

Ethical Considerations in Artificial Intelligence: Workforce Displacement and the Societal Consequences of Automation-Induced Employment Shifts

Audrey Huang^{1*}, Marissa Huang^{1*}

¹Woodbridge High School, 2 Meadowbrook, Irvine, CA 92604

**Both authors contributed equally to this work*

Abstract

Since its inception, artificial intelligence (AI) has significantly transformed various industries by automating tasks that were previously performed by humans. Over recent years, AI has expanded its reach into numerous sectors, from healthcare and transportation to education, fundamentally altering the workforce landscape. The adoption of AI-driven automation has led to increased efficiencies and economic opportunities. However, it also poses significant challenges to job security, exacerbating economic inequality by disproportionately affecting low-skilled workers. For example, AI technologies are now capable of performing tasks such as data entry, routine customer service, and even some complex problem-solving, which previously required human intervention. This has led to increased demand for highly-skilled workers who can develop and manage these AI systems, in contrast to declining demand for lower-skilled workers. This paper examines the ethical implications of AI-induced workforce displacement, focusing on the responsibilities of governments, companies, and workers in addressing these challenges. Traditional approaches, such as job training programs and unemployment benefits, are analyzed alongside innovative approaches, including universal basic income (UBI) and corporate social responsibility (CSR). A collaborative effort across all stakeholders will ensure that the benefits of AI are shared broadly, rather than concentrated among a few, helping to foster a future where technological progress supports human well-being. As AI continues to evolve, it raises critical questions about the role of human labor in the future economy, the ethical considerations of workforce displacement, and the societal obligations to support displaced workers.

Keywords: *artificial intelligence, workforce displacement, economic inequality, ethical AI*

1. Introduction

Advancements in technology have historically driven economic growth and development in society. However, in doing so, they disrupt existing industries and labor markets¹. The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) and automation in tasks ranging

anywhere from healthcare to transportation to education presents a modern-day example. While AI can offer new efficiencies and economic opportunities, it threatens to displace large parts of the workforce, particularly those in jobs requiring less skill and education, as shown

in Figure 1². This inequity raises significant ethical concerns, particularly about the fairness and impact of AI's use in society³. This paper explores these ethical implications, examining AI's effect on workforce displacement, as well as the responsibilities of various stakeholders in navigating this global technological transition.

Occupations with the lowest probability of automation	Occupations with the highest probability of automation
Teaching professional	Food preparation assistants
Production, specialised services managers	Cleaners and helpers
Chief executives, senior officials, legislators	Labourers in mining, construction, manuf, transport
Administrative and commercial managers	Assemblers
Hospitality, retail, other services managers	Drivers and mobile plant operators

Fig 1: European jobs with the highest and lowest probabilities of automation⁴

1.1 Historical Context of Technological Disruption

“Creative disruption” is a phenomenon in which new innovations in technology render certain industries obsolete while simultaneously allowing for new ones to rise. From the Industrial Revolution to the digital age, history is filled with examples of such transformative events⁵. For instance, the invention of mechanized looms in the 19th century illustrates this dynamic⁶. Despite initial turmoil among artisans and textile workers, this disruption ultimately led to economic growth and improved living standards as workers began to adapt and new jobs were created from the rise of factories⁷. The transition from horse-drawn carriages to automobiles is another example. In this case, the decline of carriage makers was offset by the emergence of new opportunities for workers, specifically in the manufacturing and maintenance of cars⁸. These historical precedents highlight the

nature of technological progress: it disrupts existing economic structures while creating new avenues for growth⁹.

The growing use of AI and automation, however, represents a more profound shift. While the current wave of AI-driven automation can be viewed through this historical lens, its scale and speed pose unique challenges. Unlike previous technological advancements, which often replaced physical labor with machinery, AI and automation have the potential to complete not only physical tasks but also cognitive ones. Altogether, this means they are likely to impact a broader spectrum of jobs. Areas traditionally considered immune to automation, such as healthcare, finance, and customer service, are now susceptible to AI integration¹⁰. The ethical implications of this shift are significant, as they touch upon issues of economic inequality, access to new opportunities, and the societal responsibility to support displaced workers.

