# Exponential mixing for random flows

Long Time Behavior and Singularity Formation in PDEs-Part V

Michele Coti Zelati joint with A. Blumenthal and R. Gvalani 30 May, 2022

Imperial College London

- 1. Passive scalars
- 2. Random dynamical systems
- 3. Exponential mixing

# **Passive scalars**

We aim to study of the long-time dynamics of the transport equation

$$\partial_t \rho + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \rho = \boldsymbol{0},$$

- *d*-dimensional periodic domain  $\mathbb{T}^d = [0, 2\pi)^d$ ;
- $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}(t, x) : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  is a given divergence-free velocity field;
- consider only mean-free solutions  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \rho(t, x) dx = 0, \ \forall t \ge 0.$

#### Shear flows



Take  $\boldsymbol{u} = (u(y), 0)$  in  $\mathbb{T}^2$ :  $\partial_t \rho + u(y) \partial_x \rho = 0, \qquad \rho(0) = \rho^{in}.$ The x-average  $\langle \rho \rangle_x$  is conserved

 $\partial_t \langle \rho(t) \rangle_x = 0.$ 

However,

 $ho(t)-\langle
ho(t)
angle_{ imes}
ightarrow 0 \;\;\; {
m as}\; t
ightarrow\infty$  weakly.

#### **Chaotic flows**



The high and low concentrations of a scalar in a disc when stirred by a random flow.

- If *u* is chaotic, then all mean-zero solutions can be mixed
- How mixed is  $\rho$  at a given time?
- How fast is  $\rho$  mixed?

#### Figure 1: From J. Vanneste

Mixing can be thought of as a cascading process in which information travels to smaller and smaller spatial scales. This can be quantified by negative Sobolev norms (see Lin, Thiffeault, Doering '11)

$$\|
ho(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} |k|^{-2s} |
ho_k(t)|^2 \to 0, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$
 (1)

This is related to the following: consider X(t, x) the solution to the ODE

$$\partial_t X(t,x) = \boldsymbol{u}(t,X(t,x)), \quad X(0,x) = x.$$

Then (1) is related to the decay of correlations

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x)g(X(t,x)^{-1}) \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(X(t,x))g(x) \mathrm{d}x \to 0, \quad \text{as } t \to \infty. \tag{2}$$

• smooth shear flows (Bedrossian, Coti Zelati '15):  $t^{-1/n}$ , where *n* is the order of critical points (n = 2 for  $u(y) = \sin y$ ).

- smooth shear flows (Bedrossian, Coti Zelati '15):  $t^{-1/n}$ , where *n* is the order of critical points (n = 2 for  $u(y) = \sin y$ ).
- Circular flows: similar rates as shear flows (Crippa, Lucà, Schulze '17, Coti Zelati, Delgadino, Elgindi '18).

- smooth shear flows (Bedrossian, Coti Zelati '15):  $t^{-1/n}$ , where *n* is the order of critical points (n = 2 for  $u(y) = \sin y$ ).
- Circular flows: similar rates as shear flows (Crippa, Lucà, Schulze '17, Coti Zelati, Delgadino, Elgindi '18).
- C<sup>α</sup> shear flows: generically t<sup>-1/α</sup> (Galeati, Gubinelli '21), but the only example known has rate t<sup>-1</sup> (Colombo, Coti Zelati, Widmayer '20).

- smooth shear flows (Bedrossian, Coti Zelati '15):  $t^{-1/n}$ , where *n* is the order of critical points (n = 2 for  $u(y) = \sin y$ ).
- Circular flows: similar rates as shear flows (Crippa, Lucà, Schulze '17, Coti Zelati, Delgadino, Elgindi '18).
- C<sup>α</sup> shear flows: generically t<sup>-1/α</sup> (Galeati, Gubinelli '21), but the only example known has rate t<sup>-1</sup> (Colombo, Coti Zelati, Widmayer '20).
- Regular autonomous velocities in 2D cannot mix faster than t<sup>-1</sup> (Bonicatto, Marconi '19).

