Behavioral Epidemiology Models

Alberto Bisin

New York University, Dept. of Economics

NYU: Edgenomics Series - June, 2020

Epidemics - by Country

Italy and Spain's daily death tolls are plateauing, but in the UK and US every day brings more new deaths than the last

Daily coronavirus deaths (7-day rolling avg.), by number of days since 3 daily deaths first recorded



FT graphic: John Burn-Murdoch / @jburnmurdoch

Source: FT analysis of European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; Worldometers; FT research. Data updated April 04, 19:00 GMT © FT

How do we interpret these figures, ... data?

Which shape of the curves in the figure do we expect?

How do we interpret these figures, ... data?

- Which shape of the curves in the figure do we expect?
 - ▷ Now in fact cases in U.S. look like this ...

How do we interpret these figures, ... data?

- Which shape of the curves in the figure do we expect?
 - ▷ Now in fact cases in U.S. look like this ...



▷ But this is a different issue.

- How can we compare different countries? Indeed, several possible determinants of the dynamics vary:
 - ▷ geographic characteristics, e.g., population size, density, inflows of infected agents, ...
 - ▷ demographic characteristics, e.g., age structure, health conditions ...
 - ▷ socio-economic characteristics, e.g., income, public health conditions, dominant living arrangements, social contacts, ...
 - \triangleright climate.
- What are the effects of different policies, e.g., strength and time of Non-Pharmaceutical Intervention (lockdowns)?

- How can we compare different countries? Indeed, several possible determinants of the dynamics vary:
 - ▷ geographic characteristics, e.g., population size, density, inflows of infected agents, ...
 - ▷ demographic characteristics, e.g., age structure, health conditions ...
 - ▷ socio-economic characteristics, e.g., income, public health conditions, dominant living arrangements, social contacts, ...
 - \triangleright climate.
- What are the effects of different policies, e.g., strength and time of Non-Pharmaceutical Intervention (lockdowns)?
- Models ... and statistical /econometrics methods.

- How can we compare different countries? Indeed, several possible determinants of the dynamics vary:
 - ▷ geographic characteristics, e.g., population size, density, inflows of infected agents, ...
 - ▷ demographic characteristics, e.g., age structure, health conditions ...
 - ▷ socio-economic characteristics, e.g., income, public health conditions, dominant living arrangements, social contacts, ...
 - ⊳ climate.
- What are the effects of different policies, e.g., strength and time of Non-Pharmaceutical Intervention (lockdowns)?
- Models ... and statistical /econometrics methods.
 - ▷ We concentrate on models in this lecture.

Nothing is less real than realism. Details are confusing. It is only by selection, by elimination, by emphasis that we get to the real meaning of things (Georgia O'Keeffe).

- Models are theoretical exercises of abstraction: ignoring many details in order to focus on the most important elements of the problem.
- There is no such thing as the right degree of abstraction for all analytic purposes. The proper degree of abstraction depends on the objective of the analysis.
 - A model that is a gross oversimplification for one purpose may be needlessly complicated for another.
 - A map might be an appropriate metaphor for a model: we rarely need 1:1 maps; and sometimes we need a map of the whole American continent, sometimes one of the upper east side of NYC.

Models are not necessarily mathematical models. The following example (taken from Krugman, *Development, Geography, and Economic Theory*, 1995, MIT Press; Ch. 3) illustrates this point:

Dave Fultz at the University of Chicago in the late 40's showed that a dishpan filled with water, on a slowly rotating turntable, with an electric heating device bent around the outside of the pan provides a good representation of the basic pattern of weather. The dishpan was build to model the temperature differential between the poles and the equator and the force generated by the earth's spin (abstracting from most of the the intricacies and complexities of the earth geography) and was successfully shown to exhibit phenomena which could be interpreted as tropical trade winds, cyclonic storms of the temperate regions, and the jet stream.

But often models are in fact mathematical models: the most sophisticated weather forecasts nowadays require the estimation of the parameters of a large number (very large, hundreds) of equations. Models in both in Epidemiology and Economics are mathematical models.

