

Case Study Report: 1984 Bhopal Disaster

Introduction

The Bhopal gas leak tragedy of 1984 resulted in the immediate death of 3,800 individuals and subsequently caused the untimely demise of thousands more. Toxic gas exposure also resulted in 554,895 injuries, out of which 102,000 individuals had been permanently disabled. The incident is regarded as the worst industrial disaster in history, and highlighted the importance of creating policies that implement environmental safety standards.¹

Case Facts

The tragedy took place on December 3, 1984, in Bhopal, India. The Union Carbide Corporation (UCC) operated a pesticide production plant in Bhopal. A leak in the plant that occurred at approximately 1 a.m. resulted in the release of more than 40 tons of poisonous methyl isocyanate gas. As Bhopal's residents peacefully slept, the toxic gas rapidly spread throughout the town. Streets were filled with the dead bodies of town residents and animals. Hospitals were overburdened as thousands of patients were admitted with symptoms of gas poisoning. The Indian Supreme Court ordered UCC to pay \$470 million in settlements. The families of deceased victims received an average payment of \$2,200, and the remainder of the settlement amount was distributed among the injured.¹ The Indian Government spent \$41 million on disaster response efforts that included rehabilitation and administrative expenses.²

Epidemiological Aspects

An epidemiological study was conducted by Banerjee et al. to determine the incidence of morbidities following the gas leak and subsequent water contamination using a cohort of 10,827 individuals that resided within one kilometer distance from the plant. Multiple Logistic Regression (MLR) models were created to determine the risk factors that resulted in morbidities. Risk factors that were identified include drinking water that was either biologically or chemically contaminated.

The observational study used major measures of association including Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) to determine the prevalence of morbidities from drinking contaminated water. A significant AOR value indicated significant association between consuming contaminated water and gastrointestinal infections among female participants. Factors that were significantly associated with increased morbidities include old age, being female, working in service occupations, and gas exposure. Although this study provides valuable insights about the health impact of the Bhopal gas leak incident, there are certain limitations. One limitation is the recall bias of study participants. Another limitation includes varying water sources that were used by participants that could have impacted study results.³

Management of the Event

The Indian Government passed the Bhopal Gas Leak Disaster Act in March 1985 to efficiently process claims. This act appointed the government as the sole representative of all victims for

cases within India and abroad. The government allotted \$86.7 million to provide free medical care for victims. However, the situation remained dire, as hospitals struggled with a dearth in medical staff and inadequate equipment.² According to the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), methyl isocyanate was not the only gas that was released. Their findings concluded that a mixture of numerous toxic gases poisoned the people of Bhopal. Victims continue to struggle with chronic respiratory, reproductive, endocrine, gastrointestinal, and neurological illnesses, while doctors lack sufficient understanding of the event's long-term impacts and appropriate tools for treatment.⁴

The Bhopal incident has taught the international community numerous important lessons. Rapid industrialization in developing countries must be accompanied by strict regulations that are enforced for both foreign and local organizations. This is particularly necessary to prevent foreign companies from exploiting the resources available in developing countries.¹ Furthermore, national government agencies must prioritize disaster preparedness by creating emergency plans and efficient monitoring systems.² Another important lesson is the urgent need to strengthen Bhopal's public health infrastructure. The city struggles with access to clean water, shortages in trained medical staff, and the absence of an emergency response system. Although the Indian Government passed the Environmental Protection Act in 1986, there is still considerable work that remains to be done.¹

Communications

In the aftermath of the disaster, UCC attempted to deflect responsibility and alter data. The company refused to accept that the lack of safety standards at the plant were a major cause of the disaster.¹ Western media reiterated UCC claims of upholding safety regulations and underreported casualties. On the other hand, local Indian media critiqued UCC and the Indian government for their failure to provide sufficient relief following the tragedy.⁵ Even today, the media continues to highlight the plight of Bhopal residents. Samples of Bhopal's groundwater reveal that it is highly contaminated with chemicals. Despite UCC abandoning the pesticide plant, it remains full of toxic waste.⁶ Overall, the Bhopal incident was poorly managed, and thousands of deaths could have been averted with better supervision.

Summary

The Bhopal gas leak is a stark example of the devastating consequences that an industrial disaster can inflict on human lives and the environment. Insufficient safety measures and regulatory oversight by UCC and the Indian government resulted in the loss of thousands of precious lives. Governments around the world can draw vital lessons from the Bhopal disaster, emphasizing the need for comprehensive emergency preparedness plans and accountability measures that safeguard public health.

References

1. Broughton E. The Bhopal disaster and its aftermath: a review. *Environ Health*. 2005;4(1):6. Published 2005 May 10. doi:10.1186/1476-069X-4-6.
2. Hanna B. Bhopal: Unending Disaster, Enduring Resistance. *Harvard Scholar*. April 2007. Accessed February 5, 2024. https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/bridgethanna/files/Unending_Disaster.pdf.
3. Banerjee N, Banerjee A, Sabde Y, Tiwari RR, Prakash A. Morbidity profile of communities in Bhopal city (India) vis-à-vis distance of residence from Union Carbide India Limited plant and drinking water usage pattern. *J Postgrad Med*. 2020;66(2):73-80. doi:10.4103/jpgm.JPGM_391_19.
4. Sharma DC. Bhopal: 20 years on. *Lancet*. 2005;365(9454):111-112. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)17722-8.
5. Krishnan V. Bhopal Gas Tragedy: The media's role in the aftermath. *Mint*. December 3, 2014. Accessed February 5, 2024. <https://www.livemint.com/Politics/V5IUzapnU5nGE37EMeMCeJ/Bhopal-Gas-Tragedy--The-medias-role-in-the-aftermath.html>.
6. Goodman S. Poisoned Water Haunts Bhopal 25 Years after Chemical Accident. *Scientific American*. December 1, 2009. Accessed February 5, 2024. <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/groundwater-contamination-india-pesticide-factory/#:~:text=Twenty%2Dfive%20years%20after%20a,group%20and%20a%20medic al%20clinic>.