- smooth shear flows (Bedrossian, Coti Zelati '15):  $t^{-1/n}$ , where *n* is the order of critical points (n = 2 for  $u(y) = \sin y$ ).
- Circular flows: similar rates as shear flows (Crippa, Lucà, Schulze '17, Coti Zelati, Delgadino, Elgindi '18).
- C<sup>α</sup> shear flows: generically t<sup>-1/α</sup> (Galeati, Gubinelli '21), but the only example known has rate t<sup>-1</sup> (Colombo, Coti Zelati, Widmayer '20).
- Regular autonomous velocities in 2D cannot mix faster than t<sup>-1</sup> (Bonicatto, Marconi '19).
- More complicated flows can be exponential mixers: (Alberti, Crippa, Mazzucato '14, Yao, Zlatos '14), also universal (Elgindi, Zlatos '18), but for  $u \in L_t^{\infty} W_x^{s,p}$ ,  $s < \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ ,  $p \in [1, \frac{2}{2s+1-\sqrt{5}})$ .

- smooth shear flows (Bedrossian, Coti Zelati '15):  $t^{-1/n}$ , where *n* is the order of critical points (n = 2 for  $u(y) = \sin y$ ).
- Circular flows: similar rates as shear flows (Crippa, Lucà, Schulze '17, Coti Zelati, Delgadino, Elgindi '18).
- C<sup>α</sup> shear flows: generically t<sup>-1/α</sup> (Galeati, Gubinelli '21), but the only example known has rate t<sup>-1</sup> (Colombo, Coti Zelati, Widmayer '20).
- Regular autonomous velocities in 2D cannot mix faster than t<sup>-1</sup> (Bonicatto, Marconi '19).
- More complicated flows can be exponential mixers: (Alberti, Crippa, Mazzucato '14, Yao, Zlatos '14), also universal (Elgindi, Zlatos '18), but for  $u \in L_t^{\infty} W_x^{s,p}$ ,  $s < \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ ,  $p \in [1, \frac{2}{2s+1-\sqrt{5}})$ .
- Exponential is the best possible rate: (Crippa, De Lellis. '08, Seis '13, Iyer, Kiselev, Xu '14).

• if u is the solution of the stochastic NSE:  $e^{-\lambda t}$  almost surely (Bedrossian, Blumenthal, Punshon-Smith '19)

- if u is the solution of the stochastic NSE:  $e^{-\lambda t}$  almost surely (Bedrossian, Blumenthal, Punshon-Smith '19)
- if *u* is Gaussian, decorrelated in time and specific scaling properties in space built in (Kraichnan model): e<sup>-λt</sup> almost surely (Flandoli, Galeati, Luo '21, Gess, Yaroslavtsev '21).

- if u is the solution of the stochastic NSE:  $e^{-\lambda t}$  almost surely (Bedrossian, Blumenthal, Punshon-Smith '19)
- if *u* is Gaussian, decorrelated in time and specific scaling properties in space built in (Kraichnan model): e<sup>-λt</sup> almost surely (Flandoli, Galeati, Luo '21, Gess, Yaroslavtsev '21).

Open question: does there exists a smooth universal exponential mixers on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ ?  $(L_t^{\infty} \cap C_t^{\infty})C_x^{\infty}$ 

#### The Pierrehumbert model

In '94, R. Pierrehumbert looked at a velocity field u that alternates, after every time interval of size  $\tau > 0$ , between two transversal shears with a randomly and independently chosen phase shift.

Random phases: {ω<sub>j</sub> = (ω<sub>j</sub><sup>1</sup>, ω<sub>j</sub><sup>2</sup>)}<sub>j∈ℕ</sub> ⊂ [0, 2π)<sup>2</sup> be a sequence of i.i.d random variables uniformly distributed in [0, 2π)<sup>2</sup>.