But

Models in in Epidemiology are more like those employed in sophisticated weather forecasts: lots of equations, very detailed data:

built for prediction accuracy.

Models in Economics are more like Fulz model, abstract, stylized, representation of the interaction of a priori important factors,

built for identification clarity.

Models in both in Epidemiology and Economics are mathematical models.

But

Models in in Epidemiology are more like those employed in sophisticated weather forecasts: lots of equations, very detailed data:

built for prediction accuracy.

Models in Economics are more like Fulz model, abstract, stylized, representation of the interaction of a priori important factors,

built for identification clarity.

Last, but not least,

Models in both in Epidemiology and Economics are mathematical models.

But

Models in in Epidemiology are more like those employed in sophisticated weather forecasts: lots of equations, very detailed data:

built for prediction accuracy.

Models in Economics are more like Fulz model, abstract, stylized, representation of the interaction of a priori important factors,

built for identification clarity.

- Last, but not least,
 - Models in Economics include (explicitly, formally) behavioral factors (rational) choice of agents.

- We shall introduce the (stylized, abstract) core component of epidemiological models
 the SIR model
- We shall introduce a spatial extension of SIR for concreteness
- ► We shall introduce rational choice/behavior into these models i.e., some economics
- All the way simulating the dynamics of an epidemic to illustrate the models and their different implications

- We shall introduce the (stylized, abstract) core component of epidemiological models
 the SIR model
- We shall introduce a spatial extension of SIR for concreteness
- ► We shall introduce rational choice/behavior into these models i.e., some economics
- All the way simulating the dynamics of an epidemic to illustrate the models and their different implications
 - ▷ But notice: we will not try predictions we are just after identifying factors mainly rational choice/behavior in fact

- We shall introduce the (stylized, abstract) core component of epidemiological models
 the SIR model
- We shall introduce a spatial extension of SIR for concreteness
- ► We shall introduce rational choice/behavior into these models i.e., some economics
- All the way simulating the dynamics of an epidemic to illustrate the models and their different implications
 - But notice: we will not try predictions we are just after identifying factors mainly rational choice/behavior in fact
- The main take-away will be that

- We shall introduce the (stylized, abstract) core component of epidemiological models

 the SIR model
- We shall introduce a spatial extension of SIR for concreteness
- ► We shall introduce rational choice/behavior into these models i.e., some economics
- All the way simulating the dynamics of an epidemic to illustrate the models and their different implications
 - But notice: we will not try predictions we are just after identifying factors mainly rational choice/behavior in fact
- The main take-away will be that
 - Rational choice/behavior matters greatly.

- We shall introduce the (stylized, abstract) core component of epidemiological models

 the SIR model
- We shall introduce a spatial extension of SIR for concreteness
- ► We shall introduce rational choice/behavior into these models i.e., some economics
- All the way simulating the dynamics of an epidemic to illustrate the models and their different implications
 - But notice: we will not try predictions we are just after identifying factors mainly rational choice/behavior in fact
- The main take-away will be that
 - Rational choice/behavior matters greatly.
 - ▷ I am an economist after all :)

 Stylized model (Kermack and McKendrick 1927) - without bells and whistles for prediction accuracy:



 $\mathcal{R}_0 = \beta / \gamma$: number of agents a single infected agent infects, on average, at $I_0 = R_0 = 0$.

 $\beta = \pi c$: infection rate per-contact times random matching contacts per unit of time.