One major ethical concern is economic inequality. With the increasing integration of AI and automation in the workplace, there has been a rise in the demand for highly skilled workers who can develop, manage, and maintain these systems. Meanwhile, the need for lower-skilled workers has diminished. Studies indicate that these shifts are creating a demand for higher cognitive skills, such as critical thinking, complex problem-solving, and advanced IT skills, while roles involving basic cognitive skills, such as entering data and simple administrative tasks, are seeing a decline in demand¹¹. This disparity can exacerbate existing economic

inequalities, as those who lack the skills to work with AI technologies may already be in lower-paying jobs, but now find themselves unemployed or increasingly unable to improve their situation¹².

Access to new opportunities also becomes an important issue to address. While the addition of AI may create new job categories and industries, the transition can be more challenging given that new jobs may be more cognitively demanding. Displaced workers often require significant retraining and education to improve their skill sets in order to qualify for new roles created by AI advancements. This raises questions about who should bear responsibility for providing this retraining—employers, governments, or the individuals themselves¹³.

Finally, there is a societal responsibility to support displaced workers. As automation reshapes the job market, policies must be developed to protect those affected. This may include social safety nets and proactive measures, such as job retraining programs and educational initiatives to help workers transition to new employment opportunities¹².

2. The Ethical Challenges of AI-Induced Workforce Displacement

2.1 Equity and Fairness

The displacement of workers due to AI raises questions of equity and fairness: who benefits from the efficiencies gained through automation, and who bears the costs? Ethical theories of distributive justice, such as Rawls' "veil of ignorance," help address these questions¹⁴. For example, according to the difference principle, a

social contract should allow everyone an equal opportunity to prosper.

AI development and application typically require heavy capital investments. Those with easier access to capital tend to reap a larger share of the income from the economic opportunities AI produces, consistent with Piketty's argument of rising wealth inequality¹⁵. Ensuring that the gains from AI are not disproportionately distributed to or captured by a small elite is a critical ethical issue. The immediate challenge is to promote a more equitable distribution of AI's benefits so that vulnerable groups of society are not left behind in the rapidly evolving economic landscape, resulting in widening social divides.

At the employment level, AI and automation have the potential to exacerbate existing inequalities too, as jobs are not affected equally. High-skilled workers with education and experience in the "right" fields, who can adapt to new technologies and industries, are likely to benefit, while lower-skilled workers, who may lack the ability to adapt to new changes, may find themselves increasingly marginalized. For example, with increased computerization, many workers have been forced to transition from middle-income manufacturing jobs to low-income service occupations, which are less susceptible to automation. Furthermore, there is a strong negative relationship between the wages and education required for an occupation and the occupation's probability of computerization¹⁶. In particular, AI and automation are more confined to low-skill and low-wage jobs than ones requiring higher-skill. This has led

41% of AI-adopting firms to increase their skill demand because of AI's ability to complete lower-skill jobs, with less than 2% of those firms reducing their skill demand¹⁷. Thus, this dynamic can lead to a widening income gap and heightened social tensions. From an ethical standpoint, it is crucial to address these disparities to ensure workers are able to transition between occupations in a just and equitable way. Policies aimed at redistributing the benefits of AI or those helping to close the wage and skill gap are needed to address this.

Moreover, involving workers, particularly those in jobs with high-risk for automation, and impacted communities in discussions about AI deployment can help policymakers and businesses foster a sense of inclusivity and shared responsibility by making decision-making more transparent and giving affected people a way to express their grievances.

2.2 Responsibility of Stakeholders

The ethical responsibility for managing the transition to an AI-driven economy lies with multiple stakeholders:

2.2.1 Governments

Governments have a duty to implement policies that mitigate the negative impacts of AI on employment. This includes investing in job training programs, providing unemployment benefits, and considering new social safety nets. For instance, Denmark's "flexicurity" model, which combines labor market flexibility with social security, offers a potential blueprint for other countries to follow¹⁸. It involves three main components: the ability of employers to hire and fire employees at

will, a robust system of social support with generous unemployment benefits, and education and retraining programs run by the Danish government that allow unemployed people to quickly get back to work. This system has made Danes feel more confident in changing their jobs, which is a crucial sentiment in navigating the transition as AI causes a shift in jobs and skills in demand. Overall, by using Denmark as a potential role model, governments can adapt their policies to create and maintain a smooth system to help both employers and employees navigate and transition in an AI-driven, fast-changing workplace.