#### The Pierrehumbert model

In '94, R. Pierrehumbert looked at a velocity field u that alternates, after every time interval of size  $\tau > 0$ , between two transversal shears with a randomly and independently chosen phase shift.

- Random phases: {ω<sub>j</sub> = (ω<sub>j</sub><sup>1</sup>, ω<sub>j</sub><sup>2</sup>)}<sub>j∈ℕ</sub> ⊂ [0, 2π)<sup>2</sup> be a sequence of i.i.d random variables uniformly distributed in [0, 2π)<sup>2</sup>.
- Horizontal shearing:

$$u(t, x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(x_2 - \omega_n^1) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $t \in [(2n-2) au, (2n-1) au)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

#### The Pierrehumbert model

In '94, R. Pierrehumbert looked at a velocity field u that alternates, after every time interval of size  $\tau > 0$ , between two transversal shears with a randomly and independently chosen phase shift.

- Random phases: {ω<sub>j</sub> = (ω<sub>j</sub><sup>1</sup>, ω<sub>j</sub><sup>2</sup>)}<sub>j∈ℕ</sub> ⊂ [0, 2π)<sup>2</sup> be a sequence of i.i.d random variables uniformly distributed in [0, 2π)<sup>2</sup>.
- Horizontal shearing:

$$u(t, x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(x_2 - \omega_n^1) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $t \in [(2n-2)\tau, (2n-1)\tau)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

• Vertical shearing:

$$u(t, x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \sin(x_1 - \omega_n^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $t \in [(2n-1)\tau, 2n\tau)$ .



#### The Pierrehumbert model as an RDS

Define

$$f_{\beta}^{H}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + \tau \sin(x_2 - \beta) \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f_{\beta}^{V}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 + \tau \sin(x_1 - \beta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, the position  $X(2\tau, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2$  of the particle at time  $t = 2\tau$  is given by  $X(2\tau, x) = f_{\omega_1}(x)$ , where

$$f_{\omega_1}(x)=f_{\omega_1^2}^V\circ f_{\omega_1^1}^H(x)\,.$$

- any sequence of possible random phase shifts is written as  $\underline{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) \in \Omega := ([0, 2\pi)^2)^{\mathbb{N}}.$
- $X(2\tau n, x) = f_{\underline{\omega}}^n(x)$

$$f_{\underline{\omega}}^n(x) := f_{\omega_n} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\omega_1}(x).$$

• By Yao, Zlatos '14, enough to look at the discrete dynamics.

# Random dynamical systems

Let  $(\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P}_0)$  be a fixed probability space, X a complete metric space.

• 
$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega_0, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P}_0)^{\mathbb{N}}$$
, and

$$f_{\underline{\omega}}^n = f_{\omega_n} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\omega_1}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

• The transition kernels are

$$P(x,A) = \mathbb{P}_0(f_\omega(x) \in A), \qquad P^{n+1}(x,A) = \int P^n(y,A)P(x,\mathrm{d}y).$$

Here:

- X is a finite-dimensional compact manifold  $(X = \mathbb{T}^2)$ .
- $\mathbb{P}_0 \ll \text{Leb}.$
- $f_{\omega}$  is measure-preserving.

The RDS should satisfy certain non-degeneracy conditions

- Irreducibility: For every  $x \in X$  and open set  $U \subset X$ , there exists  $N = N(x, U) \ge 0$  such that  $P^N(x, U) > 0$ ;
- Aperiodicity: no cyclic behavior allowed;
- Minorization property: there exist a set A and a positive measure ν<sub>n</sub> on X such that, for all x ∈ A, we have that

 $P^n(x,B) \ge \nu_n(B)$  for all Borel  $B \subset X$ .