Epidemiological models: Spatial-SIR

N people located randomly in a 1-sized square

5 states:

- **S**: ^(C) Susceptibles (can become infected)
- A: CAR Asymptomatics (infected, but unaware)
- Y: 🙂 sYmptomatics (infected, aware)
- D: 😇 Dead
- R: CRecovered
- Transitions between states
- Contagion occurs if: within contagion circle + random draw



Epidemiological models: Spatial-SIR

N people located randomly in a 1-sized square

5 states:

- **S**: ^(C) Susceptibles (can become infected)
- A: Symptomatics (infected, but unaware)
- Y: ③ sYmptomatics (infected, aware)
- D: 😇 Dead
- R: CRecovered
- Transitions between states
- Contagion occurs if: within contagion circle + random draw





How the infection evolves in space

People move in random direction at given speed



Parameter	Value		Match	
N	26,600	- }	13.5 contacts/day	Growth rates calibration
Contagion radius	0.0125			
				 Infections growth, Baseline Fatalities growth, Lombardy
Contagion prob	0.014	}	First 30 days of fatalities growth in Lombardy	0.4 -
π				
Speed of	0.025			with rate
movement μ				§ 0.2
*Alvarez et Al (2020), Ferguson (2020)				
				0.1

Days

SIR and Spatial-SIR: Simulations of the calibrated model



Take the calibrated Spatial-SIR, and change one factor at a time

number of clusters, *l*₀, and their spatial distribution
 size, *N* density, area/N
 speed of movement, μ

- Dynamics is invariant to the initial condition:
 - Scaling in size obtains if we scale initial conditions,

Two cities - same density



- Dynamics is invariant to the initial condition:
 - Scaling in size obtains if we scale initial conditions,

Two cities - same density



- Dynamics is invariant to the initial condition:
 - Scaling in size obtains if we scale initial conditions,
 - but only if the initial conditions are appropriately homogeneously spaced.



- City density has distinct role from the inverse of the probability of infection:
 - Lower density flattens the curve of infected

Figure: The effect of varying city density with constant population



- The peak of Infected ¹/_N is very sensitive to density:
 - halving density flattens dramatically the peak (more than a half, after more than twice as many days).

Figure: The effect of varying city density with constant population



Behavioral Spatial-SIR

- Growth rate of cases is $\beta = \pi c$: infection rate times contacts. Rational agents
 - ▷ will choose to limit their social interactions, their contacts *c* the more so, the higher the risk of being infected:

$$c = \alpha(\frac{I_t}{N})c_0$$
, where c_0 is pre-epidemic number of contacts per unit of time.

Behavioral Spatial-SIR

- Growth rate of cases is $\beta = \pi c$: infection rate times contacts. Rational agents
 - ▷ will choose to limit their social interactions, their contacts *c* the more so, the higher the risk of being infected:

 $c = \alpha(\frac{I_t}{N})c_0$, where c_0 is pre-epidemic number of contacts per unit of time.

⊳ E.g.,

$$\alpha(\frac{l_t}{N}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{l_t}{N} \leq \underline{l} \\ \left(\frac{\underline{l}}{\underline{l}_t}\right)^{1-\phi} & \text{if } \frac{l_t}{N} > \underline{l} \end{cases}.$$

Figure: Reduction in contacts according to a very cautious behavioral response



- Behavioral response in Spatial-SIR
 - Peak of active cases is halved,
 - \triangleright Total cases reduced by 10%.



 Rational choice/behavior has significant effects even though very cautiously calibrated with no attempt at accurate predictions; instead

- Rational choice/behavior has significant effects even though very cautiously calibrated with no attempt at accurate predictions; instead
- Epidemiological model built for prediction but without rational choice/behavior delivered hardly meaningful worst-case scenarios

16 March 2020

Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team

Report 9: Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID-19 mortality and healthcare demand

Nell M Ferguson, Daniel Laydon, Gernma Nedjati-Gilani, Natsudo Imai, Kylie Ansile, Marc Baguelin, Samgeta Bahta, Abriharha Boonyasir, Juana Cuscnuchó, Gina Cuono-Danneehua, Amy Dighe, Jiana Dorigatti, Han Fu, Katy Gaythorpe, Will Green, Arran Hamlet, Wes Hinsley, Lucy C Okell, Sabine van Elsland, Hayley Thompson, Robert Verthy, Erik Volz, Haowes Wang, Yuannong Wang, Patrick GT Walker, Caroline Walters, Peter Winsill, Charles Withtaker, Christ J Anomely, Steven Biler, Arra C Ghani.