Governments also play a crucial role in regulating the use of AI to ensure ethical standards are upheld. This includes establishing guidelines for data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and accountability. By setting clear regulatory frameworks, governments can help prevent the misuse of AI and protect workers' rights¹⁹.

Moreover, public investment in education and research can drive innovation in AI while ensuring that its benefits are widely shared. For example, the European Union's Horizon 2020 program has funded AI research and development, emphasizing the role governments play in fostering technological progress that is both ethical and inclusive²⁰.

However, implementing these policies requires substantial financial resources and political will. Governments may face budget constraints, especially in times of economic downturn, making it difficult to allocate sufficient funds for these programs. Additionally, there may be political resistance to increased social

spending and changes to existing labor market regulations²¹.

Additionally, regulating AI technologies is complex and requires specialized expertise. Governments may struggle to keep pace with rapid technological advancements, leading to outdated or ineffective regulations. Furthermore, over-regulation could stifle innovation and discourage investment in AI, potentially hindering economic growth²².

2.2.2 Companies

Corporations benefiting from AI advancements should be encouraged to actively contribute to addressing the challenges faced by displaced workers. This could involve funding retraining programs or adopting ethical guidelines for the deployment of AI technologies. For example, companies such as AT&T have invested heavily in reskilling their workforce to adapt to technological changes²³.

Companies should also incorporate ethical AI development practices, such as ensuring fairness in algorithmic decisions, mitigating bias, and maintaining data privacy and user safety²⁴. By doing so, they can align their business practices with broader societal goals and enhance their long-term sustainability.

2.2.3 Individuals and Nonprofits

Individuals must take proactive steps to improve and enhance their skills and adapt to the changing job market. It is up to each person to engage with education and training opportunities, set personal learning goals, take advantage of the resources

available to them, and assume ownership of their own careers.

However, the responsibility for navigating the challenges posed by technological advancements does not rest solely on individuals. Nonprofits and educational institutions play a crucial role in creating an environment that supports continuous learning and skill development, especially for those who might otherwise be left behind.

As lifelong learning is essential in helping individuals thrive and adapt to a rapidly changing technological society, ensuring educational opportunities are available to all, regardless of socioeconomic background is crucial²⁵. Nonprofits and educational institutions can play a crucial role by providing the necessary infrastructure, resources, and tailored programs that address the specific needs of diverse communities. By bridging gaps in public education and private training systems, these organizations can help create pathways for individuals to acquire the skills needed to thrive in new and emerging industries.

Moreover, nonprofits and civil society organizations are vital in advocating for ethical AI practices and holding both governments and corporations accountable. They can mobilize resources, raise awareness, and push for policy changes that promote a more inclusive and fair deployment of AI technologies. By encouraging transparency and advocating for the rights of vulnerable or marginalized populations, they help steer AI development in a direction that benefits society as a whole²⁶.

By working together, individuals taking responsibility for their learning and nonprofits providing support and advocacy can develop a more inclusive and adaptable workforce, ensuring that the benefits of technological progress are shared across all segments of society.

3. Potential Solutions and Ethical Frameworks

3.1 Traditional Approaches

Traditional approaches to workforce development have focused on equipping individuals with the necessary skills to succeed in stable, well-defined roles within established industries²⁷. These approaches emphasize formal education, vocational training, and social safety nets, such as unemployment benefits and healthcare.

3.1.1 Job Training and Education

Continuous education and vocational training are essential to prepare workers for new roles in an AI-driven economy. Ethical considerations include ensuring training opportunities are accessible and affordable, as well as providing accurate information about the costs and potential benefits of educational and training programs, enabling individuals to make informed decisions.

