Optionality as a Binary Operation

Peter Carr
New York University
1 MetroTech, 10th floor New York, NY 11201 pc73@nyu.edu

Doug Costa

Susquehanna International Group 401 City Avenue Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004 doug.costa@sig.com

Peter loved to make connections

- Between ideas and concepts (options, finance, physics, mathematics...)
- Between people (Like Paul Erdős, Peter's "brain was open" to all. Now we each have a Carr Number.)

This project explores connections between option-pricing and basic algebraic structures

- It is partly inspired by his work on a new kind of contract he called "stoptions," so read his paper on that, too.
- With zero rates and drift, Peter observed that the risk-neutral expectation value of a "married put" (put + stock) with strike k and initial stock price s, E[k V sX] = k ⊕ s = h(k, s), where X is a random variable on [0, ∞) representing the stock's absolute return, defines a binary operation on [0, ∞) which is continuous and monotone increasing in each coordinate, has 0 as identity element, and is homogeneous in k, s.

Married Put Function makes $[0, \infty)$ a Magma

- In algebra, any set with a binary operation defined on it is a "magma," basically "formless and void," i.e., having little structure.
- If the operation is associative [(a ⊕ b) ⊕ c = a ⊕ (b ⊕ c)], then it is a "semigroup."
- If it has an "Identity element" [0 ⊕ a = a ⊕ 0 = a for all a], then it is a"monoid."
- If every element has an inverse, then it is a "group."

Conjugate Power Dagum Distribution makes $[0, \infty)$ a Monoid

- For random variable X defined on [0, ∞), the conjugate power Dagum (CPD) distribution with parameter b is defined by the cumulative distribution function F(x) = (1 + x^(-1/b))^{b-1}.
- Peter discovered that if X is a CPD random variable, then the married put operation is $k \bigoplus s = E[k \lor sX] = (k^{1/b} + s^{1/b})^{b}$.
- This is patently associative, commutative, with 0 as identity element, so makes [0, ∞) a monoid. In fact, since ordinary multiplication distributes over ⊕, it is a "semi-field."
- Peter: "Option pricing is just a change of arithmetic."

Logistic Distribution makes $[-\infty,\infty)$ a Monoid

- For random variable X defined on [-∞,∞), the zero-mean logistic distribution with parameter b is defined by the cumulative distribution function F(x) = (1 + e^(-x/b))⁻¹.
- Peter discovered that if X is a zero-mean logistic random variable, and we consider options on cumulative log-returns (or futures spreads, etc.) then the married put operation is k ⊕ s = E[k V (s + X)] = bLn(e^{k/b} + e^{s/b}).
- This is also clearly associative, commutative, with ∞ as identity element, so makes [- ∞, ∞) a monoid. In fact, now ordinary addition distributes over ⊕, so it is again a "semi-field."

Critical result from analysis

- J. Aczel (ca. 1949) showed that if h(x, y) = x ⊕ y is a binary operation defined on an interval J of real numbers such that it is continuous and monotone increasing in each coordinate, then ⊕ makes J a monoid if and only if there is a monotone continuous function g: J* → J such that for all x, y in J, x ⊕ y = g(g⁻¹(x) + g⁻¹(y)), where J* is either [0, ∞) or (-∞, ∞). We then call g a "generator" for ⊕.
- This shows that the only associative operations on intervals of real numbers are isomorphic transforms of ordinary addition via the isomorphism g.
- Bonus: defining a "pseudo-product" by x ⊗ y = g(g⁻¹(x)g⁻¹(y)) makes J into a semi-field isomorphic to either [0, ∞) or (-∞, ∞) via g.

Consequences of Aczel

- If ⊕ is a continuous, order-preserving, associative operation on J, then it must be commutative.
- And the identity element must be g(0).

Example 1

- $J = J^* = [0, \infty)$; for all u in J^* , $g(u) = u^b$.
- Then for x, y in J, $x \bigoplus y = (x^{1/b} + y^{1/b})^b$ and $x \bigotimes y = xy$.
- This is the Dagum distribution-based married put semi-field on J.

Example 2

- $J = [-\infty, \infty), J^* = [0, \infty);$ for u in $J^*, g(u) = Ln_B(u).$
- Then for x, y in J, $x \bigoplus y = bLn(e^{x/b} + e^{y/b})$ and $x \bigotimes y = x + y$, where b = 1/Ln(B).
- This is the Logistic distribution-based married put semi-field on J.

Excitement: what are the possibilities?

- What are the possible algebraic structures on intervals of real numbers defined by married puts, or other contracts with optionality?
- What are the distributions possible, given properties of pseudoarithmetics which *could* represent married puts?
- What distributions on what intervals correspond to which algebraic structures?