On behalf of the Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team

WHO Collaborating Centre for Infectious Disease Modelling MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis Abdul Latif Jameel Institute for Disease and Emergency Analytics Imperial College London

Correspondence: neil.ferguson@imperial.ac.uk

In the (unlikely) absence of any control measures or spontaneous changes in individual behavior, we would expect a peak in mortality (daily deaths) to occur after approximately 3 months. In such scenarios, given an estimated R0 of 2.4, we predict 81% of the G.B. and U.S. populations would be infected over the course of the epidemic... In total, in an unmitigated epidemic, we would predict approximately **510.000** deaths in G.B. and **2.2** million in the U.S., pot-accounting for the potential negative effects of health systems being overwhelmed on mortality.

- Rational choice/behavior has significant effects even though very cautiously calibrated with no attempt at accurate predictions; instead
- Epidemiological model built for prediction but without rational choice/behavior delivered hardly meaningful worst-case scenarios

16 March 2020

Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team

Report 9: Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID-19 mortality and healthcare demand

Nell M Ferguson, Daniel Laydon, Gernma Nedjati-Gilani, Natsudo Imai, Kylie Ansile, Marc Baguelin, Samgeta Bahta, Abriharha Boonyasir, Juana Cuscnuchó, Gina Cuono-Danneehua, Amy Dighe, Jiana Dorigatti, Han Fu, Katy Gaythorpe, Will Green, Arran Hamlet, Wes Hinsley, Lucy C Okell, Sabine van Elsland, Hayley Thompson, Robert Verthy, Erik Volz, Haowes Wang, Yuannong Wang, Patrick GT Walker, Caroline Walters, Peter Winsill, Charles Withtaker, Christ J Anomely, Steven Biler, Arra C Ghani.

On behalf of the Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team

WHO Collaborating Centre for Infectious Disease Modelling MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis Abdul Latif Jameel Institute for Disease and Emergency Analytics Imperial College London

Correspondence: neil.ferguson@imperial.ac.uk

In the (unlikely) absence of any control measures or spontaneous changes in individual behavior, we would expect a peak in mortality (daily deaths) to occur after approximately 3 months. In such scenarios, given an estimated R0 of 2.4, we predict 81% of the G.B. and U.S. populations would be infected over the course of the epidemic... In total, in an unmitigated epidemic, we would predict approximately **510.000** deaths **In G.B. and 2.2** million in the U.S. **p**otaccounting for the potential negative effects of health systems being overwhelmed on mortality.

- "the (unlikely) absence of any control measures or spontaneous changes in individual behavior"
- is a zero-probability scenario for economists whose core job modeling "spontaneous changes in individual behavior."

Indeed current predictions (from various epidemiological models - reported by the CDC - which include behavioral effects - but just ex-post):



Indeed current predictions (from various epidemiological models - reported by the CDC - which include behavioral effects - but just ex-post):



 imply that accounting for behavior and Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions (lockdown policies) reduced deaths to less than one tenth of the worst case scenario.

Indeed current predictions (from various epidemiological models - reported by the CDC - which include behavioral effects - but just ex-post):



- imply that accounting for behavior and Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions (lockdown policies) reduced deaths to less than one tenth of the worst case scenario.
 - Distinguishing the effect of behavior from the effect of the lockdown is for statistical/econometric methods.

Indeed current predictions (from various epidemiological models - reported by the CDC - which include behavioral effects - but just ex-post):



- imply that accounting for behavior and Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions (lockdown policies) reduced deaths to less than one tenth of the worst case scenario.
 - Distinguishing the effect of behavior from the effect of the lockdown is for statistical/econometric methods.
 - ▷ Another lecture :)

Peak into simulating a lockdown



Figure 8: Counterfactual: Reopen when stably declining

Economists playing Epidemiologists

Conclusions

Economists playing Epidemiologists