In addition, the Capability Approach theory emphasizes the importance of providing individuals with the resources and opportunities to pursue meaningful work²⁸. Job training programs should be designed to enhance workers' capabilities, enabling them to adapt to new technologies and industries, and eventually promote upward mobility. This includes not only technical skills but also soft skills such as critical

thinking, creativity, and emotional intelligence.

3.1.2 Unemployment Benefits and Universal Healthcare

Strengthening the social safety net through various methods can help workers transition between jobs without falling into poverty.

Unemployment benefits should be designed to provide adequate support during periods of transition, reducing financial stress and enabling workers to focus on reskilling and job search. However, currently, displaced workers whose jobs are made redundant lose large amounts of income, which are only partially offset by unemployment benefits²⁹. This reflects the need to redesign current unemployment benefits to better support these workers.

Meanwhile, universal healthcare helps to ensure that all individuals have access to essential health services, regardless of their employment status. This reduces the vulnerability of workers displaced by AI and supports their overall well-being.

3.2 Innovative Approaches

While traditional approaches represent an effective start to aiding workers and helping them recover from job loss, these traditional methods face new challenges with the advent of AI and rapid technological advancements. The dynamic nature of today's job market demands a shift towards continuous learning, adaptability, and a more inclusive support system to address the evolving needs of workers across all sectors. Moreover, these approaches must remain effective and equitable.

In this section, some promising innovations, such as Universal Basic Income (UBI) and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), are explored. These strategies aim to prioritize human dignity, autonomy, and distributive justice. By examining these innovative approaches, we can better understand how they might complement or even replace traditional methods to ensure a just and equitable future in an increasingly AI-dominated world.

3.2.1 Universal Basic Income (UBI)

UBI provides a guaranteed income to all citizens, irrespective of their employment status. This idea, proposed by various thinkers, economists, and political figures throughout history, aims to ensure a basic standard of living for everyone. UBI addresses the challenge of economic insecurity by providing a safety net that empowers individuals to pursue education, entrepreneurship, or other activities without the constant pressure of financial survival. Ethical theories of distributive justice and human dignity support the implementation of UBI as a means to promote social cohesion and mitigate growing economic insecurity and inequality³⁰.

Pilot programs in Finland have demonstrated the potential of UBI, showing promising results. The UBI experiment, which was conducted between 2017 and 2018, provided a monthly income of €560 to a group of 2,000 randomly selected unemployed individuals. While UBI had a small, positive impact on employment levels, larger benefits came in the form of improved well-being. Recipients of UBI were found to have better mental and physical health, stress levels, and overall life

satisfaction³¹. Recipients also reported feeling more secure about their financial future, which allowed them to pursue additional education, training, and even entrepreneurial activities³².

Finland's UBI pilots offer promising prospects that UBI could be a viable tool for enhancing social security and addressing economic inequalities in an AI-driven economy, where job displacement and economic instability are growing concerns, although larger-scale experiments in more diversified societies may be warranted.

3.2.2 Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

Companies can adopt CSR initiatives that help improve society by focusing on the ethical implications and fairness behind their AI deployments. These initiatives could include transparent communication about job impacts, investment in local communities, and the development of ethical AI practices³³. For example, IBM has taken significant steps to establish these aims by developing tools including AI Fairness 360, which are designed to detect and mitigate biases in AI systems. By prioritizing ethical AI, IBM is able to enhance the transparency of its technology while also building trust with stakeholders. Thus, through these CSR initiatives, IBM has set a standard for responsible AI practices in the tech industry³⁴.

4. Conclusion

Overall, the ethical implications of AI's effect on workforce displacement are profound and multifaceted. As we navigate this new technological shift, we must balance automation's benefits with the moral

responsibility to ensure that no part of society, including impacted workers, is left behind.

Governments, companies, and individuals all play crucial roles in addressing the challenges posed by this shift.

Governments should enact and enforce policies that provide safety nets and create pathways for displaced workers to transition into new roles. This can come in the form of traditional approaches such as job training and unemployment benefits and also more innovative approaches, including universal basic income and corporate social responsibility initiatives.

