m}{K_2}} \frac{m}{u^2} \exp(-u) du$
= $K_2 - K_1 + m \left(\Gamma\left(-1, \frac{m}{K_2} \right) - \Gamma\left(-1, \frac{m}{K_1} \right) \right)$

メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 ト

E

Drawdown Derivatives

Summary

- Drawdown derivatives which expire at a hitting time have price and hedge formulas which are invariant for all arbitrage-free models for which the underlier is continuous and the option has a.s. finite maturity
- We have derived simple formulas for the following drawdown derivatives:
	- Binary calls on drawdown
	- Binary calls on relative drawdown
	- Call spreads on drawdown

同 ▶ ィヨ ▶ ィヨ

References I

- 讀 Peter Carr, Hongzhong Zhang, and Olympia Hadjiliadis, Maximum drawdown insurance, International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance 14 (2011), no. 8, 1195–1230.
- Ħ R Douady, AN Shiryaev, and M Yor, On probability characteristics of "downfalls" in a standard brownian motion, Theory of Probability and Its Applications 44 (2000), no. 1, 29–38.
- 暈 Malik Magdon-Ismail, Amir F. Atiya, Amrit Pratap, and Yaser F. Abu-Mustafa, On the maximum drawdown of a brownian motion, Journal of Applied Probability 41 (2004), no. 1, 147–161.
- $\frac{1}{2}$ Daniel Revuz and Marc Yor, Continuous martingales and brownian motion, third ed., Springer, 1999.

イロ トラ 海 トラ ミトラ ミ

References II

譶 Paaov Salminen and Pierre Vallois, On maximum increase and decrease of brownian motion, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincareé Probabilités et statistiques 43 (2007), no. 6, 655–676.