• Drift condition: There exists  $V : X \to [1, \infty)$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , b > 0 and a compact set  $C \subset X$  such that

$$PV \leq \alpha V + b\chi_C.$$

#### **Geometric ergodicity**

#### Theorem (Abstract Harris Theorem)

Let P be a Feller transition kernel and assume the following:

- 1. Minorization property
- 2. Topological irreducibility
- 3. Strong aperiodicity
- 4. Drift condition

Then, P is V-uniformly geometrically ergodic, i.e., P admits a unique stationary measure  $\pi$ , and has the property that there exists D > 0 and  $\gamma \in (0,1)$  such that for all  $x \in X$  and  $\varphi \in L_V^{\infty}(X)$ , we have

$$\left|P^{n}\varphi(x)-\int \varphi\,\mathrm{d}\pi\right|\leq DV(x)\|\varphi\|_{V}\gamma^{n}.$$

True for the one point process with  $V \equiv 1$ .

#### Lyapunov exponents

• By ergodic theory: the asymptotic exponential growth rate

$$\lambda_1 := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |D_x f_{\underline{\omega}}^n|$$

exists and is constant over  $\mathbb{P} \times \text{Leb-a.e.} (\underline{\omega}, x)$ .

• (A version of) Furstenberg criterion: Denote  $\Phi_x : \Omega_0^n \to X$  defined for  $\underline{\omega}^n = (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n)$  by

$$\Phi_x(\underline{\omega}^n) = f_{\omega_n} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\omega_1}(x).$$

If there exist  $n \ge 1$  and  $(\underline{\omega}_{\star}^n, x_{\star})$  s.t.

- 1.  $D_{\underline{\omega}_{\star}^{n}} \Phi_{x_{\star}}$  is surjective.
- The restriction of D<sub>ωn</sub><sup>\*</sup> D<sub>x⋆</sub> f<sup>n</sup><sub>ω⋆</sub> to ker D<sub>ω<sup>n</sup><sub>⋆</sub></sub> Φ<sub>x⋆</sub> is surjective as a linear operator onto T<sub>Φ<sub>x⋆</sub>(ω<sup>\*</sup><sub>⋆</sub>)</sub> SL<sub>d</sub>(ℝ).

Then  $\lambda_1 > 0$ .

In the case of Pierrehumbert:  $\underline{\omega}^n \in (\mathbb{T}^2)^n$  (resp.  $\underline{\omega}^n \in (\mathbb{T}^\ell)^n$ ).

- $D_{\underline{\omega}_{\star}^{n}} \Phi_{x_{\star}}$  is a 2 × 2*n* matrix (resp.  $d \times \ell n$ ).
- ker  $D_{\underline{\omega}^n_+} \Phi_{x_*}$  is 2n-2 dimensional by condition 1 (resp.  $\ell n d$ ).
- $T_{\widehat{\Phi}_{x_*}(\underline{\omega}_*^n)}SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is 3 dimensional (resp.  $d^2-1$ ).

Necessary condition:  $2n - 2 \ge 3$  hence  $n \ge 5/2$ (resp.  $\ell n - d \ge d^2 - 1$  hence  $n \ge (d^2 + d - 1)/\ell$ ).

- Condition 2 can be checked by a computer (but also by hand!).
- Problem becomes costly with dimension and with less noise.

#### Theorem (Blumenthal, CZ, Gvalani '22)

The Pierrehumbert model  $f_{\omega_1}(x) = f_{\omega_1^2}^V \circ f_{\omega_1^1}^H(x)$  has a positive Lyapunov exponent.

- True also without changing phases every "half" time:  $f_{\omega_1}(x) = f_{\omega_1}^V \circ f_{\omega_1}^H(x)$
- True also with fixed phases and random switching times:  $f_{\tau_1}(x) = f_{\tau_1^2}^V \circ f_{\tau_1^1}^H(x)$  or  $f_{\tau_1}(x) = f_{\tau_1}^V \circ f_{\tau_1}^H(x)$
- no need of sin
- Completely open: true also without any randomness?

# **Exponential mixing**

The Pierrehumbert model  $f_{\omega_1}(x) = f_{\omega_1^2}^V \circ f_{\omega_1^1}^H(x)$  is mixing.