Furthermore, companies that benefit from AI use should contribute to solutions to aid their workforce and their communities. They should also adopt ethical development practices that involve transparency, fair compensation, and active participation in reskilling initiatives. In this way, companies can help mitigate the adverse effects of workforce displacement.

Finally, individuals have a responsibility to engage proactively with the changing job market. Continuous education and skill development through lifelong learning are essential for personal growth and economic stability. Nonprofits and educational institutions can support this by providing accessible resources and training programs, while also holding governments and corporations accountable.

The ethical framework guiding these efforts must prioritize equity, fairness, and the common good. Ensuring that the benefits of AI and automation are distributed fairly, instead of to a small elite, is a challenge that

requires action and collaboration across all sectors of society.

Ultimately, the goal is to create a society where technological progress does not come at the expense of human well-being. Historical lessons teach us that, while technological advancements inevitably bring disruption, they also create opportunities for a better, more efficient, and prosperous world. By addressing the ethical challenges of AI-induced workforce displacement, we can pave the way for a future where innovation and humanity thrive together.

References

- ¹Mohamed, M. M. A., Liu, P., & Nie, G. (2022). Causality between Technological Innovation and Economic Growth: Evidence from the Economies of Developing Countries. *Sustainability*, 14(6), 3586. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063586>
- ²Brekelmans, S., & Petropoulos, G. (2020, June 15). *Occupational change, artificial intelligence and the geography of EU labour markets*. Bruegel. <https://www.bruegel.org/working-paper/occupational-change-artificial-intelligence-and-geography-eu-labour-markets>
- ³Jocson, L. M. J. C. (2023, September 18). *AI could unlock growth opportunities for PHL*. BusinessWorld Online. <https://www.bworldonline.com/top-stories/2023/09/18/546089/ai-could-unlock-growth-opportunities-for-phl/>
- ⁴Nedelkoska, L., & Quintini, G. (2018). Automation, skills use and training. *OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers*, 202. <https://doi.org/10.1787/2e2f4eea-en>

- ⁵Mokyr, J. (1998). The Second Industrial Revolution, 1870-1914. *Storia Dell'economia Mondiale*, 4. <https://faculty.wcas.northwestern.edu/jmokyrr/castronovo.pdf>
- ⁶Brain, J. (2018, October 6). *The Luddites*. Historic UK. <https://www.historic-uk.com/HistoryUK/HistoryofBritain/The-Luddites/>
- ⁷Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. W.W. Norton & Company.
- ⁸Hendricks, K. (2023, June 12). *From Horse-Drawn Carriages to Electric Vehicles: Lessons from the Past About How to Adopt Change*. EVfriendly. <https://www.evfriendly.ca/featured/from-horse-drawn-carriages-to-electric-vehicles-lessons-from-the-past-for-a-sustainable-future/>
- ⁹Autor, D. H. (2015). Why Are There Still So Many Jobs? The History and Future of Workplace Automation. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 29(3), 3–30. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.29.3.3>
- ¹⁰Kochhar, R. (2023, July 26). *Which U.S. Workers Are More Exposed to AI on Their Jobs?* Pew Research Center's Social & Demographic Trends Project; Pew Research Center. <https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2023/07/26/which-u-s-workers-are-more-exposed-to-ai-on-their-jobs/>
- ¹¹Bughin, J., Hazan, E., Lund, S., Dahlström, P., Wiesinger, A., & Subramaniam, A. (2018, May 23). *Skill shift: Automation and the future of the workforce*. McKinsey & Company. <https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/future-of-work/skill-shift-automation-and-the-future-of-the-workforce>
- ¹²Snyder, B. (2019, June 24). *How Innovation Drives Economic Growth*. Stanford Graduate School of Business. <https://www.gsb.stanford.edu/insights/how-innovation-drives-economic-growth>
- ¹³Illanes, P., Lund, S., Mourshed, M., Rutherford, S., & Tyreman, M. (2018, January 22). *Retraining and reskilling workers in the age of automation*. McKinsey & Company. <https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/future-of-work/retraining-and-reskilling-workers-in-the-age-of-automation>
- ¹⁴Rawls, J. (1971). *A Theory of Justice*. *A Theory of Justice: Original Edition*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvjf9z6v>
- ¹⁵Cornelli, G., Frost, J., & Mishra, S. (2023). Artificial intelligence, services globalisation and income inequality. *Bank for International Settlements*. <https://www.bis.org/publ/work1135.htm>
- ¹⁶Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 114, 254–280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.019>
- ¹⁷Acemoglu, D., Anderson, G., Beede, D., Buffington, C., Childress, E., Dinlersoz, E., Foster, L., Goldschlag, N., Haltiwanger, J., Kroff, Z., Restrepo, P., & Zolas, N. (2022). Automation and the Workforce: A Firm-Level View from the 2019 Annual Business Survey. *Census Working Papers*. <https://www.census.gov/library/working-papers/2022/adrm/CES-WP-22-12.html>