Peter's 2020 question

• Can we find all intervals J with a distribution on J such that married puts define an associative operation?

- This raises a philosophical question: "The Associativity Problem." Why does associativity, in particular matter?
- Possible answers: computational efficiency, opening the door to use of representation theory,...?

Answers Part 1

 On J = [-∞, ∞), x ⊕ y = E[x V (y + bZ)] defines an associative operation if and only if Z is a standard logistic random variable.

Flavor of Proof

- Suffices to do the case b =1.
- Write h(x, y) = x ⊕ y = E[x V (y + Z)] and express this as an integral. Then associativity is the functional equation h(h(x, y), z) = h(x, h(y, z)).
- Use distributivity of + over ⊕ to "pull out" y:
 y + h(h(x-y, 0), z-y) = y + h(x-y, h(0, z-y)).
- So, associativity is: h(h(u, 0), v) = h(u, h(0, v)), for all u, v.
- Use commutativity (symmetry of distribution) and put-call parity to see that this is equivalent to Call(Call(-u) –v) = Call(u-Call(-v)) – Call(u)

Flavor of Proof continued

- Take partial derivatives wrt u (strike) to get functional equation for the "survival function" (SF =1- CDF). (This involves a lot of calculation using symmetry again.)
- (**) SF(u+v) = SF(u)SF(v)/[1-SF(u)-SF(v)+2SF(u)SF(v)]
- Define f(z) = (1/SF(z)) -1. Inverting both sides of (**), see that f(u+v) = f(u)f(v). Conclude that f(z) = e^z.
- $SF(z) = 1/(1 + e^z)$, i.e., Z is standard logistic.

Answers Part 2

- Realize that by Aczel, every associative pseudo-sum ⊕ defined on an interval J isomorphic to [0, ∞), is defined by a "generator" from the logistic pseudo-sum: g: [-∞, ∞) --> J, x ⊕ y = g(g⁻¹(x) ⊕^b g⁻¹(y)), where ⊕^b is the logistic pseudo-sum with parameter b>0.
- Since g may be increasing or decreasing, we must allow married puts OR buy-writes to define the operation ⊕.
- We need to find all increasing g such that (with u = g⁻¹(x), v = g⁻¹(y)), g(u ⊕^b y) = E[g(u) V (g(v) ⊗ W)] = E[g(u) V g(v + g⁻¹(W))] for some random variable W.
- Similarly for decreasing g, but V replaced by Λ .

Answers Part 2 continued

- Answers: the only functions g for which there is a random variable W defining the pseudo-sum as a married-put or buy-write are those of the form g(x) = Ae^{mx} + B, or g(x) = Ax + B. In each case the distribution of W is uniquely determined.
- If m > 0, then W is a scaled, translated CPD random variable.
- If m < 0, then W is a scaled, translated Singh-Maddala (1/CPD) random variable.
- If g(x) = Ax + B, then W is a scaled, translated Logistic random variable.

Concluding Observations

- We have determined all distributions under which married puts or buywrites create associative binary operations. Associativity is surprisingly restrictive.
- We have determined all pseudo-arithmetics isomorphic to J* = [0, ∞) which can be realized as married put or buy-write valuations.
- We have NOT done anything in the case J* = (-∞, ∞). [The difficulty seems to be thinking of derivative contracts which would define well-behaved operations. Married puts, for example, won't be order-preserving.]

More Concluding Observations

- Peter had hoped to find a wide variety of distributions making the married put operation associative, thus creating monoids. So it was somewhat disconcerting to find associativity so limiting.
- Looking deeper, nature becomes more interesting: the binary operations defined by married puts are mostly non-associative and non-commutative and define algebras in which the distributive law holds, but addition is non-associative and non-commutative. What can be said about these structures? And what relations among underlying distributions correspond to isomorphism classes of these structures?

The Strange Case of Black-Scholes Part 1

With zero rates and drift, the BS married put with strike k and initial

stock price s is: $k \bigoplus^{BS} s = sN(d(s,k)) + kN(d(k,s))$, where $d(s,k) = LN(s/k)/\sigma + \sigma/2$ and σ^2 is the variance to expiration.

This is clearly a commutative operation.

But since the underlying distribution is lognormal, our results imply that it is not associative.

The Strange Case of Black-Scholes Part 2

- We can now ask whether a "generator" (isomorphism) applied to this operation is realizable as a married put value, and if so, under what distribution?
- For g:[0, ∞) → [-∞, ∞) defined by g(x) = Ln(x), the answer is YES and our machinery shows the distribution on [-∞, ∞) has CDF

•
$$H(w) = (1 + e^{-w}[N(-w/\sigma + \sigma/2)/N(w/\sigma + \sigma/2])^{-1}.$$

INTERESTING!