#### Theorem (Blumenthal, CZ, Gvalani '22)

Let q, s > 0. There exists a function  $D : \Omega \to [1, \infty)$  and a constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that for all mean-free functions  $\varphi, \psi \in H^{s}(\mathbb{T}^{2})$ , we have the almost sure estimate

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}\varphi(x)\psi\circ f^n_{\underline{\omega}}(x)\mathrm{d}x\right|\leq D(\underline{\omega})\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha n}\|\varphi\|_{H^s}\|\psi\|_{H^s}\,,$$

while the function D satisfies the moment bound  $\mathbb{E}|D|^q < \infty$ .

#### More Markov chains

Setting

$$\operatorname{Cor}_{n}(\varphi,\psi) = \left| \int \varphi(x)\psi \circ f_{\underline{\omega}}^{n}(x) \mathrm{d}\pi(x) \right|,$$

we know that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\operatorname{Cor}_{n}(\varphi,\psi) > \varepsilon\right\} \leq \varepsilon^{-2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left|\int \varphi \psi \circ f_{\underline{\omega}}^{n} \mathrm{d}\pi\right|^{2}.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left|\int \varphi\psi\circ f_{\underline{\omega}}^{n}\mathrm{d}\pi\right|^{2}=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\int \varphi(x)\varphi(y)\psi\circ f_{\underline{\omega}}^{n}(x)\psi\circ f_{\underline{\omega}}^{n}(y)\mathrm{d}\pi(x)\mathrm{d}\pi(y)\,,$$

we can re-write this expression using the two-point process  $(x_n, y_n) = (f_{\underline{\omega}}^n(x_0), f_{\underline{\omega}}^n(y_0))$  on  $X \times X$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left|\int\varphi\psi\circ f_{\underline{\omega}}^{n}\mathrm{d}\pi\right|^{2}=\int\psi^{(2)}P^{(2)}\varphi^{(2)}\mathrm{d}\pi^{(2)}$$

Here  $\varphi^{(2)}(x, y) := \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ ,  $d\pi^{(2)}(x, y) = d\pi(x)d\pi(y)$  and  $P^{(2)}$  is the Markov semigroup for  $(x_n, y_n)$ .

The two-point process

$$\mathcal{P}^{(2)}\left((x,y),K\right) = \mathbb{P}_0\left(\left(f_{\omega}(x),f_{\omega}(y)\right) \in K\right),$$

is defined on  $X \times X \setminus \Delta$ , with  $\Delta = \{(x, x), x \in X\}$ .

• The kernel  $P^{(2)}$  is *V*-uniformly geometrically ergodic, where  $V: X \times X \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of the form

$$V(x,y) = d(x,y)^{-p}\psi(x,y).$$

if d(x, y) ≪ 1, V depends most on its values near the diagonal Δ.
 We can approximate two point motion by its linearization:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_0} \varphi(f_\omega(x), D_x f_\omega v), \qquad x \in X, \ |v| = 1.$$

• this motivates the study of the projective dynamics

$$\widehat{f}_{\omega}(x,v) := \left(f_{\omega}(x), \frac{D_x f_{\omega} v}{|D_x f_{\omega} v|}\right).$$

Mixing for this kind of RDS can be proven if (besides continuity and regularity conditions):

- The one-point motion is uniformly geometrically ergodic with a unique invariant measure.
- The top Lyapunov exponent is strictly positive.
- The projective motion is uniformly geometrically ergodic with a unique invariant measure.
- The two-point motion is V-uniformly geometrically ergodic with a unique invariant measure.

### **Topological irreducibility**

Irreducibility can be obtained by combining regularity of the chain and a form of approximate controllability:



#### Topological irreducibility



## Topological irreducibility



- Construct smooth mixers in any dimension.
- Reduce to the minimum the degrees of freedom (less randomness. . . ).
- Enhanced dissipation, Batchelor spectrum, intermittency ....
- Construct time-periodic mixers in any dimension.
- Quantify the mixing rate and the Lyapunov exponent (in terms of switching time?).

# THANK YOU