¹⁸Denmark. (n.d.). *The Danish labour market*. Denmark.dk.

<https://denmark.dk/society-and-business/the-danish-labour-market>

¹⁹The White House. (2022, December 5). *The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on the Future of Workforces in the European Union and the United States of America*. The White House.

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/cea/written-materials/2022/12/05/the-impact-of-artificial-intelligence/>

²⁰European Research Executive Agency. (n.d.). *Research and Artificial Intelligence - European Commission*. European Research Executive Agency.

https://rea.ec.europa.eu/research-and-artificial-intelligence_en

²¹Quantiphi. (2024, January 22).

Empowering the US Public Sector: How AI Enhances State & Local Government Operations Without Disrupting Jobs. Quantiphi.

<https://quantiphi.com/empowering-the-us-public-sector-how-ai-enhances-state-local-government-operations-without-disrupting-jobs/>

²²Wheeler, T. (2023, June 15). *The three challenges of AI regulation*. Brookings. <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/the-three-challenges-of-ai-regulation/>

²³Caminiti, S. (2018, March 13). *AT&T's \$1 billion gambit: Retraining nearly half its workforce for jobs of the future*. CNBC; CNBC.

<https://www.cnbc.com/2018/03/13/atts-1-billion-gambit-retraining-nearly-half-its-workforce.html>

²⁴Golbin, I., & Axente, M. L. (2021, June 23). *9 ethical AI principles for organizations to follow*. World Economic Forum.

<https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/06/ethical-principles-for-ai/>

²⁵UNESCO Institute for Lifelong Learning. (2022). *Making lifelong learning a reality: a handbook*. UNESCO.

²⁶Sanchez, C. (2021, July). *Civil society can help ensure AI benefits us all. Here's how*. World Economic Forum.

<https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/07/civil-society-help-ai-benefits/>

²⁷International Labour Office. (2011). *A Skilled Workforce for Strong, Sustainable and Balanced Growth*. International Labour Organization.

²⁸Nussbaum, M., & Sen, A. (Eds.). (1993). *The Quality of Life*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0198287976.001.0001>

²⁹Bessen, J. E., Goos, M., Salomons, A., & Van den Berge, W. (2022). *Automatic Reaction - What Happens to Workers at Firms that Automate?* *SSRN Electronic Journal*.

<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3328877>

³⁰Standing, G. (2017). *Basic income: a guide for the open-minded*. Yale University Press.

³¹Allas, T., Maksimainen, J., Manyika, J., & Singh, N. (2020, September 15). *An experiment to inform universal basic income*. McKinsey & Company.

<https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/social-sector/our-insights/an-experiment-to-inform-universal-basic-income>

³²Wellbeing Economy Alliance. (2020). *Finland – Universal Basic Income Pilot*. Wellbeing Economy Alliance.

<https://weall.org/resource/finland-universal-basic-income-pilot>

³³Stobierski, T. (2021, April 8). *What Is Corporate Social Responsibility? 4 Types*. Harvard Business School Online; Harvard Business School.
<https://online.hbs.edu/blog/post/types-of-corporate-social-responsibility>

³⁴IBM. (2018, November 14). *AI Fairness 360*. IBM Developer.
<https://www.ibm.com/opensource/open/projects/ai-fairness-360/>